The New-York Times.

VOL. XVII.....NO. 5147. NEW-YORK, TUESDAY, MARCH 24, 1868. PRICE FOUR CENTS.

IMPEACHMENT.

President Johnson's Answer to the Charges and Specifications.

The Replication to be Filed by the Managers To-day.

Counsel for the President Ask Thirty Days to Prepare for Trial.

The Court Refuses the Application, but will Probably Grant Ten Days.

Special Dispatch to the New-York Times.

WASHINGTON, Monday, March 23.

Nature was lavish in her smiles to-day, as if to recompense us for the naughty liberty which Winter took in the lap of Spring at the end of last week. So the happy and lucky ladies who were blessed with tickets for the trial ventured to draw their brightest toilets from their wardrobes, and, as a consequence, the galleries were resplendent with the brightest and richest of all the brilliant hues which the fashions for the gay Winter just passed have been so prolific. As on the last occasion two-thirds of the audience were ladies, and whether they are practically as much interested in the trial as the other sex, they certainly manifest as much interest and are the most eager and the most persistent applicants for tickets to the poor bothered, badgered and bored Senators and Representatives, and stick as closely to their seats during the long sessions as any male spectators. The *tout ensemble* of the Chamber was much the same as on Friday week, with a rather better arrangement of the bright colored cane-seat chairs, which have been placed in the rear of the Senators' desks for the use of Members of the House. The number of people on the floor during the trial is nearly three hundred, which gives it a crowded appearance, while the uniform black dress of the American gentleman adds greatly to the solemn dignity of the scene.

Promptly at 1 o'clock the Vice-President's gavel fell. The Senate experienced an almost instantaneous transition from Senate to Court. The Chief Justice called the Court to order, the Sergeant-at-Arms made proclamation, the Managers on the part of the House were announced and then the House itself. When order was restored, and every cane-bottomed chair had received its occupant, the Clerk called Mr. DOOLITTLE, the only remaining unsworn member of the Court, who advanced and took the oath. The Secretary, Col. FORNEY, in person, then proceeded to read the minutes of the last session of the Court.

The table for the counsel for the President was at once the cynosure of many eyes, because two new faces appeared thereat. The sharp features, keen eye and wiry figure of WILLIAM M. EVARTS were speedily recognized as one of the new-comers. Further to his left was another new face—sharp, angular, intellectual, but anything but handsome, though belonging to a family noted in some of its members for great personal beauty—that of W. S. GROESBECK, of Cincinnati, a lawyer of prominence added to the President's counsel since last session of the Court. STANBERY, CURTIS and NELSON were also present, BLACK being the only absentee, though present in the city.

At the conclusion of the reading of the journal, the first man on his feet was GARRETT DAVIS. He had a motion to submit, and he begged to submit it in writing. It was easy to guess what it was. It was a denial of the competency of the Senate to try impeachments, because it was not composed of two Senators from every State. In other words, it was anticipating the denial of the jurisdiction which everybody expected would come from the counsel for the defence. To see it coming from a member of the Court was shocking to good taste and a violation of manifest propriety. DAVIS had not counted that he was stultifying himself and rendering his occupancy of a Senatorial seat a standing lie to his belief and professions, if he really did believe what he submitted to the Court, but the Senate saw it, and by a masterly stroke of the Chief Justice a vote was had on his motion, resulting in its defeat by yeas two—DAVIS and MCCREERY his colleague—nays, the entire Senate, forty-nine in number with these exceptions, Democrats and Republicans, voting alike to reject a proposition which came with such ill grace and bad taste from a member of the Court, who, if he felt convinced of the correctness of his proposition, should take his hat and coat and shake the ashes of such an illegal and irreverent body from his feet and depart henceforth forever. This was a most happy and non-partisan decision of a question which has been looked upon as one of those likely to be persistently urged by the defense to delay and prolong the trial. It may yet come from that quarter, but the decision to-day will prevent it from any more serious consideration than it has just received. The masterstroke of the Chief Justice just referred to, describes only the lucid manner in which he construed the rules of the Court to declare all motions to dispose of DAVIS motion, out of order, and that the vote must be taken directly on the question by yeas and nays, and without debate. HOWARD and CONKLING had at once attacked Mr. DAVIS' proposition with objections and motions not to receive it, on which they demanded a vote by yeas and nays, but the Chief Justice read the rules, applied them, declared all other motions out of order, and before any Senator could say another word, the Clerk was already past the first name on the roll-call. Kentucky stood united in about the same neutral, semi-loyal, half-rebel position that she has occupied during the past seven years. The illustration was striking.

When the vote was announced, Mr. STANBERY at once arose and announced that the President, by his counsel, was ready to plead and answer. He should apologize for the incompleteness of their answer, he said, for lack of time, every hour since the last session of the Court having been occupied in its preparation. Mr. CURTIS then read the answer to the first article, the longest of all, and occupying about half an hour. He was hardly audible in the galleries, and was followed on the answer to the second, third, fourth, fifth, sixth, seventh, eighth and ninth articles by Mr. STANBERY, who also occupied about half an hour, and who was likewise inaudible except on the floor. Mr. EVARTS closed the reading on the tenth and eleventh articles, and gratified everybody by the clearness of his voice and distinctness of his enunciation.

The character of the answer need not be commented on here. It is what was expected, an explicit, emphatic, detailed denial of every allegation, of every charge, of every article; reaffirming the legality of all his actions; denying any intent of violating the Tenure of Office or any other law; denying the correctness of his alleged speeches as recited in the tenth article; affirming his right to express his opinion the same as any private citizen, and closing by denying in toto, as well as in detail, the entire list of charges.

At the conclusion of the reading the answer was ordered to be placed on file, and Mr. BOUTWELL surprised the galleries and startled the defence by announcing that the Managers would be ready to respond with their replication before the Senate at 1 o'clock to-morrow. He also asked that a copy of the answer of the accused be furnished to the Managers, which was duly ordered.

Mr. EVARTS then submitted an application in writing, asking that thirty days' preparation for the trial on and after the filing of the replication, be granted to the President, and made a brief argument in support thereof. At first it seemed as though the Court was about to decide the question without argument by counsel or debate by Senators, when Mr. BINGHAM announced that the Managers would like to be heard in opposition to the application. Mr. LOGAN then took the floor and made a fifteen minutes speech, in his usual muscular manner. He was replied to by Mr. EVARTS, cool, calm, deliberate and logical, who denied that it was necessary for the accused to show cause why time should be granted, as Mr. LOGAN had maintained must be shown, in order to comply with the requirement of the Senate order of the 13th inst.

Mr. WILSON replied to Mr. EVARTS in a forcible argument, wasting no words, and showing clearly that there was no precedent in any impeachment case tried by the Senate for granting so much delay at this stage of the proceedings. He was followed briefly by Mr. STANBERY for the defence, when the argument seemed to close. Mr. HOWARD was about to submit an order when Mr. BINGHAM rather curtly reminded the Chief Justice that he did not wish to be cut off in debate except in accordance with the rules; and he thereupon proceeded to make the sharpest, ablest and most eloquent speech of the day, getting almost into the merits of the whole question in his nervous indignation at what he regarded the defiant assumption of the President's answer as to the necessity for time to bring witnesses for the defence. He said the prosecution would meet that by admitting everything the accused proposed to prove by them. A very magnanimous offer, most certainly.

Mr. HENDERSON then submitted an order that the application for more time be postponed until after the Managers had filed their replication. On this Mr. BUTLER made a brief speech, saying that the prosecution was ready to settle the matter of time now. The motion to postpone was then lost by yeas 25, nays 28, two Democrats, DAVIS and BAYARD, voting in the negative. Mr. HOWARD then submitted an order that the application for thirty days do lie on the table, when Mr. DRAKE made the point of order that all questions must, under the rules, be voted on directly, hence Mr. HOWARD's motion was out of order. The Chief Justice decided the point well taken, and a yea and nay vote was at once had, resulting yeas 12, nays 41. So the application for thirty days was rejected by a strict party vote.

The result of this ruling on these motions deprives the Senate to a still greater degree of its legislative capacity, and compels it to treat every motion just as a Judge would sitting on the Bench. Amendments, substitutes, motions to lay on the table, &c., are no part of a judicial proceeding, and questions must be immediately decided on their merits as fast as they arise, unless the Senate elect to retire for deliberation.

When the application was denied, Mr. EVARTS threw the whole question of more time upon the magnanimity of the Senate, and he simply moved an order that such reasonable time after filing of the replication be granted as the Senate might now determine. REVERDY JOHNSON was just about to submit an order for ten days, when at twenty minutes to five Mr. SHERMAN moved an adjournment of the Court until 1 o'clock to-morrow, which was agreed to. The general impression prevails that the Senate will to-morrow grant the President a further delay of a week or ten days, fixing the formal opening of the trial about Monday or Wednesday of next week.

FILING THE REPLICATION.

After the adjournment of the Court, the House reassembled, and Mr. BINGHAM moved that the Managers be granted leave to file their replication with the Senate to-morrow, at 1 o'clock, without previously submitting it to the House. To this there arose some objection, when he said that if the House would take a recess until 11 o'clock to-morrow the Managers would submit their reply for consideration before presenting it to the Senate. This was promptly agreed to. The Managers are in session to-night preparing their replication, and intend to push their part of the case with all possible speed. It is understood that when the trial begins regularly, Mr. BUTLER is to make the opening argument.

IMPEACHMENT WITNESSES.

The twenty-four subpœnas issued to witnesses to appear before the Senate to testify in the impeachment trial were made returnable to-day, and accordingly Gen. LORENZO THOMAS, Gen. EMORY, Col. WALLACE and Major STACY, all of whom, as military officers, have had direct communication with the President on subjects pertaining to the charges, reported to-day. Col. MOORE and W. W. WARDEN, of the President's household; Messrs. CLEPHANE, MURPHY and WALBRIDGE, short-hand reporters of the President's speeches, and Messrs. HORATIO KING and SAM WILKESON also reported.

FORTIETH CONGRESS.

SECOND SESSION.

SENATE....WASHINGTON, Monday, March 23.

The choice seats in the gallery were secured at an early hour by the ladies, who occupied at the opening of the Senate about three-fourths of the space allotted to the public. As on the organization of the Senate into a court, there was not one dusky face to be seen, and as far as could be seen no representative of the fustian portion of the constituency appeared to have found favor in the sight of the ticket holders. The floor was arranged as before.

The chaplain again invoked a blessing on those now coming to the consideration of grave and momentous matters relating both to individuals and to the national welfare—praying that God shall preside over this High Court; that justice be done in the name of God and of all the people of this great nation.

PETITIONS.

Mr. MORGAN presented petitions of authors, &c., in favor of the International Copyright law; also, a petition in favor of the Eight Hour law, which, with several petitions praying for the relief of manufacturers from internal tax, were referred suitably.

IMPEACHMENT REPORTS AND EXPENSES.

Mr. ANTHONY, from the Committee on Printing, reported a resolution to print copies of the proceedings of the impeachment trial for the use of the Senate, 2,000 to be bound and indexed. It was amended so as to provide for copies for the Chief Justice and the counsel for the accused, and passed.

Mr. CRAGIN introduced a bill making appropriations for the trial of impeachment and other contingent expenses for the year ending June 30, 1868, which was referred to the Committee on FINANCE.

THE STATE DEPARTMENT.

Mr. WILSON introduced a bill to reorganize the State Department, and reduce the expenses thereof, which was referred to the Committee on Retrenchment.

THE SEDGWICK MONUMENT.

Also donating captured ordnance for a monument to the late Gen. SEDGWICK, which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

SUPERINTENDENT OF EXPORTS.

Mr. MORGAN introduced a bill to abolish the office of Superintendent of Exports and Drawbacks, which was referred to the Committee on Finance.

CIRCUIT COURTS.

Mr. COLE introduced a bill to reorganize the United States Circuit Court, which was referred to the Judiciary Committee.

THE COURT OF IMPEACHMENT.

At 12:30 the Chair announced that according to the rule all legislative and executive business would cease, and directed the Secretary of the Senate to notify the House.

Mr. THUMBULL called for the reading of the rule, saying that he understood that 1 o'clock was the hour appointed.

The rule was read, providing that on the day set apart for the trial the Senate shall cease executive and legislative business, and proceed to the trial of impeachment.

Mr. EDMUNDS called attention to a subsequent order, introduced by Mr. HOWARD, of the Committee of Seven, adjourning the Court until 1 o'clock to-day; this, he said, was the day set apart for receiving the answer, and not proceeding to the trial.

Several Senators suggested to leave it to the decision of the Chair.

The Chair decided that the rule was operative, and business must now cease.

Mr. EDMUNDS respectfully appealed from the decision of the Chair. The Chair announced the question to be, Shall the decision of the Chair stand as the judgment of the Senate? but at the suggestion of Mr. TRUMBULL, Mr. EDMUNDS withdrew the appeal, and the Secretary was again directed to notify the House that the Senate was ready to proceed with the trial of impeachment.

During the *interregnum*, Mr. STEVENS entered quietly at a side door, and took his seat at the Managers' table.

At 1 o'clock the President *pro tem* vacated the chair. Mr. Chief Justice entered by a side door, to the left of the Chair, and called the Senate to order. The Sergeant-at-Arms made the usual proclamation, commanding silence, whereupon the Managers appeared at the door.

The Sergeant-at-Arms announced the Managers of the Impeachment on the part of the House of Representatives, and the Chief Justice said: The Managers will take the seats assigned to them by the Senate.

Messrs. BINGHAM and BOUTWELL led the way up the aisles and took their seats.

Meantime, Messrs. STANBERY, CURTIS, NELSON, EVARTS and GROESBECK, counsel for the President, entered and seated themselves at their table in the order named, Mr. STANBERY occupying the extreme right.

The Sergeant-at-Arms then announced the House of Representatives, and the members of the House appeared, headed by Mr. WASHBURNE, on the arm of Mr. MCPHERSON, Clerk of the House, and took their seats outside the bar by direction of the Chief Justice, and the Secretary of the Senate then read the minutes of the proceedings of Friday, the 13th inst.

Mr. DOOLITTLE was called by the Clerk, and came forward and took the oath.

Senator DAVIS said: Mr. Chief Justice, I rise to make the same proposition to this Court that I made to the Senate. I think that now is the appropriate time, before the Senate proceeds to take up the case. I therefore submit to the Court a motion in writing.

The Secretary read as follows:

"Mr. DAVIS, a member of the Senate in the Court of Impeachment, moves the Court to take this order. That the Constitution having invested the Senate with the sole power to try articles of impeachment of the President of the United States preferred by the House of Representatives, and having provided that the Senate shall be composed of two Senators from each State, to be chosen by the Legislature thereof, and the States of Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Alabama, Mississippi, Arkansas, Texas, Louisana and Florida having each chosen two Senators who have been excluded from their seats, respectively ordained that the Court of Impeachment for the trial of the President cannot be legally and constitutionally formed while the Senators from the States aforesaid are thus excluded from the Senate, and which objection continues until Senators from these States are permitted to take their seats in the Senate, subject to all constitutional exceptions and objections to their return and qualifications severally.

Senator HOWARD—Mr. President——

The Chief Justice—The question must be decided without debate.

Senator HOWARD—I object to the receiving of the paper.

Senator CONNESS—I desire to submit a motion which will meet the case. I move that the motion be not received, upon which I call for the yeas and nays.

Senator HOWE—I rise to submit a question of order.

The Chief Justice—The Senator will state his point of order.

Senator HOWE—I would ask if the motion offered by the Senator from Kentucky be in order?

The Chief Justice—The motion comes before the Senate in the form of a motion submitted, made by a member of the Senate, sitting as a Court of Impeachment. The 23d rule requires that all the orders and decisions shall be made and had by yeas and nays, which shall be entered on the record, and without debate, subject, however, to the operation of rule seven. The seventh rule requires the presiding officer to, in the first instance, submit to the Senate without a division, all questions of evidence in incidental questions; but the same shall on demand of one-fifth of the members present, be decided by yeas and nays. The question then being on a proposition submitted by a Senator under the 23d rule, it is in order.

Mr. CONNESS—Mr. President: Is the motion submitted by me in order?

Chief Justice—No Sir.

The call for the yeas and nays was ordered, and they were called, Messrs. DAVIS and MCCREARY only voting "aye." Messrs. SALISBURY, BAYARD and WADE did not vote. So the motion was not agreed to.

Mr. STANBERY then rose and said, Mr. Chief Justice: In obedience to an order of this honorable Court, made at the last session, that the answer of the President should be filed to-day, we have it ready. The counsel for the President, abandoning all other business, some of us quitting our courts, our cases and our clients, have devoted every hour to the consideration of this case. The labor has been incessant. We have devoted, as I say, not only every hour ordinarily devoted to business, but many required for necessary rest and recreation have been consumed in it. It is a matter of regret that the Court did not allow us more time for preparation. Nevertheless we hope that the answer will be found in all respects sufficient, such as it is. We are now ready to read and file it.

Mr. CURTIS proceeded to read the answer, as follows:

ANSWER OF THE PRESIDENT.

In the Senate of the United States, sitting as a Court of Impeachment for the Trial of Andrew Johnson, President of the United States.

The answer of the said ANDREW JOHNSON, President of the United States, to the articles of impeachment exhibited against him by the House of Representatives of the United States.

ANSWER TO ARTICLE I.

For answer to the first article, he says: That EDWIN M. STANTON was appointed Secretary for the Department of War on the 15th day of January, A. D. 1862, by ABRAHAM LINCOLN, then President of the United States, during the first term of his Presidency, and was commissioned, according to the Constitution and the laws of the United States, to hold the said office during the pleasure of the President; that the office of Secretary for the Department of War was created by an act of the first Congress, in its first session, passed on the 7th day of August, A. D. 1789, and in and by that act it was provided, and enacted, that the said Secretary for the Department of War shall perform and execute such duties as shall from time to time be enjoined on and entrusted to him by the President of the United States, agreeably to the Constitution, relative to the subjects within the scope of said Department. And, furthermore, that the said Secretary shall conduct the business of the said Department in such a manner as the President of the United States shall from time to time order and instruct.

And this respondent further answering, says that by force of the act aforesaid, and by reason of his appointment aforesaid, the said STANTON became the principal officer in one of the executive departments of the Government, within the true intent of the second section of the second article of the Constitution of the United States, according to the true intent and meaning of that provision of the Constitution of the United States, and in accordance with the settled and uniform practice of each and every President of the United States. The said STANTON then became, and so long as he should continue to hold the office of Secretary for the Department of War, must continue to be one of the advisers of the President of the United States, as well as the person entrusted to act for and represent the President, in matters enjoined upon him or entrusted to him by the President, touching the Department aforesaid, and for whose conduct in such capacity subordinate to the President, the President is by the Constitution and laws of the United States made responsible.

And this respondent, further answering, says: He succeeded to the office of President of the United States upon and by reason of the death of ABRAHAM LINCOLN, then President of the United States, on the 15th day of April, 1865, and the said EDWIN M. STANTON was then holding the said office of Secretary for the Department of War, under and by reason of the appointment and commission aforesaid; and not having been removed from the said office by this respondent, the said EDWIN M. STANTON continued to hold the same, under the appointment and commission aforesaid, at the pleasure of the President, until the time hereinafter particularly mentioned, and at no time received any appointment or commission as above detailed.

And this respondent further answering, says: That on and prior to the 5th day of August, A. D. 1867, this respondent, the President of the United States, responsible for the conduct of the Secretary for the Department of War, and having the constitutional right to resort to and rely upon the person holding that office for advice concerning the great and difficult public duties enjoined on the President by the Constitution and laws of the United States, became satisfied that he could not allow the said STANTON to continue to hold the office of Secretary for the Department of War, without hazard of the public interest. That the relations between the said STANTON and the President no longer permitted the President to resort to him for advice, or to be, in the judgment of the President, safely responsible for his conduct of the affairs of the Department of War as by law required, in accordance with the orders and instructions of the President; and thereupon by force of the Constitution and laws of the United States, which devolve on the President the power and the duty to control the conduct of the business of that Executive Department of the Government, and by reason of the constitutional duty of the President to take care that the laws be faithfully executed, this respondent did necessarily consider, and did determine that the said STANTON ought no longer to hold the said office of Secretary for the Department of War. And this respondent by virtue of the power and authority vested in him as President of the United States, by the Constitution and laws of the United States, to give effect to such his decision and determination, did on the 12th day of August, A. D., eighteen hundred and sixty-seven, address to the said STANTON, a note, of which the following is a true copy:

SIR—Public considerations of a high character constrain me to say that your resignation as Secretary of War will be accepted.

To which note the said STANTON made the following reply:

WAR DEPARTMENT, WASHINGTON, Aug. 5, 1867.

SIR: Your note of this day has been received, stating that "public considerations of a high character constrain you to say that my resignation as Secretary of War will be accepted." In reply, I have the honor to say that no public consideration of a high character, which alone have induced me to continue at the head of this Department, constrain me not to resign the office of Secretary of War before the next meeting of Congress.

Very respectfully yours,
(Signed) EDWIN M. STANTON.

This respondent, as President of the United States, was, thereon, of opinion, that, having regard to the necessary official relations and duties of the Secretary for the Department of War, to the President of the United States, according to the Constitution and laws of the United States, and having regard to the responsibility of the President for the conduct of the said Secretary, and having regard to the paramount Executive authority of the office which the respondent holds under the Constitution and laws of the United States, it was impossible, consistently with the public interests, to allow the said STANTON to continue to hold the said office of Secretary for the Department of War, and it then became the official duty of the respondent, as President of the United States, to consider what act or acts should and might lawfully be done by him, as President of the United States, to cause the said STANTON to surrender the said office. This respondent was informed and verily believes that it was practically settled by the first Congress of the United States and had been so considered, and uniformly, and in great number of instances acted on, by Congress and the President of the United States in succession, from President WASHINGTON to and including President LINCOLN, and from the First Congress to the Thirty-ninth Congress, that the Constitution of the United States conferred on the President, as part of the Executive power, and as one of the necessary means and instruments of performing the Executive duty, expressly imposed on him by the Constitution, of taking care that the laws be faithfully executed, the power at any and all times of removing from office all executive officers, for cause to be judged of by the President alone. This respondent had, in pursuance of the Constitution, required the opinion of each principal officer of the Executive Departments upon the question of constitutional executive power and duty, and had been advised by each of them, including the said STANTON, Secretary for the Department of War, that under the Constitution of the United States this power was lodged by the Constitution in the President of the United States, and that, consequently, it could be lawfully exercised by him, and the Congress could not deprive him thereof, and this respondent, in his capacity of President of the United States, and because in that capacity he was both enabled and bound to use his best judgment upon this question, did in good faith, and with honest desire to arrive at the truth, come to the conclusion and opinion and did make the same known to the honorable the Senate of the United States, by a message dated on second day of March, 1867, a true copy whereof is annexed and marked "A," that the power last mentioned was conferred, and the duty of exercising it in fit cases, was imposed on the President, by the Constitution of the United States; and that the President could not be deprived of this power, or relieved of this duty; nor could the same be vested by law in the President and the Senate jointly, either in part or in whole; and this has ever since remained, and was the opinion of this respondent at the time when he was forced as aforesaid to consider and decide what act or acts he should and might lawfully do to cause this respondent, the President of the United States, to cause the said STANTON to surrender the said office. This respondent was also then aware that by the first section of an act regulating the tenure of certain civil offices, passed March 2, 1867, by a Constitutional majority of both Houses of Congress, it was enacted as follows:

That every person holding any civil office to which he has been appointed by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, and every person who shall hereafter be appointed to any such office, and shall become duly qualified to act therein, is and shall be entitled to hold such office until a successor shall have been in like manner appointed and duly qualified, except as herein otherwise provided:

Provided, That the Secretaries of State, of the Treasury, of War, of the Navy and of the Interior, the Postmaster-General and the Attorney-General, shall hold their offices, respectively, for and during the term of the President by whom they may have been appointed, and for one month thereafter, subject to removal by and with the advice and consent of the Senate."

This respondent was also aware that this act was understood and intended to be an expression of the opinion of the Congress by which that act was passed; that the power to remove the Executive officers for cause might by law be taken from the President and vested in him and the Senate jointly; and although this respondent had arrived at and still retained the opinion above expressed, and verily believed, as he still believes, that the first section of the last-mentioned act was, and is, wholly inoperative and void by reason of its conflict with the Constitution of the United States, yet inasmuch as the same had been enacted by the constitutional majority in each of the two Houses of that Congress, this respondent considered it to be proper to examine and decide whether the particular case of the said STANTON, on which it was the respondent's duty to act, was within or without the terms of that first section of the act, or if within it, whether the President had not the power, according to the terms of the act, to remove the said STANTON from the office of Secretary for the Department of War. And having in his capacity of President of the United States so examined and considered did form the opinion that the case of the said STANTON and his tenure of office were not affected by the first section of the last named act; and this respondent further answering says: That although a case thus existed, which, in his judgment as President of the United States, called for the exercise of the Executive power to remove the said STANTON from the office of Secretary for the Department of War; and although this respondent was of opinion, as is above shown, that under the Constitution of the United States the power to remove the said STANTON from the said office was vested in the President of the United States; and although this respondent was also of the opinion, as is above shown, that the case of the said STANTON was not affected by the first section of the last named act, and although each of the said opinions had been formed by this respondent upon an actual case requiring him in his capacity of President of the United States to come to some judgment and determination thereon, yet this respondent, President of the United States, desired and determined to avoid, if possible, any question of the construction and effect of the said first section of the last-named act, and also the broader question of the Executive power conferred on the President of the United States by the Constitution of the United States, to remove one of the principal officers of the Executive Department, for cause seeming to him sufficient; and this respondent also desired and determined that, if from causes over which he could exert no control, it should become absolutely necessary to raise and have, in some way, determided, either or both of the last named questions, it was in accordance with the Constitution of the United States, and was required of the President thereby, that questions of so much gravity and importance upon which the Legislative and Executive Departments of the Government had disagreed; which involved powers, considered by all branches of the Government, during its entire history down to the year 1867 to have been confided by the Constitution of the United States to the President, and to be necessary for the complete and proper execution of his constitutional duties, and should be in some proper way submitted to that judicial department of the Government, intrusted by the Constitution with the power, and subjected by it to the duty, not only of determining finally the construction and effect of all acts of Congress, but by comparing them with the Constitution of the United States, and pronouncing them inoperative when found in conflict with that fundamental law which the people have enacted for the government of their servants; and to these ends, first, that, through the action of the Senate of the United States, the absolute duty of the President to substitute some fit person in place of Mr. STANTON as one of his advisers, and as a principal subordinate officer, whose official conduct he was responsible for, and had lawful right to control, might, if possible, be accomplished without the necessity of raising any one of the questions aforesaid; and second, if this duty could not be so performed, then that these questions, or such of them as might necessarily arise, should be judicially determined in the manner aforesaid, and for no other end or purpose. This respondent, as President of the United States, on the 12th day of August, 1867, seven days after the reception of the letter of the said STANTON, of the 5th day of August hereinbefore stated, did issue to the said STANTON the order following, viz.:

EXECUTIVE MANSION, WASHINGTON, Aug. 12, 1867.

SIR: By virtue of the power and authority vested in me, as President, by the Constitution and laws of the United States, you are hereby suspended from office as Secretary of War, and will cease to exercise all functions appertaining to the same. You will at once transfer to Gen. ULYSSES S. GRANT, who has this day been authorized and employed to act as Secretary of War *ad interim*, all records, books, papers and other public property now in your custody and charge.

To Hon. EDWIN M. STANTON, Secretary of War.

To which said order the said STANTON made the following reply:

WAR DEPARTMENT, WASHINGTON CITY, Aug. 12, 1867.

SIR: Your note of this date has been received, informing me that by virtue of the powers vested in you as President, by the Constitution and laws of the United States, I am suspended from office as Secretary of War, and will cease to exercise any and all functions pertaining to the same, and also directing me at once to transfer to Gen. ULYSSES S. GRANT, who has this day been authorized and empowered to act as Secretary of War *ad interim*, all records, books, papers and other public property now in my custody and charge. Under a sense of public duty I am compelled to deny your right under the Constitution and laws of the United States, without the advice and consent of the Senate, and without legal cause to suspend me from office as Secretary of War, or the exercise of any or all functions pertaining to the same, or without such advice and consent to compel me to transfer to any person the records, books and papers and public property in my custody as Secretary. But inasmuch as the General commanding the armies of the United States has been appointed *ad interim*, and has notified me that he has accepted the appointment I have no alternative but to submit, under protest, to superior force, to the President."

And this respondent, further answering, says: That it is provided in and by the second section of an act to regulate the tenure of certain civil offices, that the President may suspend an officer from the performance of the duties of the office held by him for certain causes therein designated until the next meeting of the Senate, and until the case shall be be acted on by the Senate; that this respondent, as President of the United States, was advised, and did verily believed and still believes, that the Executive power of removal from office confided to him by the Constitution aforesaid, includes the power of suspension from office at the pleasure of the President; and this respondent, by the order aforesaid, did suspend the said STANTON from office, not until the next meeting of the Senate, or until the Senate should have acted upon the case, but by force of the power and authority vested in him by the Constitution and laws of the United States, and has advised, and still believes, that the Executive power of removal from office confided to him by the Constitution aforesaid, includes the power of suspension from office at the pleasure of the President; and this respondent, by the order aforesaid, did suspend the said STANTON from office, not until the next meeting of the Senate, or until the Senate should have acted upon the case, but by force of the power and authority vested in him by the Constitution and laws of the United States, and in the order in form aforesaid, was made known to the Senate of the United States on the 12th day of December, A. D., 1867, as will be more fully hereinafter stated.

And this respondent, further answering, says that in and by the act of Feb. 13, 1795, it was, among other things, provided and enacted that in case of vacancy in the office of Secretary for the Department of War, it shall be lawful for the President, in case he shall think it necessary, to authorize any person to perform the duties of that office until a successor be appointed, or such vacancy filled; but not exceeding the term of six months; and this respondent being advised and believing that such law was in full force and not repealed, by an order dated Aug. 12, 1867, did authorize and empower ULYSSES S. GRANT, General of the armies of the United States, to act as such Secretary for the Department of War *ad interim*, in the form in which similar authority had theretofore been given, not until the next meeting of the Senate should act on the case, but at the pleasure of the President, subject only to the limitation of six months in the said last-mentioned act contained, and a copy of the last-named order was made known to the Senate of the United States on the 12th day of December, A. D. 1867, as will be hereinafter more fully stated; and, in pursuance of the designated intention aforesaid, if it should become necessary to submit the said questions to a judicial determination. This respondent at, or near the date of the last-mentioned order, did make known such his purpose to obtain a judicial decision of the said questions, or such of them as might be necessary.

And this respondent further answering, says that in further pursuance of his intention and design, it possible to perform, what he pledged to be, his imperative duty to prevent the said EDWIN M. STANTON from longer holding the office of the Secretary for the Department of War and at the same time avoiding, if possible, any question respecting the extent of the power of removal from the Executive office, confided to the President by the Constitution of the United States, and any question respecting the construction and effect of the first section of the said "act regulating the tenure of certain civil offices," while he should not by any act of his abandon and relinquish either a power which he believed the Constitution had conferred on the President of the United States to enable him to perform the duties of his office, or a power deasgnedly left to him by the first section of the act of Congress, last aforesaid, this respondent did, on the 12th day of December, 1867, transmit to the Senate of the United States a message, a copy of which is hereunto annexed and marked B, wherein he made known the orders aforesaid, and the reasons which had induced the same, so far as this respondent then considered it material and necessary that the same should be set forth, and reiterated his views concerning the constitutional power of removal vested in the President, and also expressed his views concerning the construction of the said first section of the last mentioned act respected the power of the President to remove the said STANTON from the said office of Secretary for the Department of War, well hoping that this respondent could thus perform what he then believed and still believes to be his imperative duty in reference to the said STANTON without derogating from the powers which this respondent believed were confided to the President by the Constitution and laws, and without the necessity of raising judicially any question respecting the same.

And this respondent further answering says, that this hope not having been realized, the President was compelled either to allow the said STANTON to resume the said office, and remain therein contrary to the settled convictions of the President formed as aforesaid respecting the powers confided to him, and the duties required of him by the Constitution of the United States, and contrary to the opinion formed as aforesaid, that the first section of the last-mentioned act did not affect the case of the said STANTON, and, contrary to the fixed belief of the President, that he could no longer advise with, or trust, or be responsible for the said STANTON, or he said office of Secretary of War, or else he was compelled to take such steps as might, in the judgment of the President, be lawful and necessary to raise for a judicial decision the questions affecting the lawful right of the said STANTON to resume the said office, or the power of the said STANTON to persist in refusing to quit the said office, if he should persist in actually so quit the same; and to the end only that this respondent did, on the 21st day of February, 1868, issue the order for the removal of the said STANTON, in the said first article mentioned and set forth, and the order authorizing the said LORENZO F. THOMAS to act as Secretary of War *ad interim*, in the said second article set forth.

And this respondent proceeding to answer, specifically, each pretended allegation in the said first article, says: He denies that the said STANTON, on the 21st day of February, 1868, was lawfully in possession of the said office of Secretary for the Department of War. He denies that the said STANTON, on the day last mentioned, was lawfully entitled to hold the said office against the will of the President of the United States. He denies that the said order for the removal of the said STANTON was unlawfully issued. He denies that the said order was issued with intent to violate the act entitled "An act to regulate the tenure of certain civil offices." He denies that the said order was a violation of the last-mentioned act. He denies that the said order was in violation of the Constitution of the United States, or of any law thereof, or of his oath of office. He denies that the said order was issued with an intent to violate the Constitution of the United States, or any law thereof, or this respondent's oath of office, and he respectfully but earnestly insists that not only was it issued by him in the performance of what he believed to be an imperative official duty, but in the performance of what this honorable Court will consider was in point of fact an imperative official duty; and he denies that any and all substantive matters in the said first article, contained in manner and form as the same are therein stated and set forth, do by law constitute a high misdemeanor in office within the true intent and meaning of the Constitution of the United States.

ANSWER TO ARTICLE II.

And for answer to the second article, this respondent says: That he admits he did issue and deliver to said LORENZO THOMAS the said writing set forth in the said second article, being dated at Washington, D. C., Feb. 21, 1868, addressed to Brevet Major-Gen. LORENZO THOMAS, Adjutant-General U. S. Army, Washington, D. C., and he further admits that the same was so issued without the advice and consent of the Senate of the United States then in session, but he denies that he thereby violated the Consultution of the United States, or any law thereof, or that he did thereby intend to violate the Constitution of the United States or the provisions of any act of Congress; and this respondent refers to his answer to said first article for a full statement of the purposes and intentions with which said order was issued, and adopts the same as part of his answer to this article; and further denies that there was then and there no vacancy in the said office of Secretary for the Department of War, or that he did, then and there, commit or was guilty of a high misdemeanor in office; and this respondent maintains and will insist—

First—That, at the date and delivery of said writing, there was a vacancy existing in the office of Secretary for the Department of War.

Second—That, notwithstanding the Senate of the United States was then in session, it was lawful, and according to long and well-established usage, to empower and authorize the said THOMAS to act as Secretary of War *ad interim*.

Third—That if the said act regulating the tenure of civil offices be held to be a valid law, no provision of the same was violated by the issuing of said order, or by the designation of said THOMAS to act as Secretary of War *ad interim*.

ANSWER TO ARTICLE III.

And for answer to said third article this respondent says that he abides by his answer to said first and second article, in so far as the same are responsive to the allegations contained in the said third article, and without here again repeating the same answer, prays the same be taken as an answer to this third article as fully as if here again set out at length. And as to the new allegation contained in said third article, that this respondent did appoint said THOMAS to be Secretary for the Department of War *ad interim*, this respondent denies that he gave any other authority to said THOMAS than such as appears in said written authority set out in said article, by which he authorized and empowered said THOMAS to act as Secretary for the Department of War *ad interim*, and he denies that the same amounts to an appointment, and insists that it is only a designation of an officer of that Department to act temporarily as Secretary for the Department of War *ad interim* until an appointment should be made; but whether the said written authority amounts to any appointment or to a temporary authority, or designation, this respondent denies that in any sense he did thereby intend to violate the Constitution of the United States, or that he thereby intended to give the said order the character or effect of an appointment in the constitutional or legal sense of the term. He further denies that there was no vacancy in said office of Secretary for the Department of War existing at the date of said written authority.

ANSWER TO ARTICLE IV.

And for answer to said fourth article this respondent denies that on the 21st day of February, 1868, at Washington aforesaid, or at any other time or place, he did unlawfully conspire with the said LORENZO THOMAS, or with the said THOMAS or any other person or persons, with intent, by intimidation and threats, unlawfully to hinder and prevent the said STANTON from holding said office of Secretary for the Department of War, in violation of the Constitution of the United States, or of the provisions of the said act of Congress in said article mentioned, or that he did then and there commit or was guilty of a high crime in office; or that contrary, therefore, protesting that the said STANTON was not then and there lawfully the Secretary of the Department of War, this respondent states that his sole purpose in authorizing the said THOMAS to act as Secretary for the Department of War, *ad interim*, as is fully stated in his answer to the said first article, to bring the question of the right of the said STANTON to hold said office, notwithstanding the said suspension, and notwithstanding his said order of removal, and notwithstanding the said authority of the said THOMAS to act as Secretary of War *ad interim*, to the test of a fixed decision by the Supreme Court of the United States in the earliest practicable mode in which the question could be brought before that tribunal. This respondent did not conspire or agree with the said THOMAS or any other persons to use intimidation or threats to hinder or prevent the said STANTON from holding the said office of Secretary for the Department of War; nor did this respondent at any time command or advise the said THOMAS, or any other person or persons, to resort to or use either threats or intimidation for that purpose. The only means in the contemplation or purpose of the respondent to be used are set forth fully in the said orders of Feb. 21, the first addressed to Mr. STANTON, and the second to the said THOMAS. By the first order the respondent notified Mr. STANTON that he was removed from the said office, and that his functions as Secretary for the Department of War were to terminate upon the receipt of that order. And he also notified the said STANTON, that the said THOMAS had been authorized to act as Secretary for the Department of War *ad interim*, and ordered the said STANTON to transfer to him the records, books, papers and other public property in his custody and charge; and, by the second order, this respondent notified the said THOMAS of the removal from office of the said STANTON and authorized him to act as Secretary for the Department of War *ad interim*, and directed him to immediately enter upon the discharge of the duties pertaining to that office, and to receive the transfer of all the records, books, papers, and other public property from Mr. STANT

ST. DOMINGO.

Condition of the Island—Movements of the Contending Factions—Counter Revolutions—Citizens Emigrating—Baez Insecure—The Samana Bay Question.

From Our Own Correspondent.

ST. DOMINGO, Thursday, March 5, 1868.

The present situation of affairs in this island is such as to leave very little hope of any change for the better. Property and life are insecure—no one knowing what to-morrow may bring forth; and still the demagogues persist in their nefarious undertakings, it being, however, impossible to reduce the country to any greater distress than is experienced at the present time. Very doleful accounts are received from all parts of the island—the capital not being exempt from any of the troubles besetting the inhabitants of the smaller towns or country parishes. How long this is to last no one knows. The country is being ruined and the events of each day seem to render the chances of a restoration of peace more remote than ever.

THE BAEZ REVOLUTION.

The revolutionary movement set on foot by BAEZ from Curacoa, and aided by the coöperation of SALNAVE from Cape Haytien, has succeeded thus far; but this success is only temporary. The leaders have in no wise fulfilled the fulsome promises made to the people, and no triumph can be enduring where the flag of the victors is the symbol of absolute vandalism. In every locality, at the capital, at Santiago, at Puerto Plata, Seybo, and at other points, their acts fully demonstrate that the revolution of Monte Christi has not recognized, and will not recognize, any true or fixed principles of warfare or self-government. It is purely a reaction—a revolution of the ignorant against the intelligent, of the poor against the rich, of vice against morality.

MICHE, HERNANDEZ, DIAZ, MORA, and others in the Tower of Homenaje, may soon have the same opportunity of asserting their power, because as honorable soldiers they do not lend themselves to the disorder which exists, or recognize in BAEZ, who has ever been a persistent persecutor of the Dominicans, the right to assume the control of the government of this country. With CABRAL, all the illustrious citizens, all the merchants and the young men of respectability and position have left the capital, and give way to a parcel of low-lived vagrants, bare-footed Generals, self-constituted diplomats and political vagabonds. And this is the triumph of the revolution which, full of promises of the better times in the future, only results to-day in complicating everything and compromising more and more the affairs of the country!

The promises so lavishly made to put into circulation again gold and silver coin, with which to reward the masses of the people who came to their aid in carrying on the war, they have not been able to realize; for neither BAEZ nor SALNAVE have the necessary resources, and already the victors begin to quarrel among themselves. Some wish once more to deluge the country with worthless paper money, while others are more consistent in their ideas and oppose this course. Meantime they have nothing with which to provide rations for their soldiers or pay their employes. In fact, they have not a single dollar in cash in their Treasury to provide for the absolute necessities of the public service, or the creation of the all-important "sinews of war."

THE NEW MINISTRY.

Gen. HUNGRIA since his entrance into the city has organized a Ministry *ad interim*, composed of FRANCISCO JAVIER ABREU, Minister of State; JUAN NEPAMUCENO TEJERA, Minister of Justice, Public Instruction and Foreign Affairs; PEDRO TOMAS GARRIDO, Minister of Finance and Commerce; VALENTIN RAMIREZ, (brother of BAEZ,) Minister of War and Marine. These Ministers were all selected several days ago, but thus far have not attempted to do anything; or if they should, it hardly seems possible for them to accomplish aught, as they are deficient of every element of success, and lack resources and popularity. The brother of BAEZ believes that the only true way to save the country and establish authority is by means of arrests, executions and banishments; while his companions, and Gen. HUNGRIA in particular, think differently, and seem to realize that the policy which has been inaugurated is bad, and will cause a gradual decay of the Government. From these variances of opinion and want of unity in plans, arise the fears which HUNGRIA seems to have, and which has caused him to urge upon BAEZ the importance of coming at once from Curacoa and assuming his position as President; and from this it appears settled that the revolutionists look upon and accept BAEZ as President of the Republic, although there has never been any regular vote, convention or assembly to legally elect him.

THE BAY OF SAMANA QUESTION.

BAEZ, inasmuch as the revolution thus far has not resulted in anything except in offering to lease the Bay of Samana to the United States Government, refuses to leave for San Domingo, and only gives his friends encouragement that he will do so when he has the assurance that these negotiations, which have been under consideration for so long a time, have been consummated. But these wishes in relation to Samana are not the only cause of his determination. How will he be able, however, to carry out his plans, when it is to SALNAVE he owes his position, and when he learns that the latter has taken measures to prevent these negotiations, and to prepare himself as a last resort to send to his friend, BENITO MONCIEN, at San Domingo, with the view of throwing the power into his hands and uniting the two Republics, a plan which it is said he has for some time had in contemplation? And furthermore, how will he be able to carry out his plan in regard to Samana, when there are many of his own partisans and supporters who are warmly opposed to this negotiation? For these reasons BAEZ prefers to remain safe at Curacoa, and while there to see if he cannot concoct some scheme to deceive the adherents of SALNAVE, and at the same time cheat his own partisans. He allows himself three months to accomplish his purpose, and declares that he will not come while he has a single enemy at liberty, and until he has sufficient resources at his command to establish fully his authority and be assured of his ability to maintain his position.

COUNTER REVOLUTIONS AND COMPLICATIONS.

It is very plain that, under such circumstances, the present usurpers cannot possibly support themselves for any length of time. Already the feeling of disgust and contempt for such imbecility on the part of the leaders is plainly manifested among the people, and they sorely regret ever giving them their support. San Juan, Neyba, Banica, Las Matas and Cercada are already in arms, headed by Gens. OGANDO, MORRENO and CASTILLO. Troops started out from Azua, taking a southerly direction, but were defeated at Jura, and Gen. LOWANSKI LAMARCHE, improvised for the occasion, sent back to beg reinforcements, but received no reply. The East is greatly disturbed, and, judging from rumors in circulation, it is possible that the inhabitants are rising to rescue from imprisonment MICHE, and for the persecution of Col. DURAN, who, in connection with others, has had to seek protection in the American Consulate in order to escape from the chain-gang and prison. Of course it is impossible to say what the exact result of this state of things will be, for any opinion would be merely a speculation. One thing, however, is very certain—that the new Government cannot sustain itself, and it may even be looked upon as extremely doubtful if the newly-proclaimed President ever succeeds in officially assuming his position.

Every day new complications arise, and the situation becomes more and more confused. The sons and brothers of BAEZ, who seem to have only a desire to annoy and interfere with every person who can make their cause in the leastwise doubtful, have succeeded to-day in creating a Ministerial crisis. Señors TEJERA and ABREU, finding themselves powerless to check the unreasonable demands of the rabble, have resigned their positions, and their resignations have been accepted, and there is great trouble in finding proper persons to replace them. The triumphant party is really at a loss to procure reliable and intelligent men to manage public affairs.

On the afternoon of the 17th of February an order was published convening a National Convention, to be held in the capital on the 20th of March, to determine upon a Constitution upon which to base the future Government, and to place the new President, Gen. BAEZ, in possession of the power. A new Cabinet was appointed to-day, consisting in part of Gens. VALENTIN RAMIREZ, ROMAN GUZMAN and RICARDO CURIEL, and citizen CARLOS MORENO. PEDRO TOMAS GARRIDO and father, TEODORICO RAMIREZ, sailed on board the schooner *Capotillo*, for Curacoa, this evening, to confer with BAEZ.

Mr. F. W. ADAMS has declined to act as delegate to the Chicago Convention from the Georgia Sixth District, and says that the use of his name was unauthorized.

LOCAL INTELLIGENCE.

The Proposed Railroad in Twelfth and Thirteenth Streets—Note from the Citizens' Association.

CITIZENS' ASSOCIATION OF NEW-YORK, }
No. 813 BROADWAY, March 23, 1868. }

Hon. John O'Donnell, Senator:

DEAR SIR: The Citizens' Association of New-York, respectfully begs leave to inclose to you a remonstrance against the passage of the act favorably reported to the Senate, authorizing the laying of a railroad track, among other streets, in East and West Twelfth and Thirteenth streets in the City of New-York.

The Association calls your attention to the fact that this remonstrance is very numerously signed by the owners and occupants of property along the line of those two streets and especially to the fact that the property owners and occupants along West Twelfth and Thirteenth streets, between Fifth and Sixth avenues have joined therein with extraordinary unanimity.

It seemed to this Association eminently just that the claims of our property-owners should be fairly and most liberally considered, and that they should not be disregarded, except an immediate and imperative public necessity so demands.

The Association submits that the rights and comfort of private individuals should not be sacrificed by the practical transfer of the public streets to corporations which enjoy as a grossly the most valuable franchises. There is one important point in this matter that should not be lost sight of, and that is that there is an objection on the part of the property-owners and residents to railroads in those streets of our City which are devoted to private residences. And it is a notorious fact to every resident of this City that no street in which a railroad track has been laid has been able to maintain itself as a first-class neighborhood for private residences. Heretofore these City railroads have been confined to the longitudinal streets in which direction is nearly all our travel, to some three or four lateral streets in the lower part of the City, from which private residences have long since been excluded, and to two or three very wide lateral streets in the upper part of our City. The travel that must be accommodated, and for which additional facilities are needed, is the up and down traffic, in straight lines, compared to which the travel from one side of the City to the other is infinitely small.

The cross or lateral streets in the City of New-York have always been considered by our people as especially reserved for private residences, wherein individual wealth and taste might establish homes that would be secured from the exactions of that spirit which seems so prone to surrender everything to the clamor of the railroad interests.

If the cross-streets of this City are also to be placed at the mercy of the railroads, it will be difficult to find any spot on the island where an individual can feel that the privacy of his home will be secured; for the probability is that before all the cross-streets are consumed, the ingenuity and perseverance of the railroad interests will revive the gigantic project of last Winter to force railroad tracks through the private residences of our people.

The Association would respectfully call your attention to Gov. FENTON'S Message of last year, dated March 26, which you will find in the Assembly Journal, vetoing the Cross-town Railroad bill, where he lays down, with great force of reasoning, among other grounds of objection to the bill, the sound principle that the railroad franchises in this City should not be granted except with the consent of the property owners and residents of the streets along the proposed route.

The Association would also call your attention to the fact that Twelfth and Thirteenth streets are very narrow streets, and if they are encumbered by a railroad track the residents on those streets will be exceedingly annoyed and inconvenienced in the use of the streets at all seasons of the year, and in the Winter the Railroad Company will have practically the exclusive use of the whole roadway, and the residents will be practically debarred from the convenient use of the streets in any other way than upon foot.

The Association respectfully requests you to present this petition to the Senate, and to request, on behalf of the petitioners, that the bill may be recommitted to the Committee on Railroads to give the property owners an opportunity of being heard.

Very respectfully yours,
PETER COOPER,
Chairman Citizens' Association of New-York.

The Fifth Ward Museum Hotel—Its Demolition.

RILEY'S Fifth Ward Museum Hotel, on the southwest corner of West Broadway and Franklin-street, now in course of demolition, was taken possession of by Mr. THOMAS RILEY in 1826, who opened it to the public as a drinking-saloon and restaurant. In 1826, and for several years thereafter, in consequence of the surface of West Broadway being several feet lower than it is at present, the first floor of the building, now the basement, was occupied as a saloon, while the floor above was used as a ball-room and for political purposes. The building then was but two stories in height, but in 1835 two stories were added.

Mr. RILEY fitted up his establishment with a lavish expenditure unheard of at that day. In connection with the hotel Mr. RILEY formed a museum, consisting of revolutionary relics, pictures, specimens of natural history, objects of *vertu* and an assortment of gold, silver and copper coins, said to have been unequaled at one time by any in the City. The flag-staff which towers to a height of 174 feet in the space opposite the hotel was erected about a dozen years ago at the instance of Mr. RILEY. Thirty-five years ago the first flagstaff was erected on the same ground. It was shattered by lightning in 1835. Another flagstaff at the intersection of West Broadway and Beech-street, was erected about twenty years since by Whig politicians, who made the Marion House, located in West Broadway not far from Canal-street, their headquarters. In 1852 Mr. RILEY retired from active business, with a fortune of nearly $100,000, and resided in Varick-street until his death, in 1858. The hotel was leased, together with the collection of curiosities, which latter were sold a few years since, and the hotel was closed on the 1st of last January.

Board of Aldermen.

The Board met at 2 o'clock, P. M., yesterday, Mr. COMAN in the Chair.

A number of resolutions and ordinances for paving streets with the Nicolson, and other wooden pavements, were read and laid over.

A petition, bearing several hundred signatures, for the laying out of a street between the extremities of Sixth-avenue and West Broadway, to be called York Road, was presented by Mr. McQUADE and referred.

A message was received from the Mayor, relating to the resolution to pave Cortlandt-street with Nicolson pavement.

A resolution was presented to pave Lexington-avenue from Twenty-first-street to Thirty-fourth-street, with the woodenpavement, known as the McGonegal patent, at a cost not exceeding $5 per square yard. It was laid over.

The Board then adjourned.

Board of Audit.

The Board resumed its sittings yesterday, after an interval of three weeks, Messrs. BONNEY, MARTINDALE and CONNOLLY presiding.

The first claim heard was that of Gen. E. L. VIELE for $148 24 for preliminary surveys for the regulating and grading of Fourth-avenue, from One Hundred and Ninth to One Hundred and Sixteenth-street. The claim was regularly audited by the Street Department, and, with others remaining unpaid on the last day of December, 1866, was directed by law to be handed over to this Board.

The estate of JOHN S. VOORHIES presented a claim of $2,180 for law books furnished to various courts of the City by authority of the Board of Supervisors. The bill was not paid by the Comptroller, for want of funds.

DENNIS BURNS submitted a claim of $633 74 for balance claimed to be due him on salary as clerk in County Clerk's office. Claimant testified that he was appointed in October, 1861, when the salary was $1,000, but that up to January, 1865, he only received at the rate of $800 per annum, owing to a mistake in putting his name on the pay-roll.

WILLIAM DAVIS put in a claim of $200 for services as Sanitary Inspector from November, 1865, to March, 1866. He testified that he was appointed by the City Inspector, and that Mr. DUSENBERY, chief clerk of the office, told him his salary would be $50 per month, but that he never had been paid anything up to the 1st of March, when the City Inspector's office was abolished.

WILLIAM H. WHITNEY presented a claim of $127 for personal property assessments paid by him in 1866, as he says, wrongfully, he not being possessed of any taxable personal property at the time.

No other claims being ready, the Board adjourned till to-day, at 12 M.

Missionary Experiences in Africa.

This was the subject of an interesting lecture delivered before a large audience, in the Willet-street M. E. Church, last evening, by the pastor, Rev. J. W. HORNE.

At the outset the reverend gentleman spoke of the motives that induced him to go to Africa, sixteen years ago, as a missionary. In doing so he referred to his family antecedents; saying that his father was for nearly fifty years a missionary in the British West Indies, until 1856, when he died at Bermuda.

His mother, of course, shared her husband's toils, and died in the Island of Antigua. His eldest sister was the wife of a Wesleyan missionary at Tortola; his brother a missionary of the Protestant Episcopal Church on the western coast of Africa, until his death; and only recently he had received a letter from a sister—the wife of a Wesleyan missionary—dated King Tom's Point, Freetown, Sierra Leone. It was not then to be wondered at, he said, that he should share this missionary spirit which fired the blood of his kindred. The lecturer then sketched rapidly his voyage to the "land shadowed with wings," and mentioned the deep impression which the first sight of African coast made upon his mind. In speaking of the inhabitants of the coast he referred specially to the Kroomen, who come down from the interior and hire themselves to Captains at from $4 to $20 per month, to unload vessels, and convey the cargo in small boats to the shore. They are very strong, physically, lifting a barrel of pork or beef readily, and carrying it, unsided, great distances. With their earnings they purchase wives at $20 apiece, and when they have obtained from twenty to forty marital partners, they settle down into lives of idleness and sensuality, and are called "perfect gentlemen." The town of Monrovia, the capital of the Republic of Liberia, was next described. It could never be a large city, the reverend gentleman thought, for the reason that there is not room for it to grow. The future capital of Liberia will doubtless be on the banks of the noble St. Paul's, a few miles from its mouth, accessible to large vessels and having abundant room for expansion. The lecturer took charge of the Monrovia Academy, an institution of the Methodist Episcopal Church, for the training of missionaries for the Liberian work. There were about seventy scholars in two departments, under three teachers, and the course of education was preparatory to the collegiate. The Republic of Liberia has a coast line of from 600 to 800 miles, and has a total population of about 235,000 persons. There is only one State, but four counties which have grown up out of the original settlements. There is one National Legislature, which meets annually in two Houses of Congress. Two Senators for every county are elected every four years, and one Representative, and with an additional one for every 10,000 of the population, elected every two years. The President is chosen bi-annually by popular vote. The Republic has expelled the slave-trade from her territory, and kept it so at the risk of national existence. Other interesting facts were stated with reference to the Republic, detailing its commercial and agricultural resources, noting the manners and customs of the people, and their religious beliefs and customs. The lecture, which abounded with expressions pious and poetical, was concluded with an account of the nature and results of missionary labor in Africa.

New-York Medical College for Women —Fifth Annual Commencement.

Last evening, the exercises of the Fifth Annual Commencement of the New-York Medical College for Women took place at Steinway Hall, in the presence of a large audience. The Chair was occupied by the Rev. Dr. BURCHARD, Acting Chancellor of the college, and on the stage were, Mrs. Dr. LOZIER, Dean; WILLIAM H. GREENBURGH, President of the Board of Trustees; Mrs. WELLS, Secretary of the Board of Trustees; Dr. ANDROS, President of the Faculty; and Dr. F. L. WILLIS, Secretary of the Faculty. Mr. ELDER, the blind organist, presided at the organ.

The exercises were commenced with prayer by Rev. Dr. BURCHARD, after which the graduates were presented with diplomas by the Dean of the Faculty. The following were the graduates: Mrs. Mary C. Brown, Miss Hattie A. Dader, Mrs. H. M. Fairbank, Mrs. Anna C. Howland, Miss Emily L. Manning, Miss Anna T. Niverson, Miss C. A. Loring, and Miss M. B. Fuller.

The report of the Dean, recounting the success of the College, was next read, after which a valedictory address was delivered by Miss EMILY FULLER, followed by remarks from Drs. HASTINGS and ARMITAGE. The exercises closed with a benediction.

Another Bold Robbery—$40,000 Missing.

Periodically cases similar to the following are reported: At the close of business on Saturday afternoon, the banking-house of A. C. KINGSLAND & SONS, No. 55 Broad-street, was secured, locked, and everything left in order. When the office was opened by the porter yesterday morning, the place was found in confusion and the floor covered with papers.

An examination was made, when it was found that during Saturday night or Sunday an entrance had been gained in front by the use of false keys, and the thieves having gone to the vaults under the sidewalk, had removed the bricks between the safes and carried away bonds to the aggregate value of $40,000.

About $50 in currency was also taken. The thieves then returned to the main floor and made an unsuccessful attempt to break into the large safe by drilling, but the metal proved too hard for them and they desisted. Brokers are cautioned against negociating any of the stolen bonds. No clue to the thieves has been obtained as yet, but detectives are investigating the case.

Labor and Losses in the Public Stores.

In response to a resolution, recently passed by the House of Representatives, calling for information concerning the labor contract in the Appraiser's Department in this City, the Secretary of the Treasury says that a contract has been entered into in pursuance of the fifth section of the act of March 3, 1809, with Messrs. MUDGETT & JACKSON for the performance of all labor required in the public stores, and the protection of the Government against all loss by theft which may be perpetrated by the laborers, for the sum of $90,000 per year.

The expenses for labor and watchmen at the appraisers' stores in this City were:

For the year 1866	$167,170 41
For the year 1865	121,968 15
Increase over 1865	$45,202 26

The contract with MUDGETT & JACKSON, the Secretary says, was signed with a view to check and reduce the expenses for labor in the public stores, which were increasing rapidly from year to year, and had reached the enormous amount of $167,170 41.

GENERAL CITY NEWS.

PROMISCUOUS INTERCHANGE OF PULPITS.—A lecture on this subject was delivered last evening by Rev. Dr. WESTON, at the Church of the Holy Apostles, in Twenty-eighth-street. The reverend gentleman said that this was no new subject, as the House of Bishops in 1820 had denounced the practice. For his own part he thought it inexpedient, and calculated, instead of promoting church union, to make confusion worse confounded. There are, he said, about 160 different creeds in this country. Did it propose to exchange pulpits with all of them, or only few? If some are to be excluded, who is to decide which they shall be—the pastor or the church? It was a fallacy to maintain that there is a common ground on which all denominations can meet. The fact of there being no such common ground was the cause of their seceding from the Episcopal Church. To members of the Episcopal Church there were three courses open—to obey its laws, to endeavor to get them changed, or to leave the Church altogether.

A WAGON THIEF.—Detective FIELD of the Fifth Ward Police, yesterday, noticed two suspicious looking men following an express wagon driven by GEO. W. BAKER. He followed them up Broadway and through several streets. The driver stopped on the corner of Broome-street and Broadway to deliver a package, when one of these men approached the wagon and stole a package of alpaca valued at $100, the property of MARTIN M. STEVENS, of No. 599 Eighth-avenue, and attempted to make his escape, when the detective arrested him, the property being dropped in the chase. The prisoner gave the name of GEO. BURNS and was locked up for further examination.

SAVED BY A FIRE-ESCAPE.—During the recent fire which originated on the fourth floor front of the six-story tenement house No. 113 Eldridge-street, eleven lives were saved by making use of a fire-escape recently placed on the house. It is a remarkable circumstance that the fire-escape had only been completed on the evening previous to the fire. Six men, three women—one with an infant—and a girl made use of an escape. All who descended took some of their effects with them. They started from the fifth and sixth floors, descended to the second story, and made their way to the street by the regular halls and stairs.

THE LATE STORM.—Last Saturday night, during the great storm, three men are reported to have attempted the crossing of the Hudson at Tarrytown in a rowboat, and as nothing has been heard of them since that time, it is feared that they were drowned.

The sloop *Napoleon*, of Verplanks, was driven ashore near Nyack. None of the steamboats ventured out from Nyack Saturday, and people designing to reach New-York were detained till afternoon when the stages resumed their trips. The steamboat *Newburg* passed up the river Sunday afternoon.

THE PERILS OF THE HOUR.—ANDREW H. H. DAWSON, Esq., will deliver a lecture on Thursday evening next at 8 o'clock, at Sawyer Rooms, corner of Jay-street and Fulton-avenue, Brooklyn, on the "The Perils of the Hour." Mr. DAWSON was formerly a Whig member of Congress from Georgia, and has recently removed to this City and entered upon the practice of law. He is a gentleman of ability, and moderate and Conservative in his political views. His lecture will be worth hearing.

COMMUNICATION WITH STATEN ISLAND.—After Friday, seven round trips are to be made daily between Vanderbilt Landing and Tottenville. Shad fishing has already commenced on the Island. This is earlier than last year. There are very many houses to rent on the island, at fair prices, but there is a general demand for cottages renting from $600 to $1,000. Several houses are going up around Stapleton, and more are about being built.

RECKLESS DRIVING.—FRANCIS KEARNEY, of No. 233 Mulberry-street, was knocked down and run over in Grand-street, near Crosby, by a team of horses driven by GOTLIEB HATTENLACHEN. KEARNEY sustained severe injury on the back. Officer HINCKLEY, of the Fourteenth Ward, arrested the driver and arraigned him before Justice HOGAN, who required him to give bail to answer the charge of assault and battery. The prisoner insisted that he had done everything in his power to prevent the accident.

ARRIVALS IN THE CITY.—GOV. S. J. Crawford, of Kansas; Gov. G. A. Saunders, of Omaha; Hon. W. G. Taylor, of Washington, and N. Green, Esq., of Louisville, are at the St. Nicholas Hotel. Gen. Van Schooler, of Massachusetts, is at the Westminister Hotel. Hon. W. M. Stewart, of Virginia City, and Hon. B. Field, of Albion, are at the Metropolitan Hotel. Hon. A. G. Bullock, of Massachusetts, is at the Fifth-avenue Hotel.

AID FOR THE DESTITUTE AT THE SOUTH.—A large meeting of the clergy and laity of the Episcopal Church was held at 4 o'clock yesterday afternoon, in Calvary Church, Twenty-first-street and Fourth-avenue, to consider and devise means for the relief of the poor of the South. Prayers were read by Dr. WASHBURNE, after which the bishop made a fitting address. Resolutions were adopted and a committee appointed to carry out the object in view.

TEMPERANCE ANNIVERSARY.—The first anniversary of Dodge Division, Sons of Temperance, was held last evening at the Central Presbyterian Church, Fifth-street, between Broadway and Eighth-avenue. Addresses were delivered by Rev. MASON GALLAGHER, (Episcopalian,) Rev. Mr. BRODT, Rev. JAMES B. DUNN, and Hon. WM. E. DODGE. Good singing enlivened the exercises.

FIRE IN GREENWICH-STREET.—At 4 o'clock yesterday morning a fire occurred in the second-hand furniture-store of MOSES DAVIS, No. 5 Greenwich-avenue. A damage of $2,500 was occasioned, covered by an insurance in the Greenwich Insurance Company. The building was injured to the extent of $200, insured. JOHN KELLY, owner of a crockery-store, adjoining, lost $300 by water.

COMPLAINT WITHDRAWN.—Mr. ALFRED B. DARLING, one of the proprietors of the Fifth-avenue Hotel, who made a complaint against MARTIN QUIGLEY a few days ago, accusing him of having stolen five barrels of grease, appeared before Justice LEDWITH yesterday and withdrew the charge, as he had become satisfied that no larceny had been contemplated.

ALLEGED LARCENY.—THOS. J. EGAN, of No. 782 Washington-street, caused the arrest of CHAS. MANSFIELD yesterday, who was arraigned before Justice LEDWITH at Jefferson Market on a charge of stealing an overcoat valued at $40. As the prisoner was found in possession of the property he was locked up for trial. He said he did not mean to take the coat, and mistook it for his own.

AT THE MORGUE.—There was brought to the Morgue yesterday, from Pier No. 5 North River, the body of an unknown man aged about 25 years, 5 feet 8 inches high, sandy hair. He had on a blue flannel shirt, grey woolen undershirt, dark cassimere pants, grey woolen socks, and heavy shoes. The body was too much decomposed to be placed on the Morgue.

SEIZURE OF A VESSEL.—Yesterday the schooner *Memento* came into collision with a vessel that was anchored in the North River, opposite *Perry*-street, and inflicted considerable damage upon her. Soon afterward the *Memento* was seized by the United States Marshal, under process for the recovery of $1,000 damages.

THE MARION-STREET SUICIDE.—An inquest was held yesterday by Coroner ROLLINS, at No. 25 Marion-street, over the body of Mrs. DORA AMSTEN, (erroneously reported hitherto as Gletsch,) who hung herself on Sunday. She was intemperate of habits, and had often said she would commit suicide. She was a German, 43 years of age. No new facts were elicited.

THE FISKE CONCRETE PAVEMENT.—The Mayor holds, for the purpose of giving property-owners an opportunity of sending in objections thereto, if any such exist, the resolution to pave One Hundred and Twenty-fourth-street, from First to Fourth-avenue, with Fiske Concrete Pavement, at an expense not exceeding $2 60 per square yard.

SUDDEN DEATH.—MORITZ HARTMEYER was found dead in bed yesterday, at No. 180 Forsyth-street. He was a native of Germany, 35 years of age. Coroner ROLLINS was notified.

BROOKLYN NEWS.

BROOKLYN BOARD OF ALDERMEN.—The Board of Aldermen met yesterday at 3 P. M., Alderman BERGEN in the chair, and a quorum present. The Mayor sent in a communication vetoing several resolutions passed at the previous meeting, among which were the following: Awarding contract for repairing fire apparatus of the Western District for the sum of $10 000 to P. HUGHES. This course is taken by the Mayor on the ground of certain informalities in the specifications of the contract. Awarding contract of greasing hose to MICHAEL KENNEDY for one year at a cost of $1,900. The amount is thought to be too large. Appointing JAMES ROSENBAUM as interpreter to the Police Court at a salary of $800 a year, on the ground that there is no money in the Treasury applicable to the purpose. The communication took the usual course. Alderman WINFIELD offered the following:

Resolved, That the Counselor be instructed to prepare an act to be submitted to the Legislature, providing that all contracts for cleaning and repairing streets shall expire on the 1st day of July after their execution; proposals to be advertised for before the 1st day of May preceding. Also, to amend the charter of the City, so as to allow contracts to be given to the lowest responsible bidder, whose proposal shall, in the judgment of the Common Council, secure the most effective service, and shall be most advantageous to the public interests. Also, a proposition authorizing the repair and relaying of flagging in front of lots at the expense of the owner or owners thereof.

Adopted.

A resolution providing for the appropriation of $3,000, for the celebration of the Fourth of July, was laid over under the rule.

No other business of importance was transacted, and the Board adjourned.

A BOARDING-HOUSE THIEF.—Miss HARRIET A. FOWLER, an imposing-looking young lady of about 20 years, boarding in the house of Mrs. BENTON, No. 410 Pacific-street, took the liberty of appropriating to her own use a quantity of clothing, of the value of $40; she also perpetrated several other similar acts, and Justice DELMAR, yesterday, sent her to the Penitentiary for six months.

BURGLARY.—Yesterday morning Mr. GEORGE KELSEY'S pork-packing establishment was entered by burglars, who removed the safe from the premises, blew it open, and took its contents, which fortunately were small, scarcely enough to pay for the powder. No arrests were made.

RELIGIOUS PROGRESS.—Since the commencement of this month over sixty persons have been received into the Carlton-avenue M. E. Church, on probation. There is preaching every night in the week, and multitudes listen attentively.

VISITORS TO PROSPECT PARK.—The number of visitors to Prospect Park last week was 18,000, besides those who made their visits in five hundred carriages.

JOHN DEVLIN GONE TO ALBANY.—JOHN DEVLIN, who was sentenced by the United States Court on Friday, was conveyed to the Albany Penitentiary yesterday morning.

SEIZURE OF LIQUOR.—Collector E. T. WOOD, yesterday, through his deputies, seized five barrels of whisky at the Catharine-street Ferry.

NEW-JERSEY.

NEWARK BOARD OF TRADE.—Newark, the third manufacturing city in the United States, has been organizing a Board of Trade. At a meeting held Saturday night, the following officers were elected: For President, Thomas W. Dawson; Vice-Presidents, Gen. N. N. Halsted, M. Bigelow, T. P. Howell; Secretary, Col. G. N. Abeel; Treasurer, Isaac Gaston; Directors, George Peters, S. R. W. Heath, Orson Wilson, Peter H. Ballantine, Wm. H. Camp, Wm. H. McClave, Thomas Sealy, Wm. M. Force, Herman Schalk. The Board contemplates fitting up elegant rooms in the centre of the city, at a cost of several thousand dollars.

STABBING AFFRAY.—A man named CHARLES BERNARD, conductor on one of the Hoboken and West Hoboken horse-cars, was arraigned before Recorder POPE yesterday morning on a charge of stabbing CHARLES MCNAMARA, of Jersey City. MCNAMARA alleges that, while coming from West Hoboken, at 10:30 o'clock Sunday night, the prisoner drew a knife and slashed him twice in the shoulder, without, however, inflicting very serious wounds. He was held in $300 bonds to answer at the next term of Court.

OFF THE TRACK.—A coal train ran off the track of the Morris and Essex Railroad yesterday morning, and all the regular trains were detained for a long time between Hoboken and Newark. All the trains were switched off, and hundreds of passengers were subjected to great delay and inconvenience. None of the obstructed trains, it is alleged, had the implements necessary to replace the car on the track, and many of the delayed passengers expressed their indignation in forcible language.

BOARD OF FREEHOLDERS.—The Board of Freeholders of Hudson County met at noon yesterday, and proceeded to locate the boundaries for a large dock for the Pennsylvania Coal Company, on the property formerly occupied by the Hudson River Cement Company, at North Point, in Jersey City.

INCENDIARY FIRE.—At 12 o'clock Sunday night a fire, of incendiary origin, broke out in BECK'S carpenter-shop, at the head of Wayne-street, Jersey City, which, together with the contents, was destroyed. Loss about $1,200.

LAW REPORTS.

COURT CALENDARS—FOR TUESDAY.

SUPREME COURT—CIRCUIT.

Part I.—Adjourned for the Term.

Part II.—Held by Morgan, J.—Court opens at 10 A. M.

Nos.		Nos.	
1474—	Brooklyn F. G. Works vs. Russel.	1548—	Wicks et al. vs. Alden et al.
1382—	Bergman vs. Schwartz, adm., &c.	1550—	McKim et al. vs. Sackett.
1462—	Merrill et al. vs. Brear.	1552—	McKim et al. vs. B'k of Albion, &c.
1214—	Gaynor vs. Lombard.	1534—	Williams, adm'r, vs. Liverpool and London Fire Ins. Co.
1530—	Cauron vs. Coleman, Ex., &c.	1536—	Robinson vs. Wadsworth.
1542—	Decker vs. Griffer.	1538—	Robbins vs. Haskall.
178—	Bull's Head B'k. vs. Dubois.	1560—	Coburn, &c., vs. Lowry.
1400—	Hill vs. Weddle et al.	1562—	Bronson vs. Leary et al.
1452—	Hamblen vs. Rose.		
1468—	Tuelsen vs. Shipman.		
1482—	Bernstein vs. Demenier.		
1546—	Rittenhouse et al. vs. Johnson et al.		

SUPREME COURT—CIRCUIT.

Part III.—Adjourned for the Term.

SUPREME COURT—SPECIAL TERM.

Held by Cardozo, J.—Court opens at 10:30 A. M.

Set Down Causes.

Issues of Law and Fact.

Nos.		Nos.	
208—	Lowden vs. Lowden.	187—	Cameron vs. Buckmaster.
90—	Tobias vs. Sheyer.		
165—	Gardner vs. Thomson.		

SUPREME COURT—CHAMBERS.

Held by Ingraham, J.—Court opens at 10 A. M.—Call of Calendar 12 M.

Reserved Cases.

Nos.		Nos.	
52—	Wash'n Iron Works vs. Oil Tank Trans. Co.	138—	Durand vs. Am. Glass Co.
73—	Conger et al. vs. Smith	142—	Michon vs. Groux et al.
80—	Hawkins vs. White.	185—	Parmley vs. Miles Manf'g Co.
96—	Tiffany et al. vs. Ree.	185—	Sawyer et al. vs. Chambers.
101—	Kinnier vs. Kinnier.	208—	Phelps vs. Platt et al.
107—	Tamars vs. Leland et al.	237—	Babbit vs. Fraser.
111—	Waterbury vs. The Hudson Gas Light Co.		

SUPERIOR COURT—TRIAL TERM.

Part I.—Held by Monell, J.—Court opens at 11 A. M.

Nos.		Nos.	
3705—	McCarthy vs. McQuade et al.	3297—	Casey, Sr., vs. Foster.
3553—	Baum et al. vs. Rauth.	3547—	Kenney vs. Hoffman.
3535—	Cranston vs. Moury.	3593—	Wyckoff vs. McClintock and Cornwall Pet. Co.
3915—	Heins vs. Green.		
3201—	Corcoran, adm'r, vs. Bliss.	3585—	Pendrel vs. Second-av. Railroad Co.
3269—	Clevy vs. Meinken.	3595—	Cassidy vs. Bunn.
3277—	McSweeny vs. Pursell.	3737—	Heitheoker vs. Spear et al.
3286—	N. Y. Fengol Coal Co. vs. Johnson.	3739—	Gibson vs. Silbby et al.

Part II.—Held by Barbour, J.—Court opens at 11 A. M.

Nos.		Nos.	
1774—	Jaffray et al. vs. Ramage et al.	1456—	Baltz et al. vs. Gudeman.
3394—	Reynolds vs. Otterson.	3662—	Turnbull vs. Knox.
2618—	Sturges vs. N. J. Steam Navigation Co.	3666—	Coulter vs. Willard.
3824—	Board of Comissioners of Pilots vs. N. J. Steamboat Co.	3736—	Broadway Bank vs. Howard.
3868—	Stohr, &c., vs. Orotz.	3209—	Parker vs. Morse, Jr. et al.
3688—	Toledo, Logansport and N. J. R. R. Co. vs. Hawkes.	2378—	Migel vs. Munos.
		3852—	Ferrier vs. American Glass Silvering Co.
3742—	Larkin &c., vs. Hudson Riv. R. R. Co.	3878—	Crawford vs. Welch.

COMMON PLEAS—TRIAL TERM.

Part I.—Adjourned to Wednesday.

Part II.—Held by Daly, J.—Court opens at 11 A. M.

Equity Causes.

Nos.		Nos.	
146—	Hove vs. Bolles.	171—	The Mayor vs. Broadway and 7th-av. Railroad Co.
105—	Hayes et al. vs. Carey, &c.	172—	Bach vs. Haber et al.
174—	Simpson vs. Saxton.	162—	O'Connor et al. vs. West Side and Yonkers Patents Railroad Co.
168—	The Mayor, &c., vs. Bleecker-st. R. Co.	154—	Reed et al. vs. Gannon et al.
170—	The Mayor vs. Dry Dock and East Broadway Railroad Co.		

MARINE COURT—TRIAL TERM.

Held by Curtis, J.—Court opens at 10 A. M.

Nos.		Nos.	
555—	Laughlin vs. Murray.	597—	Sythoff vs. Aarons.
585—	Moring vs. Tobias.	593—	Thompson vs. Barger.
592—	Maurer vs. Hoffman.	608—	Fitch vs. Rosenstein.
517—	Foley vs. Mayo.	610—	Wurtz vs. Peck.
604—	Goetling vs. Horr.	611—	Kinney vs. Seigel.
529—	Finn vs. Fleitman.	612—	Haugh vs. Wilkinson.
438—	Bridenberg vs. Mathias

upon the demurrer to the complaint, with costs, with leave to defendants to withdraw demurrer and answer on payment of costs.

By Judge Barbour.

Rachael B. Latbrin vs. John H. Latbrin; judgment of divorce granted.

By Judge McCunn.

Antoinette Baxter vs. Erastus Baxter; judgment of divorce granted.

By Judge Jones.

Davies vs. Richman et al.; motion granted.

Tobin, administratrix, vs. Holmes; motion granted, without costs.

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS—SPECIAL TERM—

MARCH 23.

By Judge Barrett.

Solis vs. Manning; motion granted, with $10 costs, with leave to plaintiff to serve an amended complaint within ten days, on payment of such costs. (See opinion.)

Haring vs. Howard; motion granted upon the sureties in the undertaking attending at the Chambers of this Court upon two day's notice to plaintiff's attorney and justifying as upon an ordinary justification. (See memorandum.)

Hatch vs. Lehermaier; motion granted.

Tuskes vs. Gibbons; motion for a receiver granted, and T. R. B. Kennedy, Esq., appointed receiver.

Werners vs. Hersch; motion denied, without costs.

Maier vs. Hermann; motion denied.

Tuthill vs. Miller; motion to discharge attachment denied with $10 costs.

Roch vs. Valk; motion denied with $10 costs, with leave to renew upon payment of such costs, in case the defendant, within five days, tenders to plaintiff's attorney $78 92, and said attorney thereupon refuses to accept such sum.

Deimel vs. Deimal; I have considered the case presented and do no. think it would justify a re-argument.

Frank vs. Egner; referred to take proof of the facts in dispute, and the referee will report the same with his opinion thereon.

In the matter of the accounting of Morris Hart; let the whole matter be referred to H. W. Robinson, Esq.

Livingston vs. Van Nott; order settled.

Jacobs vs. Morang; findings settled.

SUPREME COURT—CIRCUIT—BROOKLYN.

Before Judge Gilbert.

A. A. Sanborn vs. *Wm. T. Perine.*—Suit was brought in this case to recover possession from defendant of two milk wagons and a milk truck, which plaintiff Sanborn claimed to belong to him, having taken them from defendant on a replevin suit. The defence claimed that the property belonged to Perine on a mechanics' lien as manufacturer of the wagons, their value being $1,200. Plaintiff claimed that he had paid $800 toward the value of the wagons, whereupon the defence answered that no delivery of the property has taken place, and that defendant did not have rightful possession. Mr. Bernard Hughes, counsel for defendant, moved for a new suit, which the Court granted.

COURT OF OYER AND TERMINER—KINGS COUNTY.

Before Justice Lott, Judge Troy and Justices Hoyt and Voorhies.

THE GRAHAM-AVENUE MURDER CASE.

Otto Schade was brought up for trial on the charge of having, on the night of Jan. 4, killed Henry Bayar, the keeper of a lager-bier saloon, corner of Graham-avenue and Richardson-street, E. D. Mr. Thomas E. Pearsall, Assistant District-Attorney, made the opening address to the jury.

From the testimony given it appeared that Frederick Krebsbach was bar-tender for the deceased; that on the night of the occurrence in question, the prisoner entered the saloon in a state of partial intoxication, and asked for a drink; that upon asking how much he owed, he was told "65 cents," which he disputed, and claimed to owe but 50 cents; that deceased got up and showed the prisoner toward the door, knocking him down near the cellar steps; that after the deceased returned to the saloon, the bar-tender carried out the hat and meat belonging to the prisoner, gave it to him, and told him to go home; that Krebsbach, the bar-tender, did not see the prisoner with a knife, and did not see him strike deceased; that Dr. James F. Thiele attended the deceased when he was in Schwabe's drug-store, at the corner of Graham avenue and Ainslie-street, and found an incised wound on the left side of deceased, near the shoulder, by which the principal artery and vein of the left arm were cut, so that notwithstanding all efforts to save his life, the air having entered his breast, the wound was fatal; that his life might have been saved but for the fact of the air having entered his breast; that he did not bleed to death; that the wound could have been made by the clasp knife shown in court; that Boundsmen Gat-a and Officer Lewis arrested the prisoner, and found the clasp-knife shown in his house and some blood on his clothes; that the prisoner was drunk in bed when they went to arrest him; that Nicholas Krebsbach, who was in the saloon at the time, saw the altercation; saw deceased stand in the door, and heard him say he was stabbed, but saw no one stab him, and saw no one near the door at the time but the prisoner; that Mary Mackins had seen the prisoner with the knife shown in court, when he was in the store kept by her goating meat; that sometimes meat of the sort he got was bloody, and that the neck-piece he got was for his cat.

The defence presented evidence to prove good character for the prisoner, from which it appeared that he had served in the army for four years; that he bore an excellent character in the Eagle Saw-mill, where he was employed for two years previous to the time of the fatal occurrence, and that he was a peaceable, faithful and orderly man.

After the case had been summed up by Mr. J. Johnson, for the defence, and Mr. Morris, District-Attorney, for the people, Justice Lott adjourned it till this morning at 9:30 o'clock, when he will give it to the jury.

INFANTICIDE CASE.

Sarah J. Cumminger, a lady of color, forty-five years of age, was brought to trial, charged with having on the 17th of October, caused the death of her daughter's new-born child. The evidence being insufficient, the jury acquitted the prisoner and she was discharged. Mr. John S. Applegate, counsel for defence, and District-Attorney for the People.

CITY COURT—BROOKLYN.

Before Justice Thompson.

PERILS OF SIDEWALK ENCUMBRANCES.

John Shannon by his Guardian vs. *Edward J. Lowber and another.*—This is a case in which suit was brought by the boy Shannon, nine years old, through his father, against the defendants, who are proprietors of a distillery in Second-street in the Eastern District. It appears that the boy was playing marbles in the vicinity of the distillery, when some workmen rolled out a large cast-iron drum about three feet in diameter and weighing not less than a hundred pounds, having a flange ten or twelve inches deep; that one of the boy's marbles went under the drum and he followed it trying to recover it, and pressing his knee against it where it rested against the wall, the drum fell over and injured him so that he was confined for several weeks, and was probably permanently injured. Owing to one of the jury men having expressed an opinion on the subject prematurely, the Court gave the plaintiff leave to move for a new trial.

MONETARY AFFAIRS.

Sales at the Stock Exchange—March 23, 1868.

$5,000	U. S. 6s, '81, R.	111	
3,000	U. S. 6s, 5-20s, C. '64	110¼	
3,000	do. '62	110¼	
23,500	U. S. 6s, 5-20s, C. '65	108	
1,000	do.	108	
17,000	U. S. 6s, 5-20 C. '65	108¼	
20,000	do.	108	
1,000	U. S. 10-40s, C.	103	
6,000	U. S. 10-40s R.	101	
20,000	Ir. Rds. 7-30s 2d series	106¾	
70,000	do.	106¾	
500	do. 3d Ser	106¾	
30,000	do.	106¾	
5,000	do.	106¾	
17,000	Tenn. 6s, new	68¾	
4,000	do.	68¾	
1,000	Tenn. 6s, 6s, ex-c.	67	
20,000	do.	67¾	
5,000	Vir. 6s, new	50	
2,000	Vir. 6s, 6's, ex-c	50¼	
20,000	No. Car. 6s, ex-c	61¾	
25,000	do.	61	
4,000	Missouri 6s	88	
20,000	do. 2d call	89¾	
1,000	N. Y. Cen. 6s, '83.	95	
1,000	Har. 1st M.	102	
1,000	Mich. So. 2d M.	83	
4,000	C. & N. W. Con. Bd.	87¾	
6,000	Chi., R. I. & P. 7s	95	
5,000	Mor. & E. 1st M.	99	
22,000	M. & St. P. 1st M.	89	
2,000	Chi. & G. E. 1st.	87	
2000	Tol. Pe. & W. 1st.	92¾	
200	At. Mail	93½	
100	do.	93½	
10	Met. Bank	125	
10	Ninth National	104½	

SECOND BOARD.

$40,000	U. S. 6s '81, C.	110¾	
26,000	U. S. 6s, 5-20s, C. '65	108	
	do. new	106¾	
18,000	U. S. 5-20s, C. '67	108	
	New issue	106¾	
26,000	do.	107	
36,000	U. S. 5s, 10-40, C.	103¾	
75,000	Ir. N. 7-30s	100	
	2d series	103½	
1,000	Missouri 6s	87	
4,000	Mo. 6s, H. & St. Jo. Issue	83	
23,000	N. Car 6s, 8-ex-	60¾	
16,000	do.	60¾	
500	Pacific Mail	109¾	
100	do.	109¾	
300	Atlantic Mail	93	
140	Del. & Hud. Ca.	150¾	
100	Canton Co.	46	
20	Adams Ex.	75¾	
100	do.	75	
200	Wells-Fargo Ex.	35½	
25	Mer. U. Ex.	34¾	
200	N. Y. Cen.	122¾	
100	do.	122¾	

OPEN BOARD—10 A. M.

100	Mer. U. Ex.	34¾	
100	West U. Tel.	24¾	
30	Adams Ex.	75	
60	do.	122¾	
60	do.	122¾	
60	do.	122¾	
60	do.	122¾	
50	Wells-Fargo Ex.	34¾	
20	N. Y. Cen.	122¾	
100	do.	122¾	

OPEN BOARD—12 M.

600	Reading R.	91¾	
800	do.	91¾	
100	do.	91¾	
100	Mil. & St. P. Pf.	70	
200	do.	70	
100	P., F. W. & Ch.	102¾	
100	do.	102¾	
100	do.	102¾	

OPEN BOARD—1½ P. M.

100	Mer. U. Ex.	34¾	
600	Pac. Mail	109¾	
200	do.	109¾	
500	do.	110	
100	do.	109¾	
100	do.	109¾	
100	do.	b3	109¾
100	P., F. W. & Ch.	102¾	
100	do.	b3	102¾
100	do.	c	102¾

OPEN BOARD—1¾ P. M.

5	Am. Ex. Co.	69	
100	Adams Ex.	c	72½
200	do.	c	75
50	do.	c	75
200	Canton Co.	46	
200	Pacific Mail	110	
100	do.	c	110
200	Atlantic Mail	90	
100	West. U. Tel.	c	24½
200	do.	b15	24¾
100	N. Y. Cen.	c	122½
100	do.	b3	122¾
50	Erie R.	c	72¾
200	do.	91	
200	do.	b15	91¾
100	Mil. & St. P. Pf.	70½	
100	do.	b10	70¾
200	do.	70½	
100	do.	c	70¾

OPEN BOARD—3¼ P. M.

100	Mer. U. Ex.	34¾	
600	Pac. Mail	109¾	
200	do.	109¾	
500	do.	b15	110
100	do.	c	109¾
100	do.	b30	110
100	N. Y. Cen. R.	122¾	
800	do.	122¾	
400	do.	122¾	
100	do.	b2	122½
200	do.	b2	122½
100	do.	b10	122¾
100	do.	s3	122½
150	West. U. Tel.	24	
100	Fr. W. & Ch.	102¾	
100	Mil. & St. P. Pf.	70	

MONDAY, March 23—P. M.

The sales of Gold opened this morning at 139¼ ₱ cent., and the market looked firm. But before 11 o'clock A. M. the sales fell to 139¼@ 139¼ ₱ cent., and soon after to 139@138¾ ₱ cent. In the afternoon the sales dropped to 138¾ ₱ cent. The California steamer delivered to-day $1,168,779 in Gold and Silver, of which half a million in Gold Coin came to the Treasury Office, and the surmise is that this half million was sold for Government account on arrival. The Foreign Exchanges were dull at 109¼@109¾ for standard 60-day Bills on London.

The Money market was again active to-day, though the pressure was less decided upon the Brokers than on Thursday and Friday last. The rates were from 7@10 ₱ cent., on call, the outside figure being equivalent, in Currency, to 7 ₱ cent. in Gold, which some of the private Banking Houses exact in place of the direct charge of an extra rate in Currency. No relief was experienced from the offer of the Treasury, of Friday night, to issue Clearing-house 3 ₱ cent. Certificates of Deposit to the Banks, to the extent of its ability to buy 7.30 ₱ cents at certain rates. The Banks, in general meeting, declined to bring themselves under obligation to furnish the 7.30 ₱ cents at any price, and therefore declined the arrangement, as wholly impracticable. The vote was largely against it. It is now said by the friends of the Secretary that the offer was made on the suggestion of some of the Banks themselves, through Mr. CALLENDER, the Bank Examiner in New-York, and Mr. HULBURD, Bank Comptroller in Washington, and that it was in no sense volunteered. However this may be, the Bank Officers, generally, without impeaching the good faith of the Secretary, feel that he has other and more direct means at his command, not only to relieve the money pressure, but to serve the Treasury. It is not overlooked in this connexion that he withholds from employment nearly one-half the Fifty millions 3 ₱ cent. Legal Tender Certificates, which Congress directed him to substitute for the Compounds which fell due last year. There has not been a time in the last six months that this legal tender paper would not have been gladly taken by the City and Country Banks and by the Savings' Institutions as Cash, and would be so taken *now* the if Secretary really desires either to purchase 7.30 ₱ cents. or to relieve the Money market. To say that having paid the Compounds of 1867 without requiring the whole of these Certificates, the remainder must now be withheld from employment until the Compounds of 1868 fall due, is simply trifling with the common sense of the business public, after an arbitrary violation of the law and purpose of Congress, last July and August.

Although the Money market was active through the day and the Public Funds, as well as the Railways were depressed after the result of the Bank meetmg, the pressure upon the Brokers was by no means as severe as last week, and at the rates quoted above no difficulty was encountered in making up the accounts of the day. A number of the heavy National Banks are believed to be charging 7 ₱ cent. Gold-interest, equivalent to 10 ₱ cent. in Currency, and it is probable that this practice will prevail until after the Quarterly Statement of April 6, provided no other means of readily supplying the wants of the Brokers are brought to the relief of the market.

The Public Funds closed ⅛@¼ ₱ cent. lower on 7.30 ₱ cent's, and ¼@⅜ ₱ cent. on the Gold-bearing Stocks, than on Saturday night. The Railway market, after opening with much firmness on New-York Central, Erie, Northwest Preferred, &c., and selling at an advance on Saturday's prices through the forenoon, gave way later in the day, and was sold down on nearly the entire list at the Afternoon Boards, the widest difference, 124@121¼, being made on New-York Central; Erie, 72¼@70⅜; Northwestern Preferred, 75⅛@74⅜; Reading, 91¼@90; Rock Island, 93⅜@93, while Fort Wayne, Michigan Southern, St. Paul Common and Preferred, Northwest Common and Toledo were comparatively steady. The Miscellaneous Stocks were not lower than on Saturday, though speculation in them is tame.

After the late Boards broke up the following quotations were made as compared with Saturday night. The Border State Bonds were lower on North Carolinas; steady for Tennessees.

	Sat.	Mon.
U. S. 10-40s	101¼	101⅝
U. S. 5-20s, 1862	105⅝	105⅝
U. S. 5-20s, 1864	107¼	107⅝
N. Y. Central	122¾	121¼
Erie	71¾	71
Hudson	136	136
Reading	92	90⅝
Mich. Southern	87⅝	87⅜
Mich. and Miss.	29⅝	29⅜
Cleveland	93¾	93¾
Toledo	104⅝	104⅜
Illinois Central	65¾	65⅝
Missouri	87⅛	87
Northwest	63	63
N. West Pref.	74¾	74⅝
Rock Island	93½	93⅜
Fort Wayne	102⅜	102⅛
W. U. Telegraph	24¾	24¾
Mchants' Union	34¾	34⅝
St. Paul Pref.	83	70
St. Paul Com.	54¾	55
Pacific Mail	109¾	110¼
American Gold	139¼	138⅞
Bills on London	109¾	109½

The following bids were made for the various Public Funds of the United States:

6 ₱ cent. 1868	129	5-20 coup. '67	106⅞
6 ₱ cent. coup. 1868	129	5 ₱ cent. coup., 1871	120
6 ₱ ct. reg., 1881	111	5 ₱ cent., reg., 1874	109⅛
5-20 s. 1,000, 1881	111	5 ₱ cent. c'pn 1874	110
5-20 coup. 1862	105⅞	10-40 reg.	103¾
5-20 500 & 1,000, 1862	105⅞	10-40 coup., small	101
5-20 500 & 1,000, 1864	108	10-40 500 & 1,000	103¾
5-20 500 & 1,000, 1865	108¼	7-30 2d series	103⅝
5-20 coup. '65 n.	106⅞	7-30 3d series	103⅝

And the following for the State Bonds:

N. Y. Bounty 7s	108¾	Illinois war loan	100
N. Y. Canal 7s, 1870	101	Michigan 6 ₱ c., 1873	94
N. Y. Canal 6s, 1872-78	101	Tennessee 6 ₱ c., 1868	68
N. Y. Canal 6s, 1874	96	Tennessee 6 ₱ c. ex-c.	68
Ohio 6 ₱ c., 1870	103¾	Tennessee new bds.	65¾
Ohio 6 ₱ c., 1881	102	Virginia 6s, ex-c.	50¼
Ohio 6 ₱ c., 1886	102	Virginia 6s, n. b.	50
Kentucky 6s	95	Georgia 6s	73½
Illinois C. bonds 1869	100	Georgia 7s, new bonds	67
Illinois reg., 1880	100	North Carolina 6s	75
Ill. 6s, coup., '79 aft. 1860	100	North Carolina 6s, ex-c.	60¾
Illinois 6s, '79 aft. 1862	100	North Car. 6s, n. b.	60¾
Illinois 6s, '79 aft. 1865	100	Missouri 6s	88¾
Illinois c. 6s '79 aft. 1870	100	Mis. R. & St. Jo. 1s	83
Illinois coupon 6s, 1877	100	California 7s	133
Illinois coupon 6s, 1879	100	Connecticut 6s	100

And the following for the City Bank Stocks:

New-York	135	Bank of the Republic	114
Manhattan	141	Bank of N. America	106
Merchants	116	Metropolitan	135
Mechanics'	122¾	Market	115
America	120	Shoe and Leather	112
Phenix	110	Corn Exchange	120
National	111	Continental	103
Merchants' Exch'ge	110	St. Nicholas	107
Seventh Ward	111	Marine	145
State of New-York	113¾	Impt'rs. & Traders'	125
Commerce	117¾	Manuf'rs & Merchants	108
Mec. B. Association	116	Fourth National	104
Ocean	101	Ninth National	104
American Exchange	119		

And the following for the Railway Mortgages:

N. Y. Cen. 6s, 1883	95	Tol & W. 2d M.	82
N. Y. Cen. 6s, 1887	93¾	Gt. Western 1st M. '69	89
N. Y. Cen. 6s, R. Es.	91	Gt. Western. 1st M. '88.	88
N. Y. Cen. 6s, Sub'ds.	91	Gt. Western 2d M. '93.	76¾
N. Y. Cen. 7s, 1876	113¾	Quin. & Tol. 1st M. '90.	72
N. Y. Cen. 7s, 1865-76	120	Ills. & So. Iowa 1st M.	72
Erie 1st M., Ex.	103¾	Mil. & P. du C. 1st M.	98
Erie 2s, 4th mtg.	1883	Gal. & Chi. extended	100
Erie 2s, 5th mtg.	1888	Chi. & R. I. 1st M.	100
Buff., N. Y. & Erie. 1st	77	Chi. & R. I. Pac. 1s.	86
Hud. R. 7s, 2d M.	85	Chi. & Tol. S. F.	102
Harlem 1st M., 1866-72	102	Chi. & Alton 1st M.	103
Mich. Cen. 8, 1st M.	112¾	Morris & Essex 1st M.	98¾
Chi. R. & Q. 8's, 1st M.	113¾	Morris & Essex 2d M.	86¾
Mich. So. 7 ₱ c. 2d M.	92	Pitts., Ft. W. & Ch. 1st M.	102
N. Ind. Goshen Line	98	Pitts., Ft. W. & Ch. 2d M.	99¼
Mich. S. & N. I. S. 7 ₱ c.	103¼	Cleve. & P. 2d M.	97
Pac. R. 1s, guar. by Mo.	89	Cleve. & P. 4th M.	76
Ill. Cen. 7 ₱ c. 1870	110	Chi. & Alton S. F.	98
Alton & T. R. 1st M.	93	Chi. & Alton S. F. 1 M.	108
Alton & T. H. 2d M. pf.	83	Chi. & Alton S. F. in	55¾
Alton & T. H. 2d M. inc.	81	Atlantic & G. W. 1st M.	73
Chi. & N. W. s. fund	93	Ohio & Miss. 1st 2d	91
Chic. & N. W. int. Bds.	90	Dub. & Sioux C. 1st M.	97
Con. 7 ₱ cent. conv.	87¾	Mil. & St. Paul 2d M.	87
Con. 7 ₱ cent. 1st M.	88¾	Mar. & Cin. 1st M.	92
Lack. & West'n. bds.	97	Chic. & Mil. 1st M.	86
Del. L. & W 1st M.	100	Chi. & Gt. East. 1st M.	86¾
Pot. & W. 1st M.	91	N. Y. & N. H. 6s.	98
Tol. & W. 1st M. Ex.	91¾		

The day's business at the office of the United States Assistant Treasurer was as follows: Total receipts, $2,978,014 46; total payments, $1,961,242 28; balance, $100,848,107 12. The receipts include for Customs, $673,000; for Gold Notes, $74,000.

The Canton Land Company.

To the Editor of the New-York Times:

A proposition is being entertained to hold a meeting of the Stockholders of the Canton Company within thirty days to decide upon the advisability of selling, at auction, the property of the Company in Baltimore, to be paid for in the stock of the Company. If such a plan should be decided upon due notice will be given of the time and place of such meeting.

A STOCKHOLDER.

The present excitement in City Real Estate would seem to be a favorable opportunity for the disposal of at least a good share of this estate. Such sales would fairly test the repeated and confident representations that its value is far beyond the market price of its shares in the New-York market.

Transfers of Real Estate.

NEW-YORK CITY.

Allen-st., e. s., 75 ft. n. of Hester-st., 25x65.7½	q.c. nom.
Hester and Allen sts., n. e. cor., 21.10½x75	
Jane-st., n. s., lot No. 177, Ireland estate, 20x80	$7,900
Madison-st., No. 347, 24x95	23,000
Thompson-st., e. s., No. 163, 10 ft. n. of Grand-st., 27.8½4.4x37.8½3.6	24,500
Thames-st., n. s., Nos. 22 and 24, 33.4x54.8	40,000
4th-st., n. s., No. 192, 5 ft. e. of Bowery, 25x100	19,000
34th-st., n. s., 70 ft. e. of 7th-av., 21x74.5	28,500
34th-st., n. s., 140 ft. e. of 7th-av., 21x74.5	6,000
34th-st., n. s., 89 ft. e. of 7th-av., 21x74.3	nom.
41st-st., s. s., 146.8 ft. w. of 4th-av., 16.8x98.9	10,500
48th-st., s. s., 247 ft. w. of 5th-av	58,000
58th-st., n. s., 253.8½ ft. w. of Av. A, 146x100.4—½ share	1,000
48th-st., s. s., 385 ft. w. of 4th-av., 300x100.4	23,000
49th-st., s. s., 240 ft. w. of Broadway	nom.
50th-st., s. s., 240 ft. e. of 1st-av., 20x80	17,500
51st-st., 114.6 ft. e. of 6th-av., 20.5x100.6	nom.
57th-st., s. s., 200 ft. w. of 6th-av., 25x100.5	5,750
57th-st., s. s., 225 ft. w. of 6th-av., 25x100.5	5,750
57th-st., s. s., 250 ft. w. of 6th-av., 25x100.5	5,750
57th-st., s. s., 275 ft. w. of 4th-av., 25x100.5	5,750
57th-st., s. s., 175 ft. w. of 6th-av., 25x100.5	5,750
58th-st., s. s., 226.9 ft. w. of 3d-av., 20x100	8,700
11th-st. and 9th-av., n. w. cor., 100x½ block, ½ share	200
113th-st., n. s., 325 ft. w. of 7th-av., 25x98.4x24x1 0.11	1,500
113th-st., n. s., 100 ft. e. of 10th-av., 100x100.11	6,500
123d-st., s. s., 540 ft. e. of 4th-av., 25x100.11	1,400
145th-st. and 10th-av., n. e. cor., 225x99.11	14,000
150th-st. and Mscomb's Dam road, n. e. cor., 112x50	2 00
152d-st., No. 32, n. e. of 10th-av., 8.x90.11	4,500
Av. C and 10th-av., n. e. cor., 19.9x88	21,000
Madison-av. and 44th-st., n. w. cor., 25.5x95	17,000
2d-av., 9.2d ft. s. 113th-st., 102x8.4x3.7x11.6½x 95.7½x116.8	4,500
3d-av., e. s., 101 ft. s. 46th-st., 20x65	24,500
3d-av. and 108th-st., s. e. cor., 176.10x110	21,000
8th-av., s. s., 50.5 ft. n. 59 Bloomingdale road, 20x80	7,500
9th-av. and 44th-st., n. w. cor., 20.1x80	20,000
11th-av., e. s., centre block, between 54th and 55th sts., 100.3x100	9,500
11th-av., e. s., 80.5 ft. s. of 44th-st., 20x80	1,000
Tracts Nos. 11, 12, 29, 24 Thompson estate, 14½ acres, on Hudson River	110,000

Leases Recorded.

Broadway, No. 751, 5 years, per year	$13,500
Broadway, No. 766, 7 years, per year	7,000
Carmine-st., No. 5, 5 years, per year	950
Carmine-st., No. 4, 5 years, per year	1,000
Grand-st., No. 584, 5 years, per year	1,600
E. Houston-st., No. 151 and No. 154, 3 years, per year	1,200
South-st., No. 116, 5 years, per year	1,500
Stanton-st., No. 204, 3 years, per year	650
1st st., No. 73, 4 years, per year	1,400
14th-st., s. s., 78.11 ft. w. of Broadway, 106.6x100x 98.02x1x117.5x29.2, 10 years, per year	20,000
14th-st., s. s., 78.14c.@98.11c. for Rents, and 3d-av. and 24th-st., s. s., 5 years, per year	1,650
	2,500

KINGS COUNTY.

Brooklyn.

Elm-st., n. s., 325 ft. w. of Willow-st., 98x100	$465
Elm-st., n. s., 25 ft. w. of Willow-st., 97.8x25	
Hopkins-st., n. s., 175 ft. e. of Nostrand-av., 25x100	600
Hopkins-st., s. s., 325 ft. e. of Nostrand-av., 66.5x25x75	
Kent-st., s. s., 325 ft. e. of Union-av., 25x100	1,000
Lefferts-st., n. s., 181.2 ft. w. of Grand-av., 21.9x100	20,000
Lefferts-st., n. s., 256.5 ft. w. of Grand-av., 1 6x 21.3x48x6 in.x97x21.9	
Leonard-st., e. s., 350 ft. s. of Norman-av., 25x100	650
Pacific-st., n. s., 45 ft. w. of Bond-st., 2 x90	8,000
Rodney-st., e. s., 175 ft. s. of Myrtle-av., 25x100	2,850
Spencer-st., w. s., 300 ft. n. of Park-av., 25x100	1,100
Stagg and Morrell sts., n. w. cor., 100x300	4,000
Stagg-st., n. s., 100 ft. e. of Morrell-st., 25x100	2,000
Stagg-st., n. s., 150 ft. w. of Morrell-st., 2 1x100	1,650
Stagg-st., n. s., 175 ft. w. of Morrell-st., 25x100	1,500
Stagg-st., n. s., 200 ft. w. of Morrell-st., 25x100	1,000
Stagg-st., n. s., 275 ft. w. of Morrell-st., 25x100	1,100
Stagg-st., s. s., 100 ft. e. of Sm.th-st., 5.x100	2,000
Taylor-st., w. s., 150 ft. s. of Lee-av., 20.10x100	10,000
Warren-st., s. s., 142.3 ft. e. of Vanderbilt-av., 20.6 x100.1, 2x4.4x15.5	nom.
Warren-st., n. s., 150 ft. e. of Vanderbilt-av., 60x131	12,960
Warren-st., n. s., 250 ft. e. of Vanderbilt-av., 120x131	
Warren-st., n. s., 210 ft. e. of Vanderbilt-av., 40x131	
Warren-st., n. s., 320 ft. e. of Vanderbilt-av., 10o.8x149.6x33.7x131	7,030
South 3d-st., s. s., 178.9½ ft. w. of 4th-st., 24.8½x95 x24.7x90	3,500
3d-st., n. s., 300 ft. w. of Bond-st., 20x90	6,000
3d-st., n. s., 340 ft. w. of Bond-st., 20x90	6,000

Flatbush.

Albany-av., Collins-st., s. e. cor., 319.1x280	200
Schenectady-av. and Williams-st., n. e. cor., 533 x130	$4,500
Lawrence-av. and 1st-st., n. w. cor., 121.9x9.x21.9x 100x100	3,800

NEW-JERSEY.

Jersey City.

South 5th-st., s. s., 180 ft. w. of Coles-st., 20x95	$5,000

West Hoboken.

Ann-st., n. s., lots Nos. 210½ to 216 inclusive	$4,000
Jeanette-st., e. s., lot No. 2, map of Bonsville	

Hudson City.

Harrison-place, n. s., 128.6 ft. w. of Palisade-av., 25x98	$4,200
Henry-st., n. s., 225 ft. w. of Waldo-av., 75x92	2,700
Jefferson-st., n. s., lot No. 39 Block No. 94, 25x123	300

Bergen.

Linden-av., s. s., lots Nos. 11 and 12	$2,400

Bayonne.

Vreeland-st., s. s., 150x200	$5,500
45th-st., s. w. s., 76.8x208x249.4x120x209.4x236.4	4,000

Union.

Blum and Bergen Line roads, n. w. cor., 43.3x100	$5,500

BANKING AND FINANCIAL.

☞BROOKLYN CITY LOANS.

These include Park, Water and other Improvemetn Six and Seven per Cent. Bonds. For sale by

JAY COOKE & CO.,
Wall and Nassau sts.

GENERAL MARKETS.

NEW-YORK, Monday, March 23, 1868.

The receipts of the principal kinds of produce since our last, have been as follows:

Ashes, bbls.		Barley, bushels	580
Flour, bbls.	5,078	Malt, bushels	1,375
Flour, bags		Peas, bushels	
Cornmeal, bbls.	230	Pork, bbls.	256
Cornmeal, bags	2,117	Cut Meats, pkgs	507
Wheat, bushels	750	Lard, pkgs	994
Corn, bushels	42,000	Beef, tcs. and bbls.	200
Rye, bushels		Whisky, bbls.	10
Oats, bushels	1,300	Petroleum, bbls.	2,418

ASHES—Continue scarce and in demand at $8 37 for Pots, and $11 for Pearls, ₱ 100 lbs.

COFFEE—Has been in fair request and very firm, including Java at 24¼c.@25½c.; Maracaibo, 16c.@18c.; Laguayra, 16c.@17¼c.; Rio, 13¼c.@18¾c., free, and 8¾c.@13¼c., in bond; Native Ceylon, 18c.@19¾c.; St. Domingo, 14½c.@15c., all in gold, ₱ lb.

COTTON—Has been in light demand at easier and irregular prices to-day. The aggregate sales since our last have been 2,071 bales, including 940 bales to spinners, 478 bales to shippers, 378 baics to speculators, and 975 bales in transit.

Closing prices of Cotton:

	Upland.	Mobile	N. O. & Tex.
Ordinary, ₱ lb.	21½	21¾	22 @22¼
Good Ordinary	22½	22¾	23 @23¾
Low Middling	23½	23¾	24 @24¼
Middling	24½	24¾	25¼@25½
Good Middling	25¾	26	26¼@26½

Movements of Cotton at this Port.

	Sales.
Receipts last week	21,135
Receipts preceding week	29,117
Receipts since Jan. 1, 1868	263,677
Receipts since Sept. 1, 1867	489,189
Receipts year ending Jan. 1, 1868	679,670
Receipts year ending Sept. 1, 1867	573,922
Receipts year ending Jan. 1, 1867	657,439
Receipts year ending Sept. 1, 1866	511,630
Exports, since Tuesday	1,370
Exports since Jan. 1, 1868	121,339
Exports since Sept. 1	256,620
Exports year ending Jan. 1, 1868	438,117
Exports year ending Sept. 1, 1867	472,596
Exports year ending Jan. 1, 1867	381,092
Exports year ending Sept. 1, 1866	495,301
Estimated stock on hand here	111,000

Movements in Cotton at all the Shipping Ports.

Receipts at all the shipping ports of the United States from the close of the war, May 1, 1865, to Sept. 1, 1866	4,571,040
Receipts at all the ports, since Sept. 1, 1867	1,817,000
Receipts same time 1866-7	1,485,000
Receipts at all the ports year ending Sept. 1, 1867	1,951,988

The New-York Times.

NEW-YORK, TUESDAY, MARCH 24, 1868.

Amusements this Evening.

WALLACK'S THEATRE.
Broadway, corner 13th-st.
ROSEDALE. Characters by Mr. Lester Wallack, Mr. John Gilbert, Mr. George Holland, Miss Rose Eytinge, Mrs. Vernon, Miss Fanny Morant, Mrs. Clara Jennings, and others.

FRENCH THEATRE.
14th-st., near 6th-av.
THE GRAND DUCHESS OF GEROLSTEIN. Mlle. Tostee as the Duchess.

NIBLO'S GARDEN.
Broadway, between Prince and Houston sts.
THE WHITE FAWN.

OLYMPIC THEATRE.
Broadway, between Houston and Bleecker sts.
HUMPTY DUMPTY. Characters by Mr. Geo. L. Fox, Mr. F. Lacy, Mr. U. K. Fox, Mlle. Emilie Rizzi, Mlle. Schell, Miss M. Blake, Miss Lizzie Whiting, and others.

NEW-YORK THEATRE.
Broadway, near 8th-st.
CAMILLE. Characters by Miss Kate Reignolds, Mr. G. C. Boniface, Mr. G. H. Clarke, &c.

BROADWAY THEATRE.
Broadway, near Broome-st.
JOB. Mr. Chanfrau in four characters.

BOWERY THEATRE.
Bowery, near Canal-st.
THE ASSASSIN OF THE ROCKS and THE MIDNIGHT BANQUET.

NEW-YORK CIRCUS.
14th-st., opposite Academy of Music.
NEW-YORK CIRCUS TROUPE—EXHIBITION OF EQUESTRIANISM AND CLASSIC OLYMPIC GAMES.

BROOKLYN ACADEMY OF MUSIC.
Montague-st.
LUCRETIA BORGIA. Characters by Mme. La Grange, Mlle. Bonheur, Sigs. Massimiliani, Orlandini, and Nicolao.

STEINWAY HALL.
Nos. 71 and 73 East 14th-st.
GRAND CONCERT. By Ole Bull, Sigs. Severini, Randolphia, Mr. Geo. W. Morgan, and Mr. E. Hoffman.

Terms of the New-York Times.

MAIL SUBSCRIBERS.

The DAILY TIMES, per annum.....$10
The DAILY TIMES (Sunday Edition included).....$12
The SEMI-WEEKLY TIMES, one year.....$3
The SEMI-WEEKLY TIMES, two copies, one year.....$5
The WEEKLY TIMES, one year.....$2
Five copies.....$8
Ten copies.....$15

These prices are invariable. We have no traveling agents. Remit in Checks or Post-office Money Orders if possible. Address

H. J. RAYMOND & CO.,
TIMES Office, New-York.

Notice.

We cannot notice anonymous communications. In all cases we require the writer's name and address, not for publication, but as a guarantee of good faith.

We cannot return rejected communications, nor preserve manuscripts, unless specially requested so to do.

To Advertisers.

Advertisers in the TIMES are requested to bring in their notices at as early an hour in the day as possible. If received after 8½ o'clock, it will be impossible to classify them under their proper heads.

NEWS OF THE DAY.

EUROPE.

In the British House of Commons, yesterday, Government resolutions in regard to church reform in Ireland were introduced. The debate upon them will commence on May 30.

The two Fenians under sentence of death for the murder of Police Sergeant BRETT have been reprieved.

The second session of the Parliament of the North German Confederation commenced in Berlin yesterday. King WILLIAM, in his speech, alluded to the treaty just concluded with the United States as knitting more closely together nations already allied by strong bonds of commerce and race.

The Civil Marriage bill has finally passed both Houses of the Austrian Reichsrath.

Advices from Abyssinia set forth that nearly all the troops of the expedition had reached the high table-lands. THEODORUS was reported to be entrenched on the Talanta Plateau.

CONGRESS.

A resolution was adopted in the Senate, yesterday, providing for the printing and indexing of 2,000 copies of the reports of the impeachment trial, and a bill passed providing for the expenses of the trial. A bill to reorganize and reduce the expenses of the State Department was referred to the Committee on Retrenchment. At 1 o'clock the President *pro tempore* vacated the chair in favor of the Chief Justice, and the Senate organized for the trial of the President. The Impeachment Managers on the part of the House then appeared, as did also the counsel for the President, and occupied the places assigned them. The members of the House took seats outside the bar. The minutes of the previous session having been read, Mr. DAVIS submitted a written protest against the trial of the President, on the ground that while the Southern States were excluded from representation, the Senate was not organized in accordance with the requirements of the Constitution. Mr. CONNESS objected to receiving the protest, and was sustained by vote of the Senate. Mr. STANBERY then announced that counsel were ready to submit the President's answer to the charges, whereupon Mr. CURTIS read the answer, which will be found in full in other columns of the TIMES this morning. When the document had been read and ordered filed, Mr. BINGHAM announced that the House Managers would, doubtless, be ready to submit a replication at 1 o'clock to-day. Mr. EVARTS addressed the Court briefly, asking, in behalf of the President, thirty days' time to prepare for the trial. His motion was opposed by the House Managers, and after a lengthy discussion it was proposed to postpone action on the question until after replication had been filed. This was negatived, yeas 25, nays 28. The motion of counsel was then rejected, yeas 11, nays 41. Counsel then asked reasonable time for preparation, and ten days was suggested, after which the Court adjourned to to-day.

A large number of bills and joint resolutions were presented in the House yesterday and referred to committees. Mr. HOLMAN offered a resolution reciting that, in the judgment of the House, it is just to pay the holders of Government securities in greenbacks. He moved the previous question, but the House refused to second it. A motion to lay it on the table was negatived, and the resolution was finally referred to the Committee on Ways and Means. A motion was agreed to calling on the Secretary of the Treasury for his reasons for removing Gen. STEADMAN from his office of Collector of Internal Revenue in New-Orleans. A message having been received from the Senate announcing its readiness to proceed with the trial of the President, the House resolved itself into a Committee of the Whole and proceeded to the Senate Chamber to attend the trial. At 5 o'clock the members returned and business was resumed. The Committee on Elections reported in the case of the contested seat from the Ninth District of Missouri, that WILLIAM T. SWEETZLER was entitled to the seat claimed by GEORGE W. ANDERSON. Mr. BINGHAM announced that the Impeachment Managers had prepared a replication to the answer made by the President to the impeachment charges. A debate arose as to whether the Managers should be permitted to file the replication before submitting it to the House, Messrs. WOOD and ELDRIDGE claiming the right to hear and discuss it. On motion, the House took a recess until this morning, when the question is to be decided.

GENERAL.

It is reported in Havana that an American steamer has arrived at St. Thomas with $600,000 in specie, to be placed at the disposal of the Dominican Envoy; and that the latter is to proceed to St. Domingo with the money, and to secure the lease of the bay of Samana from the Baez Government.

Violent earthquakes occurred at Porto Rico on March 10 and 17, and at St. Thomas on March 15. A great scarcity of provisions prevailed in the former island, and the plan of making it a free port was universally advocated.

A dispatch from St. Louis says that three brothers named COLLIN were recently murdered near Denver, Colorado, by a traveling companion who has escaped. The bodies of the murdered men have been discovered.

The schooner *Alice Ridgeway* is reported to have been driven on the New-Jersey shore during the late gale, having been capsized at sea and all her crew lost.

An organized gang of thieves in Colorado has recently been broken up by the Sheriff of Los Animes County, who captured several of the band and recovered 2,700 head of cattle which they had stolen.

Hon. H. H. CHILD, of Pittsfield, Mass., President of the Berkshire Medical College, and formerly Lieutenant-Governor of Massachusetts, died in Boston on Sunday, aged 84 years.

CHARLES BADELL, a rag-picker, was fatally stabbed in Louisville on Sunday night, while in an altercation with a companion named PHILIP SEITZ.

JOHN B. HOSKIN has been appointed by Judge BARNARD referee in the matter of the contempt of Gen. DIVEN, and Messrs. LANE, DAVIS and SKIDMORE, Directors of the Erie Railway Company. Other attachments have been issued by the Court against JAS. FISK, Jr., J. GOULD and JOHN S. ELDRIDGE, who were returned by the Sheriff as not having been found. Mr. THOMPSON voluntarily appeared in Court yesterday, and made his excuse through counsel. The further hearing in the contempt cases was postponed to Monday next.

Coroner SCHIRMER held an inquest yesterday, at Bellevue Hospital, over the body of WILLIAM MCCUNNIFF, who came to his death by being stabbed in the abdomen with a chisel by his brother-in-law, a man named O'SHEA, at the residence of the latter, No. 607 East Seventeenth-street. A verdict was rendered in accordance with these facts.

In the Board of Aldermen yesterday a petition was presented for the laying out of a new street to join Sixth-avenue to West Broadway. The Mayor vetoed the resolution to pave Cortlandt-street with Nicolson pavement. A resolution to pave a portion of Lexington-avenue with McGonegal patent pavement was laid over.

Yesterday morning it was discovered that since the close of business on Saturday afternoon the banking-house of A. C. KINGSLAND & SONS, No. 55 Broad-street, had been entered by thieves, who carried away bonds worth $40,000 and about $50 in currency. No arrests have yet been made.

A coal train ran off the track of the Morris and Essex Railroad yesterday morning, and the regular trains were detained for a long time between Hoboken and Newark. All the trains were switched off, and hundreds of passengers were subjected to great delay and inconvenience.

The sherry-wine case was resumed yesterday morning, when Mr. CHOATE addressed the jury in behalf of the Government, claiming that the wines had been underrated, and should accordingly be forfeited. The case will probably be given to the jury on Wednesday.

Last evening the Fifth Annual Commencement of the New-York Medical College for Women was held at Steinway Hall. Degrees were presented to eight graduates, and addresses were made by one of the graduating class and members of the Faculty.

An adjourned meeting of the Alumni of Yale College resident in this City was held last evening. The report of the Executive Committee on a scheme of organization and by-laws was read and accepted.

An interesting lecture on Africa was delivered by Rev. J. M. HORNER, last evening, before a large audience in the Willet-street Methodist Episcopal Church.

A lecture on "The Promiscuous Interchange of Pulpits" was delivered last evening by Dr. WESTON, at the Church of the Holy Apostles.

We are indebted to Purser FREDERICK W. ELY, of the steamship *Herman Livingston*, for Savannah papers.

Cotton was quiet, lower and irregular yesterday. Flour was in moderate demand at essentially unaltered prices. Wheat inactive and heavy. Corn and Oats were much dearer, and fairly active. Provisions were in fair request, especially Pork and Bacon, which were a shade higher. Groceries were quiet. The freight market was depressed.

The prices of beef cattle still continue high and firm. Yesterday the top figures were 19c. ₱ lb., net weight. The market was thought to be a shade better for sellers than last week. The advance in price seemed to be about ½c. ₱ lb. The general average of the sales seemed to be about 16c.@17c. ₱ lb. net weight. Very few were sold for less than 13c. ₱ lb., and only a small number brought more than 18c. ₱ lb. Sheep are moderate at previous rates. Little or no change is reportable. The choicest lots were sold at 9c.@10c. ₱ lb., live weight. A few select sheep were reported as sold for more than 10c. ₱ lb. Of course poor droves do not bring more than 5c., and from that price upward, according to quality. The hog market is quiet, with little or no change in prices. Prime hogs were selling yesterday for 9c.@10c. ₱ lb. The receipts are fully equal to the requirements of the trade.

The President's Defence—The Trial Before the Senate.

The impeachment trial was opened yesterday, with all due formalities, and before an assemblage which the reporters describe as particularly brilliant.

The defence which was submitted by the President's counsel in his behalf, is, as might have been expected from the array of distinguished men engaged in the case, a document of marked legal ability, free from anything like subterfuge, evasion or pettifogging. It meets every charge boldly and openly, either denying them altogether, exposing them as unfairly made, defending them as in the necessary line of his official duty, or justifying them on legal and constitutional grounds. Even if it fail to be conclusive with the Senate, it will assuredly have an effect upon fair-minded men throughout the country. It would be nonsense to say it is decisive upon all the points at issue, or that all its positions are well taken; but that it demolishes some of the charges most strongly relied upon by the Impeachment Managers, is not capable of being doubted by any person who will take the trouble of justly weighing the one against the other.

The President, through his counsel, takes up the articles of impeachment *seriatim*. He enters upon the consideration of each almost word by word, and is so elaborate, explicit, and precise in his statements and replies upon every point that the discussion of the whole must necessarily occupy a good deal of time. It will be remembered that of the eleven articles *nine* are variations upon the removal of STANTON. Every detail of these is gone over at length in rigid legal form. His reply to the first article is a specimen of his way of treating them all; and we cannot display more clearly his general method of meeting the charges than by inserting here the brief paragraph which condenses and sums up his response to each "substantive allegation" of this article:

"He (the President) denies that the said STANTON, on the 21st day of February, 1868, was lawfully in possession of the said office of Secretary for the Department of War. He denies that the said STANTON on the day last mentioned was lawfully entitled to hold the said office against the will of the President of the United States. He denies that the said order for the removal of the said STANTON was unlawfully issued. He denies that the said order was issued with intent to violate the act entitled 'An Act to regulate the tenure of certain civil offices.' He denies that the said order was a violation of the last-mentioned act. He denies that the said order was a violation of the Constitution of the United States, or of any law thereof, or of his oath of office. He denies that the said order was issued with an intent to violate the Constitution of the United States, or any law thereof, or this respondent's oath of office. And he respectfully but earnestly insists that not only was it issued by him in the performance of what he believed to be an imperative official duty, but in the performance of what this honorable Court will consider was in point of fact an imperative official duty. And he denies that any and all substantive matters in the said first article contained in manner and form as the same are therein stated and set forth, do by law constitute a high misdemeanor in office, within the true intent and meaning of the Constitution of the United States."

The arguments and facts by which each of these specific denials are upheld and maintained must be allowed to be deserving of at least one day's consideration by the Impeachment Managers, even though some of them propose to cut the matter short by practically ignoring the legal points of the defence altogether.

The charges of conspiracy with Gen. THOMAS, of attempts to hinder the execution of the acts of Congress, of seeking to use force to effect his purposes, of trying to induce Gen. EMORY to violate the law, are each and all directly and with circumstance denied; and we shall have to wait until we see the startling evidence that is to be produced by the Managers, before we make up our mind that they are able to justify and demonstrate all these charges. Thus far we have only had the evidence in the Emory affair, and it is rather hard to believe that the Managers had not better grounds for the other charges before they put them into shape, and brought them before the Senate.

Mr. JOHNSON repeats and reiterates what he alleged in his special message to Congress some weeks ago, and what he has put forward frequently since then,—that the sole object of his proceedings in the Stanton case was to bring the matter before the courts, that he might obtain a legal decision as to the constitutionality of the law for regulating the tenure of civil offices.

Having thus met the nine articles relating to the Stanton case, Mr. JOHNSON comes to the tenth article, which was prepared by Gen. BUTLER, and refers to his speech at St. Louis, assailing Congress. He denies the accuracy of the extracts purporting to be quoted from this speech, but, at the same time, insists upon his right to express his views as to the policy of Congress, and alleges that the specifications affect only his discretion upon the occasion referred to. Upon this matter the Committee have taken a great deal of testimony. They have had before them for examination several of the reporters who took short-hand notes of the St. Louis speech; and we shall be very much mistaken if he succeeds in disproving the accuracy of the published reports. We imagine he might as well have omitted this point, and rested his defence on other considerations. We are prepared, however, to listen to the evidence upon one side as well as the other, and upon inconsequential as well as fundamental points.

In reply to the eleventh and final article he denies that he ever questioned the power or validity of Congress as now organized, but, at the same time, again insists upon his right to a public expression of his opinions. As regards these two articles we must say that while, as matters of law, they are the weakest part of the prosecution, they are also the charges to which Mr. JOHNSON has made the feeblest defence.

After the President's defence had been presented by his counsel application was made for an extension of thirty days' time to prepare for the trial. This was resisted by the House managers, on the ground that the trial should be brought to a close with all possible haste, and no reason had been given for delay, except that the President's counsel desired opportunity for preparation. The reply to this was, that the House managers had already had time to prepare for the prosecution, and had, moreover, enjoyed peculiar facilities for summoning and examining witnesses, while no such privileges had been afforded to the counsel for the President. That there is force and reason in this, it only requires its statement to show.

The President's reply to the charges of the House, though, as a whole, explicit, vigorous and able in a legal aspect, presents no new points, and no arguments with which we have not already become familiar in the course of the discussions in Congress and the Press. By their presentation in a compact and official form, they make a more imposing show than when put forward in a desultory and irregular form. They at least give us his own statement of his grounds of action, and offer all he can say in its justification. The American people have now the opportunity of reviewing the whole matter from an impartial standpoint, and with the testimony of both parties before them.

Return of the Southern States to the Union.

The *Daily Opinion*, a Republican paper published at Atlanta, Ga., speaks as follows of the new Constitution just framed by the Convention of that State:

"That Constitution is now submitted to the voters of Georgia for their ratification, and they will as certainly ratify it as that the election will be held; not that that instrument is without defects, but that *its ratification is the only means left us of restoring Georgia to civil government, and to the rights and privileges of the Union. It is but a stepping-stone to better things—a means to an end*—and will be accepted by the honest masses of Georgia as their first opportunity to place themselves where they will not be at the mercy of Northern politicians and domestic demagogues. At present Georgia is *a mere foot-ball, to be kicked about by the contending political factions of the Federal Government.* Having been kicked and cuffed into terms by ANDY JOHNSON for mere partisan purposes, she has since undergone the same process by a Congressional faction. Between the two she has fared badly. Her people now propose to disregard the leadership of that party, and of those men who brought her into this humiliating condition, (by their rash acts in 1861,) and *return to the Union wherein they can make their influence felt in behalf of constitutional liberty.*"

It is a great pity that views so sensible as these are not more prevalent and influential with reflecting men in the Southern States. These States are now politically outside of the National Union. In that respect they are precisely where they tried to put themselves by their acts of secession. They voluntarily withdrew their Senators and Representatives from the national Congress, and gave up all the influence they had previously enjoyed in the political affairs of the United States. They did this in the hope and belief that they could establish an independent Government of their own, and transfer to that the political activity and control which they had previously exercised in the affairs of the Union. In this attempt they were unsuccessful, and they now find themselves out of the Union, so far as any political weight in its affairs is concerned, but held to all its obligations and responsibilities by the power which they vainly endeavored to overthrow. Being foiled in their main object, they naturally enough desire to retain all the influence they gave up only for the purpose of reaching it.

But this they are not allowed to do. They find themselves stripped of all influence in national affairs. They are not allowed to be represented in Congress. They have no votes, no voice, no power even to make their wants and wishes known to the body which makes the laws they are required to obey. They are under military domination. The qualifications of citizenship and of suffrage are prescribed for them by the national authority. And under the direction of that authority, a mode has been pointed out to them by which they can resume their position as States of the Union and the degree of power and influence in national affairs which belongs to them as such.

It seems to us that their *first necessity*, the condition *sine qua non* of their return to influence and to the enjoyment of self-government in their own affairs, is to get back into the Union. Once there, they can make constitutions and laws to suit themselves. If the Constitutions they have answer their purpose, very well; if not, they have the right and the power to make new ones. Now they are bound hand and foot; they are controlled, absolutely, by the national authority and its representative and agent—the national army. While that continues to be the case they are utterly powerless. They can neither help themselves, nor can anybody help them. They look to the Northern Democracy; but that is quite as powerless as they are. It needs their aid in order to be able to aid them in return. And they stand stolidly aloof—too feeble to lift a finger in their own behalf, and too proud to do the one thing necessary to the instant restoration of their powers and their rights.

They need not indorse the Constitutions submitted for their approval. Let them, if they please, refuse them their votes. Or let them vote for them as a necessity, at the same time protesting against the wisdom and justice of their provisions. *But let them regain their places in the Union.* Without this they can do nothing for themselves—nothing either to influence national legislation in regard to their affairs or to control their own local and domestic concerns. With it, they regain all their power in the general government, and all the rights of self-government in the affairs of their separate States.

One would suppose that such considerations as those cited in the paragraph we have quoted above, would have weight with men of so much ability and experience as the political leaders of the Southern States. But they cannot, it seems, get over the habit which has always been strong with them, of dealing with abstractions rather than realities, and of consulting their feelings and their pride rather than their interests and their sober judgments. And so long as they persist in so doing they must expect to pay the penalty.

How Three States Are to be Reconstructed.

Whatever estimate we form of the Southern Conventions, the materials composing them, or the law by which they have been created, it must be admitted that the results of their labors are far from discreditable. We can understand the aversion with which they are regarded by those of the Southern people who fail to comprehend, or obstinately refuse to recognize, the revolution that has been effected. But judging them according to the Northern standard of republican government, and comparing the proposed constitutions with the instruments previously in force, the reality of the improvement is at once apparent.

This is notably true of South Carolina, whose structure of government was as undemocratic as it well could be. It was a Government of property and privilege, without so much as a pretence of respect for popular rights. It was not enough that a man was white and free; to be a voter, he was required to be a freeholder or tax-payer; and before aspiring to a seat in the local Legislature, as Senator or Representative, it was incumbent on him to possess a certain property qualification. So again with the basis of representation: taxable property entered into it as well as population. These restrictions have all been swept away. Every male citizen will hereafter possess the franchise, and the representative apportionment will be made according to numbers. No test-oath or other proscriptive device has been invented to mar the harmony of the system; this only qualification being that which the Constitutional Amendment imposes, and which Congress alone can remove.

Amendments not less decided have been adopted in the matter of education. Learning, like voting, was a privilege most jealously guarded. Now, it is to be free to all. Provision is made for a public-school system, open to all, without regard to color or previous condition. An elective superintendency is created, with a School Commissioner in each county; and in each district it will be obligatory to maintain an open school at least one-half of each year. The Convention has gone yet further in its war upon ignorance, having declared that it shall be the duty of the Legislature to provide for the compulsory attendance, at public or private schools, of all children between the ages of six and sixteen, for the term of two years. In regard to education, therefore, not less than the suffrage, South Carolina will be radically and beneficially reconstructed.

A liberal provision for homestead exemption is another innovation which will contribute to the growth and improvement of the State. As a whole, the new Constitution gains by comparison with that to which the people have all their lives been accustomed. The Charleston *Mercury* sneers at it as "the negro Constitution," or "the Constitution adopted by the unlawful assembly styling itself the Constitutional Convention of South Carolina;" but neither sneering nor abuse will upset the fact that it is wiser and better, more liberal and more just, more calculated to promote the good order and prosperity of the State, than the instrument framed by the privileged whites in 1790. We look through it in vain for the trace of intolerance, or a disposition to abuse the power with which the law invested the Convention.

The same spirit of moderation appears to have governed the North Carolina conventionists, the product of whose labors presents nothing to which reasonable objection can be made. There is no evidence of vindictiveness, harshness, partisanship or ignorance. No oath is provided, no disability imposed, save that which Congress has enacted. There will be no proscription in the reconstructed State beyond that which national authority has enjoined; the presumption raised by these Constitutions being, that neither in North nor South Carolina would the Convention, if left to its own will, have inflicted political penalties on a scale approaching that established by Congress. With regard to education, the North Carolina Constitution makes liberal provision. Not content with a free common school system, it gives to it an inseparable connection with the University of the State, for whose enlarged efficiency adequate means are provided. Its future administration in the interest of the whole people is secured.

The credit honorably earned by the Conventions to whom the reconstruction of the Carolinas has been intrusted, cannot be justly extended to the Constitution provided for Louisiana. It embodies the proscriptive characteristics of the Congressional policy, with additions borne of hate and passion that will assuredly tend to widen the gulf between the races, and render the attainment of genuine peace utterly impossible. Besides the disabilities designated by national law, Louisiana is to see disfranchisement put upon "*those who held office, civil or military, for one year or more, under the organization styled the Confederate States of America;* those who registered themselves as enemies of the United States; those who acted as leaders of guerrilla bands during the late rebellion; *those, who, in the advocacy of treason, wrote or published newspaper articles, or preached sermons, during the late rebellion; and those who voted for and signed an ordinance of secession in any State.*" All these classes are debarred from voting or holding office until they certify in writing that they "acknowledge the late rebellion to have been morally and politically wrong." Exceptions are made in favor of individuals who have actively aided reconstruction, but they are too few to form an appreciable element in the voting population of the State. Moreover, an oath is provided, to be taken by all office-holders, so wide in its application and so stringent in its terms, that it will operate as a further barrier to the attainment of the absolute equality which is essential to permanent peace.

The Political Contest in Virginia.

Gen. SCHOFIELD, who has hitherto steered so deftly and unswervingly betwixt the Scylla and the Charybdis of parties in Virginia, has lately, it seems, been in a trifling peril. It is alleged by some malcontents that he will not make the new registration in Richmond "come right." The figures thus far show a probable majority for "the other side," i. e., the whites, and, accordingly, a deputation of Richmond Radicals has journeyed to Washington, to see what can be done with such an official. In no other respect is Gen. SCHOFIELD refractory. That he has been upright and straightforward in his dealings is of course beyond dispute; that his conduct regarding the registration has been conscientious, is equally so.

What, then, is the trouble? The complaint resolves itself, according to the Washington letter of the Boston *Advertiser*, into this: that the General "shows too many favors to Richmond rebels." Armed with this very general accusation, the delegation confabulates with Republican Congressmen on the question, "What shall we do with him?" We presume that the real grievance was the arithmetical one already set forth, namely, "that figures won't lie." Over this fact, in morals and mathematics, Gen. SCHOFIELD has no control, official or personal. Had there been more colored persons in Richmond, the ground of complaint would be gone; but he could hardly be expected to import them, to swell the lists.

Our Virginia correspondent has already given the true explanation of the new face put on affairs in that State. The Conservatives, who lost the game last year by inertia, are determined to win it this year by energy. The 12,2a0 negro majority they expect to overcome on the new registration, and should they carry Richmond it would not be surprising—they were in a minority of but a few hundred on the old lists. The Cincinnati *Commercial's* correspondent afterward confirmed this opinion of our correspondent, declaring that, "all over the State the whites are displaying a zeal that promises ill for the success of the new Constitution. The full white vote will be brought out, and that means defeat for the Hunnicutt Constitution." Gen. SCHOFIELD has, while at the helm in Virginia, been a skillful pilot; and we can hardly think of any argument—unless it be that argument from analogy drawn from the displacement of every other District commander—to be urged against his continuing there. It is surely not his fault if the party that polls the most votes on election day shall win. Virginia, if she should reject the Constitution, as seems probable, would only be doing what Alabama and Arkansas have already done.

MINOR TOPICS.

The real fountain of youth is a newspaper. There can be no doubt about it. Whenever an ungrateful public dismisses an artist, on the ground that she is too old for the exigencies of professional life, straightway that artist repairs to the office of a journal and partakes of the *elixir vitæ*. In a short time she is rehabilitated, and the gratifying news is announced to the world. We use the feminine gender because we are speaking of Mme. GRISI, whose farewell seasons come round as regularly as the equinoctial gales, and, in the matter of sunshine and storm, produce about the same commotion. The great *Diva* has been singing at a *salon* in Florence, and "report" says that she sang as she was wont to do twenty years ago. There you have it. Done as neatly as a caramel that every one can swallow. It only remains for some one to invite Mme. GRISI to give another series of farewell performances. Her good nature is proverbial, perhaps too proverbial. Without doubt she can be prevailed upon, especially as, by a singular coincidence, her husband MARIO has just renewed his "youthful successes" in St. Petersburg. This is perhaps putting a fine point upon it, but it betrays the hand of an artist. It is added, however, that he receives $5,000 a night, which is a good spadefull. It is worth while reviving one's youthful successes on such advantageous terms.

The Rochester *Union*, which is a vehement Democratic Party journal, denounces Rev. C. CHAUNCEY BURR for his labors on the stump on behalf of the Democratic Party, and declares its belief that he is hired to make speeches for that party by the Radical leaders. If this is so, it is the most profitable investment we ever knew the Radical leaders to make. But who hires the Democrats to hear his speeches and applaud his sentiments?

Lord STANLEY said recently that he wished he could talk over the question of the *Alabama* claims with Mr. SEWARD personally—he thought that in this way the whole affair might soon be settled. We imagine he came very near to his wish one day last week. When Mr. SEWARD was in this City, it happened that the British Minister, Mr. THORNTON, was also here, and it also happened, we understand, that a dispatch came by cable from Lord STANLEY through Mr. THORNTON to Mr. SEWARD, to which Mr. SEWARD promptly replied—after which further telegraphic dispatches were interchanged. It is possible that they were on some other subject than the *Alabama* claims; but we don't see why the two distinguished diplomatists might not exchange photographs, and then with the picture of each before the other, carry on a lively conversation through the cable. It would be no worse than having to resort to the ear-trumpets which many old gentlemen are compelled to use.

The Albany *Evening Journal* joins in the congratulations of the Press generally on the fact that *one* of the men most conspicuously engaged in defrauding the Government out of the tax imposed on whiskey has been convicted, and in spite of all the efforts made on his behalf, has been sentenced to the Penitentiary. But it is mistaken in giving the credit of all this to Judge BLATCHFORD, of the Southern District. All the proceedings were had in the Eastern District, before Judge BENEDICT, the very district which a bill has been introduced into Congress to abolish. The result, it is not too much to say, is due wholly to the resolute and uncompromising action of District-Attorney TRACEY and the firmness of the presiding Judge. Judge BLATCHFORD would act with equal independence, we do not doubt, but as yet he has had no chance.

Some of the Western papers are telling dreadful stories of the terrible passion and excitement which Mr. BOOTH throws into his business as an actor, and which frequently lead him in his sword combats on the stage to make real attacks upon the actor who is playing with him. It is noted by the Cincinnati *Gazette* that these stories are always circulated of celebrated "stars,"—that they excite a thrilling interest in their performances, and that the fearful attacks are always made on subordinates who do not venture to retaliate. It suggests that if the subordinate would only make the defence as real and as perilous as the star makes the attack, the latter would very soon be cured of his propensity.

It is pleasant enough to know that the Senate has passed the bill exempting a mass of manufactures from a burden of superfluous taxation, but—there is always a but in every case, you know,—but why did they except illuminating gas? Twenty-five years ago this was, perhaps, a luxury; but it is so no longer. Next to heat, whether from food or fire, light is the most important element of human comfort. Indeed, it is so much used for stoves in these days, that it must be regarded as equally valuable for warming as for lighting. To make a special exception against so necessary an article as gas seems, indeed, strange, if not positively cruel. It can be explained only on one ground. Our gas companies are monopolies, and monopolies ought to be taxed. True, but there is no definite understanding between these monopolies and the public. They name their own terms and get them. In this City we have but two companies, both equally independent, both equally exorbitant and both equally bad. They do not interfere with each other, having divided the City so as to avoid such a calamity, and no one can interfere with them. Therefore, they charge what they like, and collect their bills with much more haughtiness than would be exhibited by a Deputy Sheriff. It is a pity, to be sure, to relieve them from any sort of taxation; but it is the public that pays, and pays so dearly now that relief would be felt and appreciated. Why is there not some sort of sliding scale by which gas companies should be compelled to supply a decent article of illuminating gas at a fair average price of coal?

We have all read with rapture of the brave warrior who, when the burning crater poured its cauldrons of fearful death, stood bravely at his post, and perished, arms in hand, on the watch. There was no cotemporary historian at hand to record the fact, but the truth was dug from the bowels of the earth thousands of years afterward. It was brought to light, indeed, by the explorations amid the ruins of Pompeii and Herculaneum. There the soldier-hero stood, smothered in ashes but erect in duty. Our descendants will not have to delve so far for a similar instance of devotion. Indeed, in the present day we have it before us. Mr. STANTON, we are informed, sleeps and eats and smokes in his office with perfect composure, and absolutely indifferent to the agitated elements by which he is surrounded. And according to pictorial authority, smoking is his principal occupation. Should the Impeachment imbroglio hold fire and the gallant Secretary maintain his ground, is it not possible that he too, like his historical predecessor, may on some fine morning be found buried in ashes—the ashes of his cigar?

That was rather a sly dig which Mr. INGERSOLL gave to Mr. WASHBURNE the other day. The gentleman from Illinois (Mr. INGERSOLL) rose to a personal explanation. The other gentleman from Illinois (Mr. WASHBURNE) had hinted that a certain bridge over the Potomac was likely to be a big job. Illinoisian No. 1 imagined that Illinoisian No. 2 was biting his thumb at him. Mr. WASHBURNE disclaimed any such intention, whereupon Mr. INGERSOLL, being satisfied, gave his colleague a neat left-hander. Here are the marks of the knuckles: "I wish," said the gentleman, "to put in my protest against the use of smooth-bore guns, shooting at random." This to Mr. WASHBURNE! Is he smooth, and is he a bore, and does he shoot at random then?

The Conservatives in Georgia seem to have great confidence in their numerical strength, as they have put two candidates in nomination for the Governorship—Judge REESE and Judge IRWIN. Both have accepted. The Radicals are united on Mr. BULLOCK, whose election, under the circumstances, (and probably at any rate,) is a foregone conclusion.

Senator RAMSAY, of Minnesota, and Mr. IGNATIUS DONNELLY, member of the House from the same State, are slightly at loggerheads. Mr. DONNELLY writes to the Senator saying that he is about to introduce a bill for the creation of a new land district in the State, but in order to secure its passage it will be necessary to make it certain that none but true Republicans shall be appointed to fill the two offices which the bill will create. He sends a list of candidates, and asks the Senator to select from them the two whom he will support. Mr. RAMSAY replies that it will be quite time enough to select the officers after the offices have been created—that the interests of the State demand the passage of the bill without regard to the office-holding part of it. Mr. DONNELLY writes a long letter in reply, reminding the Senator that they both owe their places to the Republican Party, and they are bound to consult its interests in whatever they do. He tells him he is greatly mistaken if he supposes the House, "radical and resolute as it is," will pass any bill which creates additional patronage without being certain that it will not be put into the hands of "a faithless and dangerous Executive," who is the "great criminal of the nineteenth century." The bill referred to has not yet passed the House.

The Conservatives of Louisiana are advised by one of their organs, the New-Orleans *Times*, that it will be very "inconsistent" for them, while trying to secure the rejection of the new Constitution, to take any part in the election for State officers under it, which takes place on the same day. This is a fair specimen of the wisdom which governs the action of the Conservatives of the Southern States. One would suppose that experience might possibly teach them something, even if they did not learn it from any other quarter. But they are evidently doomed to be "brayed in a mortar" with the proverbial result.

When men come home from California they have a way of talking about "the way we do things on the Pacific" that rather nettles Eastern men. There is more liberality and mutual confidence out there, they say, and not so many rogues, despite the loose way people have of dealing with each other. This is not very pleasant talk to hear, but what must we think about the facts of the case when we run plump against such a statement as, that little San Francisco with a hundred and twenty thousand inhabitants pays more money into the internal revenue of the United States than New-York City with its eight hundred thousand? So it is, however. *Are* the people honester out there?

Mr. Dickens' Readings.

The reader's attention is called to the announcement in another column of the sale of seats for the farewell readings of Mr. DICKENS in America. The sale begins, it will be seen, on next Monday morning, of tickets for the course of five. These will certainly be Mr. DICKENS' *final* readings, not only in New-York, but in America, since he is to sail in the steamship *Russia*, on the 25th of April, for home.

WASHINGTON.

AFFAIRS AT THE NATIONAL CAPITAL.

Special Dispatch to the New-York Times.

WASHINGTON, Monday, March 23.

REVENUE MATTERS.

The diminishing receipts of revenue by the Treasury causes the swooping reductions of taxes proposed by the bill which has substantially passed both Houses to be viewed with no little anxiety. It is understood that the Ways and Means Committee will report back the bill as amended by the Senate, with further amendments. Some of which it is known will occasion a sharp contest in the House. The parties who especially represent manufacturing interests are determined, if possible, to prevent the purposed tax of one-fifth of one per cent. on sales of manufactured goods from being adopted. If this is done, it is doubtful if the bill will receive the concurrence of the Senate. It is known, also, that the Ways and Means Committee did not originally propose to exempt all manufacturers, but had retained some considerable number of articles, like billiard-tables, jewelry, plate, carriages and firearms, which bear the character of luxuries. With the present look of revenue deficiency, it seems not unlikely that not only the Committees but Congress will feel obliged to retain all this list, and, perhaps, greatly enlarge it. The representatives of the iron interest in particular do not hesitate to say that they would prefer this rather than that interests which are now exempt from Internal taxation should be subjected to the proposed tax on sales. To an outsider who watches this whole movement closely, it seems very much as if reduction of taxation was to be pressed without any regard to the necessities of the Treasury, or the practical reduction of the expenditures.

NEW-YORK POST-OFFICE.

The House Post-office Committee have appointed a sub-committee consisting of Messrs. FERRY, of Michigan, LINCOLN, of New-York, and LAWRENCE, of Pennsylvania, to proceed to New-York, and inquire into the necessity of any enlargement of the present City Post-office and the cost thereof, and also into the necessity of commencing the construction of the new post-office, at the present time. The Committee will be in New-York the last of the present week.

OVERLAND MAILS.

The Senate Committee have also appointed another Sub-Committee consisting of Messrs. LINCOLN, of New-York, HILL, of New-Jersey, and CLARKE, of Ohio, to examine into the Post-office contracts of WELLS, FARGO & Co. They were to receive $400 per mile for carrying the mails overland, to be curtailed as fast as the Pacific Railroad is completed, which is paid $150 per mile for the same work. The road is now completed and carrying the mails 700 miles, while no abatement is made in the sum paid to WELLS, FARGO & Co. The Sub-Committee will report the reasons for the failure to reduce this subsidy and the amount the Company have already received for services they have not performed.

THE M'ARDLE CASE.

The Supreme Court did not render a decision in the MCARDLE case to-day. The Court, at an informal consultation, decided that they would run a race with Congress on the result in this case. It is anticipated in some quarters that the President will veto the bill passed ten days ago, but Congress is certain to pass it over that veto. A majority of the Supreme Court holds that if the bill becomes a law before a decision is made it cuts off the case. The vote on postponing a decision was six for to two against—the latter being Justices FIELD and GRIER.

THE ARKANSAS ELECTION.

The statement that Gen. GRANT has received information of the defeat of the Constitution in Arkansas is incorrect. He has received no official advices from that source whatever, and the election is still proceeding, as the time has been extended on account of the floods until the 27th inst. The only returns received are from two counties in which the polls were temporarily closed, pending the change in election order,

TELEGRAMS.

Government Resolutions on Church Reform in Ireland.

Speech of King William in the North German Parliament.

The British Forces on the High Table Lands of Abyssinia.

GREAT BRITAIN.

Government Resolutions on Church Reform in Ireland Introduced in Parliament.

LONDON, Monday, March 23—Midnight.

In the House of Commons, this evening, Mr. GLADSTONE introduced the resolutions on Church reform in Ireland, of which he gave notice last week.

These resolutions are three in number, and are in substance as follows:

First—That in the opinion of this House, the Irish Church should cease to exist as an establishment, due regard being had, however, for personal interests and the rights of property.

Second—That no new personal rights should be created, and that the Commission on the Irish Church should limit its operations to matters of immediate necessity, pending the final action of Parliament upon the whole question.

Third—That a petition should be presented to the Queen, praying that the Church patronage of Ireland be placed at the disposal of Parliament.

When they had been read Mr. DISRAELI said the Government would be ready to meet the consideration of the resolutions in the House by the end of the present month, and it was agreed that the debate on the subject should commence on the 30th of May.

The Fenians Under Sentence of Death Reprieved.

MANCHESTER, Monday, March 23.

The Fenians THOMPSON and MULLADY, sentenced to be hanged for the murder of Police-Sergt. BRETT, have been reprieved.

GERMANY.

Opening of the Second Session of the Parliament of the North German Confederation—Speech of King William.

BERLIN, Monday, March 23—Evening.

The second session of the Parliament of the North German Confederation, commenced in this city to-day.

His Majesty, King WILLIAM, of Prussia, was present, and opened the session in person with a speech from the throne.

He promised that his Government would introduce several new measures to strengthen the union at home and abroad. While enumerating the various treaties which had been made with foreign Powers, he particularly alluded to the important one just concluded with the United States, which he said was designed to define and regulate the nationality and consequent national obligations of the emigrants of Germany and America. This treaty would destroy all seeds of dissension between the two countries, and knit more closely together nations already allied by strong bonds of commerce and of race. The King concluded his speech by expressing with marked emphasis his confidence in the permanence of the peace which he was glad to say now prevailed among the nations of Europe.

ABYSSINIA.

Cheering Intelligence—The British Expedition on the High Table Lands—Reports Regarding King Theodore's Position.

LONDON, Monday, March 23.

The advices from Abyssinia continue favorable. At last accounts nearly all the troops of the expedition had reached the high table lands. Though the roads were found to be very bad the extreme advance had arrived in the vicinity of Lake Ashangee, and they had met as yet with no hostile opposition from the natives.

The country yielded little to support an army except meat and flour, but a great quantity of stores was accumulated at Antalo, and large supplies were coming in there from the surrounding districts.

A report had been received from the interior that King THEODORUS had entrenched himself with his whole army on the Talanta plateau. The report came through the natives, and it is impossible to say whether it is true or not.

AUSTRIA.

Final Passage of the Civil Marriage Law.

VIENNA, Monday, March 23.

The Civil Marriage law has finally passed both Houses of the Reichsrath.

WEST INDIES.

Intended Execution of Murderers in Cuba—Earthquakes and Scarcity of Provisions in Porto Rico—Earthquake at St. Thomas.

HAVANA, Monday, March 23.

Two gendarmes, who have been convicted of desertion and robbery, are to be shot here to-morrow.

Minister HOLLISTER sailed yesterday for Hayti.

Business of all kinds in Havana is dull.

The following is the latest news which has been received from all parts of the West Indies and Venezuela:

Violent earthquakes visited Porto Rico on the 10th and on the 17th instant. Buildings were badly damaged, ships in the harbor were carried ashore by the waves, and the inhabitants were thrown into a great panic.

There was great scarcity of provisions in Porto Rico, and the plan of making all the ports of the island free is universally demanded by the inhabitants.

A slight shock of earthquake was felt at St. Thomas on the 16th inst. No damage was done.

St. Domingo—Reported Lease of the Bay of Samana by the United States—Anticipated Arrival of President Baez.

HAVANA, Monday, March 23.

It is reported here that an American steamer has arrived at St. Thomas, from New-York, with $600,000 in specie, to be placed at the disposal of the Dominican Envoy, M. PUJOL, and that the latter is to proceed to St. Domingo with the money for the purpose of securing the lease of the Bay of Samana for the United States from the new Baez Government. There is no good authority for the story, and it is given for what it is worth.

The United States steamer *Palos* had arrived at St. Domingo, where she met with a very favorable reception.

A deputation of Dominicans had gone to Curacoa to bring President BAEZ to the capital.

HUNGRIA, LUCIANO and GOMEZ were acting as administrators of the Government, pending the arrival of President BAEZ.

The cholera had entirely disappeared from St. Domingo. Business was very dull.

VENEZUELA.

The Revolutionists Defeated—Death of Col. Pinta.

HAVANA, Monday, March 23.

The latest advices from Venezuela represent that the revolutionists in that country continued their operations, but they had been badly defeated by Gen. COLINA, at Occupara. Col. PINTA was killed in the engagement. The Government troops gained another victory in a fight near the town of Tuy.

Fire and Loss of Life in Montreal.

MONTREAL, Canada, Monday, March 23.

A fire broke out Saturday night in the building occupied by Messrs. CAUGHLIN, wine merchants. After the flames had been partially extinguished, an explosion took place, by which seven men were killed. The cause of the explosion was not clearly ascertained. Messrs. CAUGHLIN are held under arrest. Their loss is estimated at $50,000.

Fires in Memphis—Murder of a Negro.

MEMPHIS, Monday, March 23.

A fire broke out in RICE & ENGEL'S dry goods store, Main, near Adams-street, last night, and communicated to the China house of JACK & SHANKS; but for the prompt arrival of the firemen a disastrous conflagration would have followed. Loss of the former, $200,000; fully covered by Eastern companies; loss of JACK & SHANKS light; damage to the building, $7,000; fully covered. The fire was the work of an incendiary.

The grocery-store of JAMES HOLMES, Front Row, was destroyed by fire this morning. This was also the work of an incendiary. Loss $5,000; insured for $4,000 in the Ætna Company, of Hartford.

GREEN NEWBY, colored, while going home with his wife Saturday night, while in the suburbs, was attacked by three men, who fired upon him, killing him instantly. His wife could give no clue to the murderers.

The Little Rock *Gazette*, of Saturday, gives the following election returns: Majority against the Constitution in the county of Independence, 150; Cross, 150; Painsett, 100; Praine, 533; Hot Springs, 254; Woodruff, 400; Dallas, 304; Ouchits, 224; Calhoun, 200; and Columbia, small. Majority for it: Phillips, 1,312; St. Francis, 185; Crittenden, 400, and Monroe, 125. The Conservatives claim large majorities in the following counties over the vote for the Convention, viz.: St. Francis, Independence, Monroe, Desha, Prairie, Conway, Searcy, Phillips, Cross and Poinsett.

The North Carolina Constitution—The Kuklux Klan.

WILMINGTON, N. C., Monday, March 23.

The Radicals claim that the Constitution will be ratified, but the Conservatives have speakers canvassing every county of the State, and feel confident of success. The Conservative Committees are also canvassing every Captain's district and registering votes.

Quite a sensation was created yesterday morning by the appearance of a number of mysterious notices posted in points and supposed to have emanated from the Kuklux Klan.

Boiler Explosion in a Dwelling House in Cincinnati.

CINCINNATI, Monday, March 23.

Yesterday morning the boiler which supplies hot water to the bath room in Mr. THEODORE COOK'S residence exploded, shattering the walls and rendering the building worthless. The servant in the kitchen, near the range, escaped unhurt. Mrs. COOK, two children, and a niece, in an adjoining dining room, escaped, with the exception of a little boy, who was slightly injured, although the room was filled with debris. The damage will be about $1,200.

Loss of the Schooner Alice Ridgeway and all her Crew.

CAPE ISLAND, N. J., Monday, March 23.

A small schooner loaded with gravel is ashore on Fishing Creek Shoals. All hands were lost. The vessel is supposed to be the *Alice Ridgeway*, Capt. THOMAS MCKEAN, and owned by THEOPOLUS PRICE, of Tuckerman, N. J. She was struck by a heavy sea, shifted her cargo, and capsized.

Factory Burned.

WORCESTER, MASS., Monday, March 23.

J. C. MURDOCK'S drop box and school apparatus manufactory, at West Boylston, was destroyed by fire between four and five o'clock this morning. It was evidently the work of an incendiary, as there had been no fire in the building since Saturday. The loss is estimated at $6,000. The property was insured for $1,500.

Shooting Affray in Richmond.

RICHMOND, Monday, March 23.

HENRY MYERS was shot in an affray in Broad-street this morning, and mortally wounded. VINCENT BARGAMIN, a well-known merchant, is charged with the shooting.

Virginia Constitutional Convention.

RICHMOND, Monday, March 23.

The Convention to-day adopted the article on the Judiciary. The Judges are to be chosen by the Legislature, the Attorney-General by the people, and the other State attorneys are to be appointed by the Governor.

Arrival of Jeff. Davis in Baltimore.

BALTIMORE, Monday, March 23.

JEFF. DAVIS arrived in this city this morning on the steamship *Cuba*, from Havana.

SOUTH AMERICA.

Arrival of the Steamship Merrimac—Humaita still Unattacked by the Allies—Plans for the Future.

The mail steamship *Merrimac*, from Rio de Janeiro, arrived this morning, bringing files of papers to the 25th instant.

As usual the Rio papers are momentarily expecting to hear of a grand attack on the Paraguayan Gibraltar, Humaita. This has been expected for two or three years, but the enthusiastic inhabitants of the river countries ("Fluminenses") exhibit no evidence of being tired of the story.

The Marquis CAXIAS, General-in-Chief of the army, had had a conference with the Admiral, the result of which had been an agreement on a plan of simultaneous attack by land and water, on the devoted Humaita. It is estimated that nine iron-clads and sixteen thousand troops on land will make the attack. The first effort will be to remove the chain which is submerged across the river, and which it is thought the allies are prepared to accomplish. When the reconnoissance was made, it is stated this could have been effected if the monitors had come to time, which they failed to do.

The country is much excited over this prolonged war, and seditious pamphlets have appeared in Rio against the Government.

A Ministerial crisis was reported, but the rumor had apparently no foundation.

THE STATE LEGISLATURE.

SENATE.....ALBANY, Monday, March 23.

Sundry petitions were presented, asking for the passage of a law declaring the directors on the Central, Hudson and Harlem Railroad Companies ineligible for directors of the Erie Railroad Company.

Mr. MORRIS introduced a bill granting $10,000 per mile to the Dunkirk, Warren and Pittsburg Railroad.

BILLS PASSED.

Amending the charter of the Binghamton Savings Bank.

Authorizing towns in Dutchess and Columbia to take stock in the Dutchess and Columbia Railroad.

The New-York Cross-town Railroad bill was lost by the following vote:

YEAS—Messrs. Banks, Beach, Cauldwell, Edwards, Genet, Humphrey, Morgan, Morris, Nichols, Pierce, Stanford, Thayer, Tweed, Van Petten, Williams—15.

NAYS—Messrs. Bradley, Campbell, Chapman, Crowley, Folger, Parker, Wicks.

Mr. GENET moved a reconsideration and to lay that motion on the table, which was carried.

Mr. CAMPBELL reported favorably the bill incorporating the Binghamton and Fort Dickinson Railroad Company. Also the bill amending the Military bill providing for equalizing the New-York brigades in numbers, fixing the rank of Assistant Adjutant-Generals and of Brigade Inspectors as that of Lieutenant-Colonel, and providing brevets for all who have served twenty years continuously. Incorporating Rondout Savings Bank.

BILLS ADVANCED TO A THIRD READING.

Incorporating the Hudson Highland Suspension Bridge Company.

Providing for the incorporation of dental societies.

The Senate adjourned.

ASSEMBLY.

THE IMPEACHMENT OF COMMISSIONER DORN.

Mr. JACOBS, on behalf of the Special Committee on the Canal Investigation, presented articles of impeachment against ROBERT C. DORN, Canal Commissioner, as follows:

ARTICLE I. Relative to the combination of contractors at Blewitt Hall, on the 28th of December, 1866.

ART. 2. In letting the Albany basin contract at prices disadvantageous to the State.

ART. 3. The frauds in the contract for repairing section three of the Champlain Canal.

ART. 4. Letting contracts without advertising.

ART. 5. General charge of neglect in the management of the eastern division of the canals.

ART. 6. The appropriation of public property to private uses.

ART. 7. The advertising of contracts after the work has been done without authority of law.

ART. 8. The allowing of an assignment of a contract by a party to whom it had not yet been awarded, and while it was held by another party.

BILLS INTRODUCED.

By Mr. RICHARDSON—To facilitate the construction of the Buffalo and Washington Railway.

By Mr. ACKER—To fix the salary of Justices of the Supreme Court of the Second District at $5,000.

By Mr. BRADSTREET—To incorporate the Rochester Suspension Bridge.

By Mr. HASBROUCK—To construct a railroad in Twenty-third and other streets, New-York.

By Mr. WEED—Appropriating $150,000 to aid in the construction of the Southern Central Railroad.

By Mr. W. S. ANDREWS—Requiring banks to pay to the State unclaimed deposits.

By Mr. JOHNSON—To amend the Metropolitan Fire law, increasing the pay of members of the Department.

BILLS REPORTED FAVORABLY.

To incorporate the New-York and Brooklyn Iron Tubular Tunnel Company.

To incorporate the Loaners' Association of New-York.

To incorporate the Columbia Yacht Club.

Relative to wharves and piers in the East River, and for the better accommodation of steamboat trading to and from New-York.

To regulate the ferriage of funerals between New-York and Long Island.

To amend the Brooklyn, Eastern District, Fire Department act.

Ceding David's Island to the United States.

To provide for laying out streets, avenues and public places outside of Brooklyn City limits.

Recess to 7:30 P. M.

EVENING SESSION.

BILLS ADVANCED TO A THIRD READING.

To require the Commissioners of Excise to give bonds for the performance of their duties.

To amend the charter of the Onondaga Trust Company.

To bond the City of New-York for five hundred thousand dollars for the purpose of erecting a building for the College of the City of New-York.

The Assembly adjourned.

THE ERIE CONTROVERSY.

The Case Before Judge Barnard—John B. Haskin Appointed Referee in the Matter of the Alleged Contempt of Directors—The Case Before Judge Cardozo.

Yesterday, at the time appointed by the Supreme Court to dispose of the cases of Alexander S. Diven, J. C. Bancroft Davis, Frederick A. Lane and Wm. B. Skidmore, four of the directors of the Erie Railway Company who are charged with being in contempt of Court for violating an injunction, the Court-room (at Chambers) was filled. Several prominent members of the Bar, not heretofore publicly identified with this litigation, were present, and took an active part. Judge Davies, late of the Court of Appeals, appeared as associate counsel with Messrs. Fullerton, Rapallo, Vanderpoel and others on the Vanderbilt side of the house. On the Drew side there appeared as new members Judge Pierrepont and James T. Brady, who are associated with Messrs. D. D. Field, A. F. Smith, John E. Burrill, D. B. Eaton and C. N. Potter.

The Judge having taken his seat upon the bench

Mr. Rapallo moved that as to the cases of Diven, Skidmore, Lane and Davis a referee be appointed. The interrogatories had been duly filed and there would probably be some further testimony to take, so that the mind of the Court might be informed. It was necessary to act intelligently in the matter. He moved that a referee be appointed at once.

Mr. Rapallo—If your Honor please, the answers have been filed in the three cases of Messrs. Lane, Skidmore, Diven and Davis.

Mr. Pierrepont—That makes four.

Mr. Rapallo—And now I move that these cases be referred to a referee to take proof of the facts, in accordance with the usual practice. I would suggest that as there is a referee already appointed to take proofs in the receivership motion, the same referee would be a proper person to take these proofs.

Mr. Field—I would like to know the authority for the appointment of a referee in such a matter. The statute provides for new proofs being exhibited to the Court, to be made by either side. They can present their proofs. These proceedings are not affected by the Code in any way, Sir. The Code has no reference to them at all, and there is no provision, that I am aware of, for a reference; and, therefore, Sir, I object to any reference whatever, as being utterly without authority.

Mr. Pierrepont—In the case of Mr. Lane, the answers to the interrogatories show that he was absent at the time that these charges are complained of—all with the exception of one. It is a denial of knowledge—a very simple issue made, and certainly cannot require any reference for the purpose of having a hearing, so far as he is concerned.

Mr. Fullerton—That is to say we must take the answer as true, in order to dispose of this question, which is something we are not disposed to do.

Mr. Pierrepont—Then the Court can dispose of it by putting the ordinary oath.

The Court—The proper course would have been to have read the interrogatories and the answers thereto, so that the Court could see if there was any denial; and if there was a denial, the proofs could either be taken in open court or by a referee.

Mr. Pierrepont—That is the way.

Mr. Eaton—That is exactly what we want. On the part of Mr. Davis and Mr. Skidmore I apprehend the Court will be satisfied on reading the answers, that they are such as the Court will be willing to act upon without any further proceeding; and certainly if there is no part of it that the Court should think ought to be made the subject of further examination, there is no reason for going into a general examination as to all these matters. The effect of a reference such as that asked for, is to open every part of these interrogatories for examination before this referee. Now, if there is any one question when it is read, the answer to which is found to be equivocal or unfair, or uncandid, it will be time enough to consider further whether there should be a reference on that, if any reference can be had at all.

Mr. Vanderpoel—The statute gives to either party the right to introduce affidavits and other proofs—either party in support or denial of the answers.

Mr. Field—Certainly.

Mr. Vanderpoel—Now, it will not be denied by my learned friends that these answers deny various of the charges made against them in the statements of facts and circumstances which are prefixed to the answers, and we know that the parties are at issue upon that subject, and we propose to maintain our side of the issue.

Mr. Pierrepont—I would suggest that your Honor adhere to the suggestion which the Court made, at least so far as the parties that we represent are concerned; that their answers to these interrogatories be read, and then your Honor will judge whether you need any further light upon it. I am quite sure that your Honor will not feel any need of any light. At any rate, if you do, you can put the party upon the stand and dispose of it in five minutes.

The Court—In the case of those that you appear for, is it proved that they violated the injunction after it was served upon them?

Mr. Pierrepont—No, sir. The parties for whom I appear never had any injunction served upon them at all, nor is it pretended that they had.

Mr. Smith—Not only that, but Mr. Lane is not a party defendant to the injunction.

Mr. Fullerton—That is of no importance.

Mr. Field—Let us see exactly the authority, first, upon which the Court is to act. After providing that the defendant shall make answer on oath, within such reasonable time as the Court shall allow, the statute provides: "The Court may receive any affidavits or other proofs contradictory of the answers of the defendant, or in confirmation thereof, and upon which original affidavit, such answers, and such subsequent proof, shall determine whether the defendant has been guilty of the misconduct alleged." I suppose that depends upon you whether you will take any further evidence or not, and if you do, it must be made in the ordinary way of making proof. There can be no reference, I apprehend, at all. The true way undoubtedly is that which my brother Pierrepont has suggested—for you to hear what is said, and then to determine whether you want any further evidence; and if you do, it must be made in the way I suggest.

Mr. Fullerton—A reference was always proper in the Court of Chancery, Sir.

Mr. Rapallo—The question is, simply, whether you will take the proofs before you or commit that question to a referee, as in other cases, for the information of the Court.

Mr. Fullerton—As it was committed to a master under the old chancery practice.

Mr. Pierrepont—If your Honor please, it is not proper that there should be a reference, if, on the face of the papers, it appears to your Honor that the parties that we represent are not in contempt; and what we ask is, that the papers shall be read before your Honor, that your Honor may see that they are not in contempt and ought to be dismissed at once; and when that is read, it will be time enough to ask whether your Honor needs any further evidence or not.

The Court—I think that is the proper course to pursue. In the case of Davis and in the case of Lane, I understand that they were out of town.

Mr. Pierrepont—Yes, out of town.

Mr. Fullerton—But it is quite immaterial if they were served with the injunction.

Mr. Henry E. Davies—It it had been served upon their solicitors, they were just as much bound to obey the injunction as if it had been served upon them personally.

The Court—Or if they knew of it.

Mr. Davies—It was so held by the Court of Appeals in the case of Pitt vs. Davison, which your Honor may remember somewhat. There it was held that the parties who are affected by the injunction cannot excuse themselves for not obeying its mandate by saying that they personally had no knowledge of it. Your Honor decided at Special Term; your judgment was questioned by the General Term, but unanimously affirmed in the Court of Appeals—that the service upon the solicitor was service upon the party, and that it did not lie in the mouth of the party to say that he was accused from obeying the process of the Court because it was not served upon him. As was following out the decision which your Honor may remember was made in the case of The People vs. Brower, in 4 Paige, and as long since as the days of Chancellor Walworth, where the party sought to avoid responsibility by stating that an order made by the Court declaring that he should deliver a certain deed to the Master, was not served upon him personally. The Court held that service upon the solicitor was service upon the party, and that the party was bound to take knowledge of the process of the Court when served in a case in which he was a party, or upon which his solicitor had notice. Now, in reference to the other question, if your Honor please, in regard to the mode and manner in which these controverted facts are concerned—

Mr. Pierrepont—My learned friend will allow me to interrupt him a moment.

Mr. Davies—Certainly.

Mr. Pierrepont—My learned friend is arguing upon a state of facts which don't exist. Mr. Lane was not a party to the action, and never had a solicitor in the action, and nothing was ever served upon his solicitor.

Mr. Davies—If I understand the facts correctly, the gentleman was a director of the Erie Railway Company, and that corporation was a party to the proceedings, and, of course, what knowledge the Company had in its corporate capacity its directors had.

Mr. Pierrepont—Where they were out of town?

Mr. Field—Will my friend give us the authority?

Mr. Davies—Yes. It will be found reported—it was only decided last December, and I cannot refer your Honor or my friend upon the other side to a more full and elaborate exposition of this statute, and the mode and manners of proceeding in theramifications of contempt than the very able and exhaustive opinion of my learned brother, Judge Parker, in this case. The case was very fully argued, and very elaborately considered. I find it reported, Mr. Field, in 34 Howard's Reports, No. 4, the number for March, 1868. Both the processes by which parties may be brought into Court were discussed and pointed out, and the Court held that the party may adopt either course—take an order to show cause, which order may be served upon the solicitor of the party, and he may be punished for contempt for not answering that order, or he may be brought into Court by attachment, in which latter case interrogatories have to be served and the proofs taken, as indicated in ordinary cases; and those proceeding against parties for contempt may adopt either course—take out an order to show cause, or an attachment.

Mr. Pierrepont—The authority that my learned friend has cited does not apply to this case at all. That is a case where the party had been served with an injunction, and where his solicitor had appeared. And I don't think my learned friend would argue seriously to your Honor, either, that if a party who was a director of the Erie Railway Company was out of the State at the time the acts complained of were done, he could be brought up here for contempt for doing acts of which he had no knowledge.

The Court—Does it appear, Judge Pierrepont, from your answer, that your clients have put in that they were not present at the meeting of the Board of Directors?

Mr. Pierrepont—It does.

The Court—Then I don't understand what issues Mr. Rapallo is making.

Mr. Pierrepont—I ask your Honor to hear this answer to their interrogatories before this motion for a reference is made; then you can judge.

Mr. Rapallo—It is only where the facts charged are admitted that a reference with proofs is unnecessary. Where they deny the facts charged, then we stand in the position of plaintiff in an ordinary case, where the case is at issue, and the mode of trial is the only question to be determined.

The Court—Do you allege, Mr. Rapallo, that they were present and voted at the meeting?

Mr. Rapallo—It is alleged on these papers that all the gentlemen against whom these attachment proceedings have been instituted, were present at the meeting which ratified and adopted and confirmed the action of the Executive Committee in issuing these convertible bonds and this stock.

The Court—That is what I want to get at.

Mr. Rapallo—I am not aware that that fact is denied, but if it is denied we ask no prove it. And then on the question of the liability of these directors, there seems to be a little misunderstanding about that—about their being made parties to the suit. It was wholly unnecessary, for the purpose of these proceedings, that they should be parties to the suit at all. If the corporation was a party defendant, and was served with the injunction, that injunction binds all these directors, without their being made parties to the suit, and any director who, with knowledge that such injunction had been issued, violates the terms of it, is liable for contempt, in precisely the same manner as if he had been named as a defendant, and the service had been upon him personally; because the corporation only acts through its directors, you serve the corporation, and you therefore issue the command to all its directors. If the directors have knowledge of the service upon the corporation, it becomes their duty to ascertain what has been served upon the corporation, and to obey the mandates issued to it; because they are the heads and limbs of the corporation, to execute all its commands. I have sent for the case.

Mr. Pierrepont—We don't understand, upon our side, (as I am asked to speak for some of the others too,) why this should be thus urged in this mode before your Honor knows what the issue is. We ask that the issue be read—that the interrogatories be read, and that the answer be read, and then your Honor will be in condition to judge. That is all that we ask.

Mr. Rapallo—There is no objection to that course, but I suggested this course because I understand it to be conceded on the other side that they don't admit all that we charge against them. If they don't admit all that we charge against them, then we have a right to present proofs, and the only question is, whether your Honor will take the proofs in Court, or whether you will send it to a referee. If they desire to read their answer, I have no objection, Sir.

Mr. Davies—The usual course is for the party to read his interrogatories, and then for the other side to read their answers.

Mr. Pierrepont—That is what we wish to do.

Mr. Davies—But the provisions of the statute are ample which authorize the Court to hear these proofs, either in the way of affidavit, or in the way of other proofs, and the Court can take its own course which it will adopt.

Mr. Fullerton—It is proper enough to take that course, and it is for the Court to determine whether it will take that course or not. It is a mere waste of time.

The Court—Are they very lengthy?

Mr. Fullerton—Very lengthy, Sir; but my learned friend on the other side seems to think that if his client has only denied your Honor will not take proof. That is just the attitude in which we claim the right to take proof.

Mr. Vanderpoel—There are only twenty-four pages of the interrogatories, and the same to the answer.

The Court—What answer, if any, is made to the allegation that these parties subsequently, at the meeting of the Board of Directors, indorsed the action of the previous meeting?

Mr. Pierrepont—The only way to get at it is, to read it.

Mr. Vanderpoel—He admits that he heard of the injunction on the 4th of March. He says he didn't know what was in the injunction, but that Mr. Eaton and Mr. Drew both told him that an injunction had been granted. He says then he didn't know exactly the contents of the injunction until after the 9th of March.

Mr. Pierrepont—We hear a great deal of talk about what these papers contain, and a great many attempts on the other side to construe our papers. Now, we could have read them before this time if your Honor's suggestion had been taken, and we insist upon reading our papers.

Mr. Vanderpoel—Go on. If your Honor desire we can read the interrogatories. I can read the statement [Mr. Vanderpoel reads.]

Mr. Smith—[interrupting the reading]—It seems to me that the suggestion made by Mr. Fullerton is correct; that the reading of these papers is really a waste of time, because, I suppose that even after these papers are read, the Court will probably make some order to allow the other side or us to furnish further proofs, and I now submit, on the part of Mr. Lane, that that be the course taken.

Mr. Davies—That is manifestly the proper course now, either to proceed by affidavits or to have the reference which has been suggested made to take the proofs in the matter on both sides.

Mr. Potter—As regards these further proofs, they can be made by affidavit or by reference. I conceive it will be found the more convenient way for the other side to prepare their papers and serve them upon us.

Mr. Field—Not a reference?

Mr. Potter—I said I conceived it would be the most convenient way for the other side to prepare their papers as to such further proofs as they wish to make, and serve them upon us.

Mr. Rapallo—That course, if your Honor please, might, under some circumstances, be proper, where the issues were confined to a limited number of questions, and where all the parties who knew of the facts were disposed to testify about them; but we wish to take these proofs, and may have to take the testimony of adverse witnesses whose affidavits we could not procure, and we shall have to have had a reference, instead of one, if we pursue the course suggested by my learned friend, because two-thirds of these will probably have to be examined before a referee, in order to enable us to get their affidavits. It is much better to have the whole matter referred to a referee, who will have power to issue processes—where the Court can issue processes for the attendance of witnesses before the referee, than to have a reference for each issue.

Mr. Field—Where is the power to order a reference, I would like to know?

Mr. Rapallo—I had never supposed that would be questioned; I think that references in contempt cases are well known.

Mr. Field—There is no power unless it is specified in the statute; if the gentleman can show it in the statute it is enough; there is no other power whatever.

Mr. Davies—Does my learned friend mean to say that the Court of Chancery formerly ——?

Mr. Field—Your learned friend means to say that under the statute in regard to contempts neither the Court of Chancery nor a court of law can refer anything; it must be determined without a reference. That is what I mean to say. * * * I say the statute does not authorize a reference; that is all.

The Court—It would be impossible for me to hear this matter day in and day out, in consequence of various engagements I have in court, and as I understand the practice, it is that when a litigated question comes up, and a question of fact is involved, it shall be sent to an officer selected by the Court to take proof. That is the course that has been pursued before me. In one branch of the Pitt vs. Davison case I refused that. In regard to the proceeding the other day, it was sent to Judge Leonard; but that has nothing to do with this proceeding at all. I don't think it is proper that they should be continued before the same referee. This reference will be sent to Hon. John B. Haskin.

Mr. Jas. T. Brady—If the Court please, I should very much prefer some other referee than Mr. Haskin, and I think that Judge Leonard would be a very proper referee. He has one matter pending before him now. It is not quite certain what range the examination before the referee will take. While I am on very good terms with Mr. Haskin, there are reasons why I should much prefer that Judge Leonard should be the referee.

The Court—I should like very much to accommodate Mr. Brady in this or any other case, but I don't think, under the circumstances, it is proper to change the referee.

Mr. Brady—Well, Sir, this controversy has assumed a shape which makes it unpleasant to the counsel who are concerned, and there are some parties that I know to be indirectly interested in the litigation, between whom and Mr. Haskin I know that there exists a very close personal friendship. Upon that ground I respectfully object to his being nominated.

The Court—The answer to that, Mr. Brady, would be that the referee has no discretionary power whatever. He can do no wrongs, even if he has a disposition to. He is only to take such testimony as is offered, and report it back to the Court.

Mr. Brady—He has the power, being a kind of judge, to exclude certain things from being put on the record, and to admit others which would be improper, and I suppose the gentlemen on the other side have no suchpartiality for Mr. Haskin that they would not agree to some other gentleman.

Mr. Rapallo said that he did not propose Mr. Haskin, but Judge Leonard. Mr. Brady said he would agree to Judge Leonard being appointed.

Mr. Davies said that Judge Leonard was perfectly acceptable to all the parties. If he was not acceptable to the Court he had the right to exercise the favor of appointment. The Court did not change his order appointing Mr. Haskin; stating that he had known Mr. Haskin intimately for twenty years, and never had any occasion up to this time to find anything wrong in his reports.

Mr. Brady—I don't mean to impeach him here. I have nothing more to say.

The matter of contempt referred to Mr. Haskin was adjourned to a week from next Saturday, at 11 o'clock, at which time it was supposed the proofs will have been taken by the referee.

The following is the order appointing John B. Haskin referee in the cases of Skidmore, Diven, Davis and Lane:

The defendant having filed his answers to interrogatories heretofore filed in the above entitled matter, and Mr. D. B. Eaton appearing as counsel for said Diven, &c., and Messrs. Henry E. Davies, Fullerton, Rapallo and Vanderpoel appearing as counsel for the People. On motion of Marshall B. Champlain, Attorney-General, it is ordered that the hearing in the said matter be, and the same is hereby adjourned to the 4th day of April, 1868, at 11 A. M., at the City Hall, New-York, and that the defendant do attend at that time and place. And the plaintiff, moving for a reference as hereby ordered, to which the defendant objected as not within the power of the Court, which objection the Court overruled. It is hereby further ordered that John B. Haskin, Esq., be and he is hereby appointed referee to take such other proofs concerning the said alleged contempt as shall be produced by either party, and that he report the same to the Court, and that such reference proceed on a summons by the referee to either party of two days to attend before him on seven days and times as such referee shall appoint, or to which the same shall be adjourned.

GEORGE G. BARNARD, J. S. C.

Mr. Rapallo moved that the Sheriff make his return in regard to James Fisk, Jr., John S. Eldridge and J. Gould.

The Sheriff returned that after diligent search he had not been able to find them.

Mr. Rapallo moved for a *plures alias* as to those three.

Judge Barnard—The motion is granted. Have it indorsed on the writ that they are each to be held in the sum of $500,000 bail if caught.

Immediately after the settlement of the order before Judge Barnard, the case of Bloodgood vs. the Erie Company was brought on before Judge Cardozo, notwithstanding the fact that this Judge was deeply engaged in hearing the argument in the Chicago, Rock Island and Pacific Railroad Company.

Mr. Rappallo moved to postpone the hearing under the circumstances. He stated the nature of the injunction which is to restrain the defendants from holding meetings unless Frank Work is present.

Mr. Field said Frank Work is nothing but a tool, and is put in there for the purpose of preventing the broad gauge from going through to Chicago.

Judge Cardozo explained how the order came to be made returnable before him, and said he had no desire to hear the case or any part of it. He must, at all events, adjourn it to Monday next, which was done.

The McCunniff Case—Inquest and Verdict.

We have previously given the particulars of the assault committed on Saturday evening at No. 502 East Seventeenth-street, by JOHN O'SHEA, upon WILLIAM MCCUNNIFF, from the effects of which the latter died at a late hour on Sunday evening. Coroner SCHIRMER held an inquest in the case at Bellevue Hospital yesterday afternoon. The same testimony was obtained as that adduced at the *ante-mortem* examination held on the previous day by the same Coroner and already published. Upon this evidence the jury found that the deceased had come to his death by reason of hemorrhage and shock from the wound received at the hands of JOHN O'SHEA on the 21st inst. The accused was then subjected to the usual examination, to which he answered "not guilty," and was then committed by the Coroner to the Tombs to await the action of the Grand Jury.

Murderous Affray.

About 1 o'clock this morning PATRICK DEVOY, keeper of a liquor saloon at the corner of Houston and Elizabeth streets, quarrelled in an upper room of the house of ill-fame No. 45 East Houston-street, with HENRY WALLACE *alias* Westcott, and finally struck his opponent on the head with a blunt instrument, fracturing the skull. DEVOY was arrested by Detective IRVING and Doorman PEARSON and locked up at Police Headquarters. By direction of Police Surgeon FOOLER, the wounded man was taken to the New-York Hospital. He is in a critical condition.

Movements of Ocean Steamers.

FOR EUROPE.

Germania	March 21.	New-York	Hamburg.
Siberia	March 25.	New-York	Liverpool.
Nebraska	March 25.	New-York	Liverpool.
City of Cork	March 26.	New-York	Liverpool.
Tarifa	March 26.	New-York	Liverpool.
New-York	March 26.	New-York	Bremen.
Erin	March 28.	New-York	Liverpool.
Columbia	March 28.	New-York	Glasgow.

FOR RIO DE JANEIRO, ASPINWALL AND HAVANA.

Fulton	March 25.	New-York	Aspinwall.
Columbia	March 26.	New-York	Havana.

Departure of Foreign Mails.

TUESDAY.

Mails for Europe, *via* Southampton and Hamburg, by the *Germania*, close at the General Post-office at 12 A. M. Supplementary mail for *paid* letters on the pier, foot of Third-street, Hoboken, to 2 P. M.

Departure of Domestic Mails.

East Mails close at .....5 A. M., 1:30, 2:20 and 6 P. M.
Western Mails...5 A. M., 3:30, 4:30 6:30 and 4:15 P. M.
Long Island.....5 A. M. and 2:30 P. M.
Newport and Fall River.....5 P. M.
New-York Central Railroad.....5 P. M.
North Mails.....5 A. M. and 4 P. M.
North Mail, Way.....5 A. M. and 3 P. M.
South Mail at.....8 A. M., and 4:30 P. M.
On Sundays all mails close at.....1:30 P. M.

Passengers Sailed.

In steamship Mississippi, for St. Thomas and Brazil—M. V. Hippel, Miss C. Hippel, A. W. McLachlan, R. D. Barden, P. S. Leitner, Mrs. C. Golding, J. W. Kirby, Mrs. T. C. Glazier, Gen. D. De Goicouria, Mr. and Mrs. G. T. Brown, F. W. Ludovici, M. D. Shove, R. Merrill, W. M. Lesus.

Passengers Arrived.

In steamship Merrimac, from Rio Janeiro, &c.—Frederick S. Williams, Louis Muller, Jose Costo, Lieut. G. W. McClure, U. S. N.; Henry Scott, Pierre Antaine Laguillon, Fred. Crocker, Lopez Almeida, Jr., Hildebrand de Miranda, Joas Damasceno, Joas Aug. Correa, Philippe J. de Louza, Jr., Jose H. de Noronha, Joas A. L. Coscho, Philip Gerold, Wm. O. Jones.

MINIATURE ALMANAC—THIS DAY.

Sun rises..... 5 58 | Sun sets..... 6 16 | Moon sets..... 8 48
HIGH WATER—THIS DAY.
Sandy Hook.. 7 59 | Gov. Island.. 8 48 | Hell Gate ..10 10

MARINE INTELLIGENCE.

NEW-YORK....MONDAY, March 23.

Cleared.

Steamships John Gibson, Winters, Washington, D. C., Phillips & Brown; Mississippi, Slocum, Rio Janeiro, &c., W. R. Garrison; Wilmington, Cole, Galveston, Williams & Guion; Rebecca Clyde, Chichester, Wilmington, N. C., J. Hand; Germania, (Ham.,) Schwansen, Hamburg, Kunhardt & Co.; Bellona, (Br.,) ——, London, Howland & Aspinwall.

Ship Americus Congress, Jordan, London, Grinnell, Minturn & Co.

Barks Rosedale, (Br.) Merritt, Cuidad Bolivar, Harbeck & Halsey; Oceana, (Norw.,)

FINANCIAL.

Fisk & Hatch

BANKERS AND DEALERS IN

GOVERNMENT SECURITIES,

No. 5 Nassau-street,

BUY AND SELL AT MARKET RATES, ALL DESCRIPTIONS OF UNITED STATES SECURITIES, and give especial attention to the conversion of SEVEN-THIRTY NOTES INTO THE NEW FIVE-TWENTY BONDS OF 1865 AND 1867. Holders of the Sixes of 1881, and Five-twenty Bonds of 1862, and May 1, 1865, may now realize a liberal difference by exchanging them for the new 5-20s of 1865-7. We are prepared to make these exchanges upon the most favorable terms.

Deposits received and collections made.

FISK & HATCH, No. 5 Nassau-st.

BANKING HOUSE OF

JAY COOKE & CO.

NO. 20 WALL-ST

CORNER OF NASSAU-ST., NEW-YORK.

We buy and sell at the most liberal current prices, and keep on hand a full supply of GOVERNMENT BONDS OF ALL ISSUES, SEVEN-THIRTIES AND COMPOUND INTEREST NOTES, and execute orders for purchase and sale of

STOCKS, BONDS AND GOLD.

We have added to our office a Retail Department for the accommodation of the public demand for investment in and exchanges of Government Securities, the purchase of GOLD and INTEREST COUPONS, and the sale of INTERNAL REVENUE STAMPS.

SEVEN-THIRTIES CONVERTED INTO FIVE-TWENTIES AT THE MOST FAVORABLE RATES.

JAY COOKE & CO.

RODMAN, FISK & CO.,

BANKERS AND DEALERS IN GOVERNMENT SECURITIES NO. 18 NASSAU-ST., New-York.

JOHN MUNROE & CO., AMERICAN BANKERS, No. 7 Rue Scribe, Paris, and No. 8 Wall-st., New-York.

Issue circular letters of credit to travelers in all parts of Europe, &c., &c. Also, commercial credits.

BROWN BROTHERS & Co. NO. 50 WALL-ST. ISSUE COMMERCIAL AND TRAVELERS' CREDITS FOR USE IN THIS COUNTRY AND ABROAD.

FIRST-CLASS INVESTMENT. SECURITY UNDOUBTED.

250,000 first mortgage bonds of the South Side Railroad of Long Island for sale.

These bonds are 7 per cent. coupons, payable 1st of March and September, at the Mechanics' Banking Association, Brooklyn, the mortgage covering the entire property of the Company.

The South Side Railroad runs from the East River, Brooklyn, through all the villages on the south side of the Island to Patchogue, distance 55 miles, 34 miles completed and running. Net earnings are now during the Winter months paying interest on the bonds. The road is graded to Islip, iron and ties on the line, and the entire line will be completed as soon as the weather will permit.

For sale on favorable terms by

SMITH, GOULD, MARTIN & CO., Bankers and Brokers, No. 11 Broad-st., New-York.

ST. LOUIS AND IRON MOUNTAIN RAILROAD COMPANY,

SEVEN PER CENT. BONDS, FEBRUARY AND AUGUST.

The title of the ST. LOUIS AND IRON MOUNTAIN RAILROAD having been confirmed by both branches of the Legislature, bonds can be issued, and the work of extending the road will now go rapidly forward. 45 miles of the new part will be running next August, and the balance during the early part of the next year, so that a continuous route will be opened in about one year to Mobile and New-Orleans. The old part of the road (91 miles) earns enough to secure all the interest on the whole mortgage debt, while every dollar of the issue being expended on the extension adds to its security. Pamphlets and particulars can be had at the office of the Company. The bonds will be sold until the 15th April at the low price of 85.

H. G. MARQUAND, Vice-President, No. 43 Wall-st.

$4,000 WANTED ON BOTTOMRY.

About $4,000 is wanted on bottomry of vessel, cargo and freight of the Italian bark GABRIEL, now lying in this port bound to Cadiz, Spain. The GABRIEL suffered some damage in this port when about to go to sea, but has been on dock and is now fully repaired, and will be ready for sea in a few days. The funds are needed to pay for repairs, port charges, &c.

Sealed tenders addressed to Capt. BARTOLE VITALE will be received at the office of the undersigned, until TUESDAY, 24th inst., at 12 M.

LAWSON & WALKER, Average Adjusters, No. 62 Wall-st.

NEW-YORK, March 20, 1868.

HOUSTON GAS COMPANY BONDS.

The undersigned offer for sale $40,000 of mortgage bonds of the Houston Gaslight Company, State of Texas. These bonds are a part of an issue of $500,000, by the Company, and are secured by a mortgage on their franchise and the entire property of the Company to P. Bedford, Trustee.

Interest at 10 per cent. per annum is payable semi-annually at the office of the Company, in Houston, or in this City at the office of the undersigned.

SCOFFORD, TILESTON & CO.

DES MOINES VALLEY RAILROAD CO.

The coupons of the First Mortgage 8 per cent. Bonds of the Des Moines Valley Railroad Company, due 1st April proximo, will be paid on and after that date at the banking house of GILMAN, SON & CO., No. 47 Exchange-place.

ASTOR FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY. ELECTION.

The annual election for Directors and three Inspectors of Election will be held at the office, No. 16 Wall-st., on WEDNESDAY, April 8, between the hours of 12 and 1 o'clock P. M. JAS. YERANCE, Secretary.

NIAGARA INSURANCE OFFICE, No. 12 WALL-ST. AN ELECTION FOR DIRECTORS, held at this office, the following gentlemen were elected for the ensuing year:

JONATHAN D. STEELE, COE ADAMS, DAVID STEWART, W. W. PARKIN, JOHN MORTIMER, JR., H. H. WALDEN, J. TAYLOR JOHNSTON, F. SCHUCHARDT, EDWARD HOLDSWORTH, DAVID WAGSTAFF, EZRA WHEELER, HENDERSON MOORE, THOS. C. DOREMUS, DANL. S. SCHANCK, PETER NAYLOR, P. NOTMAN, JOSEPH W. MILKS, H. D. WALLBRIDGE, WM. H. WISNER, A. R. WALSH, WM. D. THOMPSON, JAMES COLLES, JR., GEORGE F. THOMAE, W. H. NEWMAN, LLOYD ASPINWALL, F. W. CODDINGTON, HENRY ROWLAND, W. H. STOCKWELL, JAMES STOKES, HENRY F. HITCH, EDWARD R. HEDDEN, JAMES L. FLINT, JAS. R. TAYLOR, CYRUS P. SMITH. B. G. ARNOLD,

And Messrs. Adam Norrie, Joseph W. Greene and Wm. H. Clay inspectors of said election. And at a subsequent meeting of the Board, held 18th inst., JONATHAN D. STEELE, Esq., was reelected President, and P. NOTMAN, Esq., Vice-President.

HENRY KIP, Secretary.

CHICAGO AND ALTON RAILROAD COMPANY, SECRETARY AND TREASURER'S OFFICE, CHICAGO, Ill., March 10, 1868.

NOTICE TO STOCK AND BONDHOLDERS.—Notice is hereby given to the Stockholders and voting Bondholders of the Chicago and Alton Railroad Company, that the annual meeting of said Company for the election of three Directors and transaction of any other business that may be presented, will be held at the office of this Company, in Chicago, Ill., on MONDAY, the 6th day of April, 1868, at 10 o'clock A. M.

The transfer-books of the Company will be closed at the close of business hours on the 23d inst., and reopened for transfers on the morning of April 7, 1868.

W. M. LARRABEE, Secretary.

OFFICE OF THE CHICAGO AND ALTON RAILROAD COMPANY, No. 12 PINE-ST., NEW-YORK, Feb. 1, 1868.

CHICAGO AND ALTON RAILROAD FIRST MORTGAGE SINKING FUND BONDS.—Notice is hereby given that we have this day designated by lot 71 bonds numbered as follows: 88, 164, 235, 328, 364, 367, 387, 389, 432, 458, 582, 623, 655, 690, 161, 264, 527, 661, 600, 421 and 280 of $1,000 each for redemption.

According to the terms of the mortgage, interest thereon will cease May 1, 1868.

The undersigned are ready to pay them with interest so far as accrued on presentation and surrender.

S. J. TILDEN, No. 12 Wall-st.
L. H. MEYER, No. 21 Nassau-st.

OFFICE OF THE PANAMA RAILROAD COMPANY, TONTINE BUILDINGS, No. 88 WALL-ST., NEW-YORK, March 20, 1868.

THE ANNUAL ELECTION FOR THIRTEEN Directors of the Panama Railroad Company will be held at the office of the Company, No. 88 Wall-st., on MONDAY, the 6th of April next. The polls will open at 12 o'clock M., and continue open until 2 P. M.

JOSEPH F. JOY, Secretary.

OFFICE OF BLACKHAWK GOLD MINING CO., No. 22 WILLIAM-ST., March 24, 1868.

THE ANNUAL MEETING OF THE STOCKholders of this Company will be held at the office of the Company, in New-York, on SATURDAY, April 4, 1868, at 1 o'clock P. M., for the election of nine Trustees, and for the transaction of any other business that may legally come before the meeting.

S. J. EDMUNDS, Secretary.

OFFICE OF ADAMS EXPRESS COMPANY, No. 59 BROADWAY, March 23, 1868.

AT A MEETING OF THE BOARD OF Managers of this Company, held on the 17th day of March, a dividend of Five Dollars per share was declared, payable April 30. The transfer books will be closed from the 10th to the 30th of April, and the dividend paid to those holding stock at the closing of the books.

W. B. DINSMORE, Treasurer.

OFFICE OF THE CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY OF NEW-JERSEY, No. 103 LIBERTY-ST., NEW-YORK, March 13, 1868.

THE BONDS OF THE AMERICAN DOCK AND IMPROVEMENT COMPANY, guaranteed by the Central Railroad Company of New-Jersey, can be had at par and the accrued interest, of

SAMUEL KNOX, Treasurer.

HOME INSURANCE COMPANY, OFFICE, No. 135 BROADWAY, NEW-YORK, March 21, 1868.

THE ANNUAL ELECTION FOR DIRECtors of this Company will be held at the office, on MONDAY, the 6th day of April. Polls open at 12 M. and close at 1 P. M.

J. A. WASHBURN, Secretary.

OFFICE PARK FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY, No. 237 BROADWAY, NEW-YORK, March 24, 1868.

ELECTION.—THE ANNUAL ELECTION FOR fifteen directors of this Company will be held at the office on MONDAY, 6th of April next. Poll open from 12 M. until 1 P. M.

GEO. ALGER, Secretary.

BUFFALO AND ERIE RAILROAD CO.—The transfer-books of this Company will close on the 24th instant at the office of the Farmers' Loan and Trust Company, and remain closed until the 1st proximo.

H. L. LANSING, Treasurer.

NEW-YORK, March 14, 1868.

FINANCIAL.

HEDDEN, WINCHESTER & CO.,

No. 69 Broadway, New-York,

BANKERS AND BROKERS.

AGENTS FOR THE SALE OF THE UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD CO. First Mortgage Bonds.

These Bonds bear interest at the rate of SIX PER CENT. IN GOLD, and are sold at PAR and accrued interest from Jan. 1, in currency.

☞ STOCKS, BONDS, GOVERNMENT SECURITIES, AND GOLD BOUGHT AND SOLD on commission. Interest allowed on balances.

THE

National Trust Co.

OF THE CITY OF NEW-YORK, NO. 336 BROADWAY.

CAPITAL, ONE MILLION DOLLARS.

CHARTERED BY THE STATE.

DARIUS R. MANGAM, President. JAMES MERRELL, Secretary.

RECEIVES DEPOSITS AND ALLOWS FOUR PER CENT. INTEREST ON DAILY BALANCES,

SUBJECT TO CHECK AT SIGHT.

SPECIAL DEPOSITS for six Months, or more, may be made at five per cent.

The Capital of ONE MILLION DOLLARS is divided among over 500 Shareholders, comprising many gentlemen of large wealth and financial experience, who are also personally liable to depositors for all obligations of the Company to double the amount of their capital stock. As THE NATIONAL TRUST COMPANY receives deposits in large or small amounts, and permits them to be drawn as a whole or in part by CHECK AT SIGHT and WITHOUT NOTICE, allowing interest on ALL DAILY BALANCES, parties can keep accounts in this Institution with special advantages of security, convenience and profit.

VERMILYE & CO.,

NO. 44 WALL-ST.,

BANKERS AND DEALERS IN GOVERNMENT STOCKS.

WE GIVE SPECIAL ATTENTION TO FUNDING 7.30 TREASURY LOANS.

All series taken in exchange for 5-20 BONDS. Returns made to correspondents without delay, and on favorable terms.

CLOSING PRICES.

NEW-YORK, March 23—3 P. M.

	Bid.	Ask.		Bid.	Ask.
Registered '81	110¾	111¼	5-20 C., '67	106¾	107
Coupon, 1881	110¾	111	10-40 Registered	100½	100¾
5-20 Regist'd '62	106½	107	10-40 Coupon	100¾	101¼
5-20 Coupon, '62	106¾	107¼	Gold	138½	138¾
5-20 Coupon, '64	107¾	108	June	135¼	135¾
5-20 Coupon, '65	108	108¼	July	134¾	135
5-20 C., Jan & July	106½	106¾			

ALL CLASSES OF UNITED STATES FUNDS

Credited or Remitted for on Receipt, at Market Rates, FREE OF ALL COMMISSION CHARGES.

HATCH, FOOTE & Co.

BANKERS AND DEALERS IN GOVERNMENT SECURITIES, GOLD, STOCKS &c.

Buy and Sell all issues of U. S. BONDS at MARKET RATES, and EXCHANGE 7-30 Notes, March 23, 1868, as follows:

For JUNE NOTES we give the NEW 5-20 BONDS, and pay $5 62 DIFFERENCE.

For JULY NOTES we give the NEW 5-20 BONDS, and receive $5 00 DIFFERENCE ON EACH $1,000.

No. 12 Wall-st., New-York.

FOR SALE.

ROCHESTER CITY SEVEN PER CENT. FIRST MORTGAGE WATER CO. BONDS, WHICH ARE A FIRST-CLASS SECURITY, UNDOUBTED FOR INVESTMENTS, WITH A SINKING FUND PROVIDED FOR THEIR REDEMPTION, DUE 1887, INTEREST COUPONS AND PRINCIPAL PAID BY THE CITY TREASURER OF THE CITY OF ROCHESTER.

These bonds are a first lien on the entire property of the Waterworks now being constructed under an act granted by the Legislature of the State of New-York. The amount of bonds authorized to be issued is only $400,000, with $350,000 of stock coming in after the bonds. The works are now rapidly progressing towards completion, and it is expected that water will be introduced into the city on or before the 1st of July next. The estimated revenue from water-rents will be from $150,000 to $180,000 per year, of which the City, County and State pay $28,000 for 20 years; this provides for the whole amount of interest required on the bonds, and is independent of the rents for water received from the citizens of Rochester.

A LIMITED AMOUNT OF THE BONDS ARE OFFERED FOR SALE, IN LOTS TO SUIT PURCHASERS, AT A CONSIDERABLE REDUCTION BELOW PAR FOR THE PRESENT ONLY, BY

ALBERT H. NICOLAY, STOCK BROKER AND AUCTIONEER, NO. 43 PINE-ST., NEW-YORK.

THE FIRST MORTGAGE BONDS OF THE UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY, bearing six per cent. interest, payable in GOLD COIN, are offered for sale by THE SPECIAL AGENTS OF THE COMPANY.

JOHN J. CISCO & SON, No. 59 Wall-st.

SOUTH CAROLINA STATE BONDS, and Virginia six per cent. stock for sale low. Southern securities a specialty.

MANNING & DE FOREST, Bankers, No. 19 Wall-st.

FOR SALE—FIRST MORTGAGE CENTRAL Park, North and East River seven per cent. Railroad Bonds.

EDWARD LAMBERT & CO., No. 8 Wall-st., New-York.

DIVIDENDS.

OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, IN THE CITY OF NEW-YORK, No. 40 WALL-ST., NEW-YORK, March 18, 1868.

SIXTH TRIENNIAL DIVIDEND.

The Board of Directors have this day declared a dividend of FORTY PER CENT. for the three years ending at the 4th of March instant, to holders of all policies then in force, on the whole sum of the annual participating premiums received during the said three years; and an additional dividend of TWENTY PER CENT. on the whole sum of all previous dividends and additions, to holders of such policies who have fulfilled their engagements with the Company, both dividends being payable or available in the various modes explained in the Company's prospectus.

CASH ASSETS EXCEED $2,970,000.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS.

JAMES SUYDAM,	CLINTON GILBERT,
JAMES MARSH,	WILLIAM B. BOLLES,
JOHN J. CISCO,	HANSON K. CORNING,
CHARLES O'DONNELL,	JOHN L. O'SULLIVAN,
THOMAS C. DOREMUS,	EDWARD MINTURN,
B. F. WHEELWRIGHT,	AUGUSTUS H. WARD,
WILSON G. HUNT,	JAMES GALLATIN,
DAN. H. ARNOLD,	JEREMIAH ROBINSON,
W. R. VERMILYE,	CHAS. P. LEVERICH,
WILLIAM TUCKER,	WILLIAM M. HALSTED,
SHEPHERD KNAPP,	HENRY W. BOGERT,
EDWARD S. CLARK,	APOLLOS R. WETMORE,
ISAAC N. PHELPS,	FREDERICK SHELDON,
CHARLES E. BILL,	JAMES M. MORRISON.

JOHN P. PHELPS, President.
JOHN EADIE, Actuary.
N. G. DE GROOT, Actuary.
ISAAC A. GIFFING, Assistant Secretary.

JAMES W. G. CLEMENTS, M. D., Medical Examiner, daily from 12 to 1½ P. M., at the Office. No. 40 Wall-st.

WILLIAM DETMOLD, M. D., Consulting Physician.

NICHOLAS DE GROOT, Secretary.

J. B. GATES, General Agent, and James Stewart, Henry Perry, Albert O. Wilcox, A. Whitney, Grenville R. Benson, Charles Northshield, J. J. Whitney, Hiram P. Crozier, W. H. Wilson, E. M. Wells and J. C. Stewart, Local Agents in the City of New-York and vicinity.

☞ NEW AND IMPORTANT PLANS OF LIFE INSURANCE HAVE BEEN ADOPTED BY THIS COMPANY. SEND FOR THE NEW PROSPECTUS.

PITTSBURGH, FORT WAYNE AND CHICAGO RAILWAY COMPANY, OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY, PITTSBURGH, March 14, 1868.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THIS Company have declared the regular quarterly dividend (No. 31) of 2½ per cent. free of Government tax on the capital stock, for the quarter ending March 31, payable on and after WEDNESDAY, the 15th day of April, 1868, at the office of Winslow, Lanier & Co., Nos. 27 and 29 Pine-st., to those registered at New-York and at the office of the Treasurer to those registered at Pittsburgh.

The transfer-books will close on the 31st day of March, at 2 o'clock P. M., and reopen the 16th day of April, 1868, at 10 o'clock A. M.

F. M. HUTCHINSON, Secretary.

OFFICE HARTFORD AND NEW-HAVEN R. R. CO., HARTFORD, March 20, 1868.

NOTICE—A QUARTERLY DIVIDEND OF three dollars, free of Government tax, has been declared on each share of stock standing on the books the 25th day of March inst., by the Board of Directors of the Hartford and New-Haven Railroad Company, payable on WEDNESDAY, April 1. Stockholders in New-York will receive their dividend at the Union National Bank. The transfer books will be closed the 25th of March, to reopen the 2d of April.

S. P. CONNER, Secretary.

DIVIDEND.—A DIVIDEND OF 15 PER CENT. will be paid to the holders of claims against the late firm of MATTHEWS & TAYLOR, at my office, No. 312 Broadway. WILLIAM TAYLOR, Assignee.

MACHINERY.

FOR SALE—FOUR SINGLE HAND PRESSES, one double-action press, one drop-press, with dies and a large quantity of materials for manufacturing buttons, &c. Apply to A. J. DOTY, Orange, N. J., or to W. B., Box No. 1,842, Post-office.

BANKRUPT NOTICES.

THIS IS TO GIVE NOTICE—THAT ON the 18th day of March, A. D. 1868, a warrant in bankruptcy was issued against the estate of SIMON GANS, of the City of New-York, in the County of New-York, and State of New-York, who has been adjudged a bankrupt, on his own petition; that the payment of any debts and delivery of any property belonging to such bankrupt, to him or for his use, and the transfer of any property by him, are forbidden by law; that a meeting of the creditors of the said bankrupt, to prove their debts, and to choose one or more assignees of his estate, will be held at a Court of Bankruptcy, to be holden at No. 84 Nassau-st., in the City of New-York, before Edgar Ketchum, Register, on the 24th day of April, A. D. 1868, at 12 o'clock M.

R. MURRAY, U. S. Marshal, as Messenger Southern District of New-York.

MARCH 25, 1868.

THIS IS TO GIVE NOTICE—THAT ON THE 6th day of March, A. D. 1868, a warrant in bankruptcy was issued against the estate of CHARLES S. LITTLE, of the City of New-York, in the County of New-York, and State of New-York, who has been adjudged a bankrupt on his own petition; that the payment of any debts and delivery of any property belonging to such bankrupt, to him, or for his use, and the transfer of any property by him, are forbidden by law; that a meeting of the creditors of the said bankrupt, to prove their debts, and to choose one or more assignees of his estate, will be held at a Court of Bankruptcy, to be holden at No. 29 Pine-st., New-York City, before John Fitch, Register, on the 31 day of April, A. D. 1868, at 12 o'clock M.

ROBERT MURRAY, U. S. Marshal, as Messenger southern District of New-York.

MARCH 23, 1868.

THIS IS TO GIVE NOTICE—THAT ON the 13th day of March, A. D. 1868, a warrant in bankruptcy was issued against the estate of EDWARD F. BAKER, of the City of New-York, in the County of New-York and State of New-York, who has been adjudged a bankrupt on his own petition; that the payment of any debts and delivery of any property belonging to such bankrupt, to him, or for his use, and the transfer of any property by him, are forbidden by law; that a meeting of the creditors of the said bankrupt, to prove their debts, and to choose one or more assignees of his estate, will be held at a Court of Bankruptcy, to be holden at No. 161 Broadway, in the City of New-York, before Mr. Henry Wilder Allen, Register, on the 3d day of April, A. D. 1868, at 12 o'clock M.

R. MURRAY, U. S. Marshal, as Messenger Southern District of New-York.

MARCH 23, 1868.

THIS IS TO GIVE NOTICE—THAT ON the 12th day of March, A. D. 1868, a warrant in bankruptcy was issued against the estate of JU. IUS PRAGER, of the City of New-York, in the County of New-York and State of New-York, who has been adjudged a bankrupt, on his own petition; that the payment of any debts and delivery of any property belonging to such bankrupt, to him, or for his use, and the transfer of any property by him, are forbidden by law; that a meeting of the creditors of the said bankrupt, to prove their debts, and to choose one or more assignees of his estate, will be held at a Court of Bankruptcy, to be holden at No. 161 Broadway, in the City of New-York, before John Fitch, Register, on the 8th day of April, A. D. 1868, at 11 o'clock A. M.

R. MURRAY, U. S. Marshal, as Messenger Southern District of New-York.

MARCH 23, 1868.

IN BANKRUPTCY.—IN THE DISTRICT Court of the United States for the Southern District of New-York.—In the matter of CHARLES M. HINMAN, Bankrupt.—Notice is hereby given that a petition has been filed in said Court by Charles M. Hinman, in said district, duly declared a bankrupt under the act of Congress of March 2, 1867, for a discharge and certificate thereof from all his debts and other claims provable under said act, and that the 7th day of April, 1868, at 2 o'clock P. M., at the office of James F. Dwight, Register in Bankruptcy, No. 7 Beekman-st., in the City of New-York, is assigned for the hearing of the same, when and where all creditors who have proved their debts, and other persons in interest may attend, and show cause, if any they have, why the prayer of the said petition should not be granted.—Dated New-York, on the 7th day of March, 1868.

mh16-law3w*Tu*

GEO. F. BETTS, Clerk.

IN BANKRUPTCY.—IN THE DISTRICT Court of the United States for the Southern District of New-York.—In the matter of ISAAC WYMAN, bankrupt.—Notice is hereby given that a petition has been filed in said Court by Isaac Wyman, in said district, duly declared a bankrupt under the act of Congress of March 2, 1867, for a discharge and certificate thereof from all his debts and other claims provable under said act, and that the 1st day of April, 1868, at 2 o'clock P. M., at the office of Edward Jones, Register in Bankruptcy, No. 83 Nassau-st., in the City of New-York, is assigned for the hearing of the same, when and where all creditors who have proved their debts, and other persons in interest, may attend, and show cause, if any they have, why the prayer of the said petition should not be granted.

Dated New-York, on the 6th day of March, 1868.

mh10-law3w*Tu*

GEO. F. BETTS, Clerk.

IN BANKRUPTCY.—IN THE DISTRICT Court of the United States for the Southern District of New-York.—In the matter of MATTHEW O'FARRELL and DANIEL O'FARRELL, bankrupts. Notice is hereby given that a petition has been filed in said Court by Matthew O'Farrell and Daniel O'Farrell, in said district, duly declared bankrupts under the act of Congress of March 2, 1867, for a discharge and certificate thereof from all their debts and other claims provable under said act, and that the 10th day of April, 1868, at 11 o'clock A. M., at the office of Henry Wilder Allen, Register in Bankruptcy, No. 161 Broadway, in the City of New-York, is assigned for the hearing of the same, when and where all creditors who have proved their debts, and other persons in interest, may attend and show cause, if any they have, why the prayer of the said petition should not be granted.

Dated New-York, on the 14th day of March, 1868.

GEO. F. BETTS, Clerk.

John Todd, Attorney for petitioners, 2 Broadway, New-York.

mh17-law3w*Tu*

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE United States for the Southern District of New-York.—In the matter of JAMES CHAMBERS, bankrupt.—Before Isaiah T. Williams, Register.—To whom it may concern: The undersigned hereby gives notice of his appointment as assignee of James Chambers, residing in Eastchester, Westchester County, in the State of New-York, and carrying on business in the City of New-York, in the County of New-York and State of New-York, within said district, who has been adjudged bankrupt upon his own petition by the District Court of said district. Dated at New-York, the 13th day of March, A. D. 1868.

JOHN SEDGWICK, Assignee, No. 137 Broadway, New-York City.

mh17-law3w*Tu*

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE United States for the Southern District of New-York.—In the matter of JU. IUS PRAGER, of the City of New-York, in the County of New-York and State of New-York, who has been adjudged a bankrupt, on his own petition; that the payment of any debts and delivery of any property belonging to such bankrupt, to him, or for his use, and the transfer of any property by him, are forbidden by law; that a meeting of the creditors of the said bankrupt, to prove their debts, and to choose one or more assignees of his estate, will be held at a Court of Bankruptcy, to be holden at No. 161 Broadway, in the City of New-York, before Henry Wilder Allen, Register, on the 8th day of April, A. D. 1868, at 11 o'clock A. M.

R. MURRAY, U. S. Marshal, as Messenger Southern District of New-York.

MARCH 23, 1868.

IN BANKRUPTCY.—IN THE DISTRICT Court of the United States for the Southern District of New-York.—In the matter of EDWARD M. HINMAN, Bankrupt.—Notice is hereby given that a petition has been filed in said Court by Charles M. Hinman, in said district, duly declared a bankrupt under the act of Congress of March 2, 1867, for a discharge and certificate thereof from all his debts and other claims provable under said act, and that the 7th day of April, 1868, at 2 o'clock P. M., at the office of James F. Dwight, Register in Bankruptcy, No. 7 Beekman-st., in the City of New-York, is assigned for the hearing of the same, when and where all creditors who have proved their debts, and other persons in interest may attend, and show cause, if any they have, why the prayer of the said petition should not be granted.—Dated New-York, on the 7th day of March, 1868.

mh16-law3w*Tu*

GEO. F. BETTS, Clerk.

IN BANKRUPTCY.—IN THE DISTRICT Court of the United States for the Southern District of New-York.—In the matter of JAMES OWENS, bankrupt.—In Bankruptcy.—Before John Fitch, Register.—To whom it may concern:—The undersigned hereby gives notice of his appointment as assignee of James Owens, of the City of New-York, in the County of New-York and State of New-York, within the said district, who has been adjudged bankrupt upon his own petition by the District Court of said district.

Dated at New-York, 6th day of March, A. D. 1868.

JOHN SEDGWICK, Assignee, No. 137 Broadway, New-York City.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE United States for the Southern District of New-York.—In the matter of GALEN A. CARTER, bankrupt.—In Bankruptcy.—To whom it may concern: The undersigned hereby gives notice of his appointment as assignee of Galen A. Carter, of the City of New York, in the County of New-York and State of New-York, who has been adjudged a bankrupt upon his own petition by the District Court of said district.—Dated at New-York, the 13th day of March, A. D. 1868. JNO. SEDGWICK, Assignee, mh17-law3w*Tu* No. 137 Broadway, New-York City.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE United States for the Southern District of New-York.—In the matter of HENRY A. HEISER, bankrupt.—Before Isaiah T. Williams, Register.—To whom it may concern: The undersigned hereby gives notice of his appointment as assignee of HENRY A. HEISER, of the City of New-York, in the County of New-York and State of New-York, within said district, who has been adjudged bankrupt upon his own petition by the District Court of said district.—Dated at New-York the 13th day of March, A. D. 1868. JNO. SEDGWICK, Assignee, mh17-law3w*Tu* No. 137 Broadway, New-York City.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE United States for the Southern District of New-York.—In the matter of ANDREW J. ANDERSON, a bankrupt.—To whom it may concern: The undersigned hereby gives notice of his appointment as assignee of Andrew J. Anderson, of the town of Olive, in the County of Ulster and State of New-York, within said district, who has been adjudged bankrupt upon his own petition by the District Court of said district.

Dated at Kingston, N. Y., March 14, 1868.

ELIJAH DUBOIS, Assignee, mh17-law3w*Tu* Kingston, Ulster County, N. Y.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE United States for the Southern District of New-York.—In Bankruptcy.—In the matter of PUTNAM F. SPAULDING, bankrupt.—The undersigned hereby gives notice of his appointment as assignee of the estate of Putnam F. Spaulding, of New-York City, in the County and State of New-York, in said district, who has been adjudged bankrupt upon his own petition by the District Court of said district.

Dated at New-York City, March 23, 1868.

FRANCIS C. BOWMAN, Assignee, mh24-law3w*Tu* No. 7 Beekman-st., New-York City.

BANKRUPT NOTICES.

THIS IS TO GIVE NOTICE—THAT ON the 6th day of February, A. D. 1868, a warrant in bankruptcy was issued against the estate of JAMES P. LACOMBE, of the City of New-York, in the County of New-York and State of New-York, who has been adjudged a bankrupt on his own petition; that the payment of any debts and delivery of any property belonging to such bankrupt, to him, or for his use, and the transfer of any property by him, are forbidden by law; that a meeting of the creditors of the said bankrupt, to prove their debts, and to choose one or more assignees of his estate, will be held at a Court of Bankruptcy, to be holden at No. 57 Broadway, in the City of New-York, before Charles P. Stratton, Register, on the 8th day of April, A. D. 1868, at 12 o'clock M.

R. MURRAY, U. S. Marshal, as Messenger Southern District of New-York.

MARCH 23, 1868.

IN BANKRUPTCY.—IN THE DISTRICT Court of the United States for the Southern District of New-York.—In the matter of ALEXANDER H. MILLMAN, Bankrupt.—Notice is hereby given that a petition has been filed in said Court by Charles M. Hinman, in said district, duly declared a bankrupt under the act of Congress of March 2, 1867, for a discharge and certificate thereof from all his debts and other claims provable under said act, and that the 7th day of April, 1868, at 12 o'clock M., at the office of James F. Dwight, Register in Bankruptcy, No. 7 Beekman-st., in the City of New-York, is assigned for the hearing of the same, when and where all creditors who have proved their debts, and other persons in interest may attend, and show cause, if any they have, why the prayer of the said petition should not be granted.—Dated New-York, on the 7th day of March, 1868.

mh16-law3w*Tu*

GEO. F. BETTS, Clerk.

IN BANKRUPTCY.—IN THE DISTRICT Court of the United States for the Southern District of New-York.—In the matter of STEPHEN CROCKER, of the City of New-York, in the County of New-York and State of New-York, who has been adjudged a bankrupt on his own petition; that the payment of any debts and delivery of any property belonging to such bankrupt, to him, or for his use, and the transfer of any property by him, are forbidden by law; that a meeting of the creditors of the said bankrupt, to prove their debts, and to choose one or more assignees of his estate, will be held at a Court of Bankruptcy, to be holden at No. 161 Broadway, in the City of New-York, before Mr. Henry Wilder Allen, Register, on the 10th day of April, A. D. 1868, at 11 o'clock A. M.

R. MURRAY, U. S. Marshal, as Messenger Southern District of New-York.

MARCH 24, 1868.

THIS IS TO GIVE NOTICE—THAT ON the 20th day of March, A. D. 1868, a warrant in bankruptcy was issued against the estate of ROBERT F. WESTCOTT, of Piscataway, in the County of Middlesex, and State of New-Jersey, who has been adjudged a bankrupt on his own petition; that the payment of any debts and delivery of any property belonging to such bankrupt, to him, or for his use, and the transfer of any property by him, are forbidden by law; that a meeting of the creditors of the said bankrupt, to prove their debts, and to choose one or more assignees of his estate, will be held at a Court of Bankruptcy, to be holden at No. 161 Broadway, in the City of New-York, before Mr. Henry Wilder Allen, Register, on the 31st day of March, A. D. 1868, at 12 o'clock M.

R. MURRAY, U. S. Marshal, as Messenger Southern District of New-York.

MARCH 24, 1868.

THIS IS TO GIVE NOTICE—THAT ON the 16th day of March, A. D. 1868, a warrant in bankruptcy was issued against the estate of LOUIS S. MOSCOSO, of the City of New-York, in the County of New-York, and State of New-York, who has been adjudged a bankrupt on his own petition; that the payment of any debts and delivery of any property belonging to such bankrupt, to him, or for his use, and the transfer of any property by him, are forbidden by law; that a meeting of the creditors of the said bankrupt, to prove their debts, and to choose one or more assignees of his estate, will be held at a Court of Bankruptcy, to be holden at No. 57 Broadway, in the City of New-York, before Charles P. Stratton, Register, on the 8th day of April, A. D. 1868, at 12 o'clock M.

R. MURRAY, U. S. Marshal, as Messenger Southern District of New-York.

MARCH 24, 1868.

IN BANKRUPTCY.—IN THE DISTRICT Court of the United States for the Southern District of New-York.—In the matter of EDWARD M. HINMAN, Bankrupt.—Notice is hereby given that a petition has been filed in said Court by Charles M. Hinman, in said district, duly declared a bankrupt under the act of Congress of March 2, 1867, for a discharge and certificate thereof from all his debts and other claims provable under said act, and that the 7th day of April, 1868, at 2 o'clock P. M., at the office of James F. Dwight, Register in Bankruptcy, No. 7 Beekman-st., in the City of New-York, is assigned for the hearing of the same, when and where all creditors who have proved their debts, and other persons in interest may attend, and show cause, if any they have, why the prayer of the said petition should not be granted.—Dated New-York, on the 7th day of March, 1868.

mh16-law3w*Tu*

GEO. F. BETTS, Clerk.

IN BANKRUPTCY.—IN THE DISTRICT Court of the United States for the Southern District of New-York.—In the matter of ISAAC WYMAN, bankrupt.—Notice is hereby given that a petition has been filed in said Court by Isaac Wyman, in said district, duly declared a bankrupt under the act of Congress of March 2, 1867, for a discharge and certificate thereof from all his debts and other claims provable under said act, and that the 1st day of April, 1868, at 2 o'clock P. M., at the office of Edward Jones, Register in Bankruptcy, No. 83 Nassau-st., in the City of New-York, is assigned for the hearing of the same, when and where all creditors who have proved their debts, and other persons in interest, may attend, and show cause, if any they have, why the prayer of the said petition should not be granted.

Dated New-York, on the 6th day of March, 1868.

mh10-law3w*Tu*

GEO. F. BETTS, Clerk.

IN BANKRUPTCY.—IN THE DISTRICT Court of the United States for the Southern District of New-York.—In the matter of MATTHEW O'FARRELL and DANIEL O'FARRELL, bankrupts. Notice is hereby given that a petition has been filed in said Court by Matthew O'Farrell and Daniel O'Farrell, in said district, duly declared bankrupts under the act of Congress of March 2, 1867, for a discharge and certificate thereof from all their debts and other claims provable under said act, and that the 10th day of April, 1868, at 11 o'clock A. M., at the office of Henry Wilder Allen, Register in Bankruptcy, No. 161 Broadway, in the City of New-York, is assigned for the hearing of the same, when and where all creditors who have proved their debts, and other persons in interest, may attend and show cause, if any they have, why the prayer of the said petition should not be granted.

Dated New-York, on the 14th day of March, 1868.

GEO. F. BETTS, Clerk.

John Todd, Attorney for petitioners, 2 Broadway, New-York.

mh17-law3w*Tu*

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE United States for the Southern District of New-York.—In the matter of JAMES CHAMBERS, bankrupt.—Before Isaiah T. Williams, Register.—To whom it may concern: The undersigned hereby gives notice of his appointment as assignee of James Chambers, residing in Eastchester, Westchester County, in the State of New-York, and carrying on business in the City of New-York

SHIPPING.

ONLY DIRECT LINE TO FRANCE.

THE GENERAL TRANSATLANTIC COMPANY'S MAIL STEAMSHIPS, BETWEEN NEW-YORK AND HAVRE, CALLING AT BREST.

The splendid new vessels on this favorite route for the Continent will sail from Pier No. 50 North River, as follows:

PEREIRE.....DUCHESNE.....SATURDAY, Mar. 21
EUROPE.....ROUSSAN.....SATURDAY, April 4
ST. LAURENT.....BOCANDE.....SATURDAY, April 18
VILLE DE PARIS.....SURMONT.....SATURDAY, May 2

PRICE OF PASSAGE IN GOLD, (including wine.)
TO BREST OR HAVRE.
First cabin, $140; second cabin, $85.
TO PARIS,
including railway tickets, furnished on board,
First cabin, $145; second cabin, $38.
These steamers do not carry steerage passengers.
Medical attendance free of charge.
American travelers going to or returning from the continent of Europe, by taking the steamers of this line, avoid both transit by English railways and the discomforts of crossing the channel, besides saving time, trouble and expense.
GEO. MACKENZIE, Agent, No. 58 Broadway

THE BRITISH AND NORTH AMERICAN
ROYAL MAIL STEAMSHIPS,
BETWEEN NEW-YORK AND LIVERPOOL,
CALLING AT CORK HARBOR.

SIBERIA leaves.....WEDNESDAY, Mar. 25
JAVA leaves.....WEDNESDAY, April 1
CHINA leaves.....WEDNESDAY, April 8
AUSTRALASIAN leaves.....WEDNESDAY, April 15
RUSSIA leaves.....WEDNESDAY, April 22
SCOTIA leaves.....WEDNESDAY, April 29
Chief cabin passage.....$130
Second cabin passage.....80
TO PARIS.
Chief cabin passage.....145
Payable in gold.
Berths not secured until paid for.
An experienced surgeon on board.
The owners of these ships will not be accountable for specie or valuables, unless bills of lading having the value expressed are signed therefor.
For freight or passage apply to
E. CUNARD, No. 4 Bowling-green, New-York.

LIVERPOOL AND GREAT WESTERN STEAM COMPANY.

The following FIRST-CLASS IRON STEAMSHIPS, built expressly for the New-York trade, are intended to sail regularly between NEW-YORK AND LIVERPOOL, calling at QUEENSTOWN, viz.:

MANHATTAN, MINNESOTA,
COLORADO, NEBRASKA,

with other first-class steamers building. To sail:

NEBRASKA.....WEDNESDAY, March 25
COLORADO (new).....WEDNESDAY, April 1
MANHATTAN.....WEDNESDAY, April 15
From Pier No. 37 East River.
Cabin, (the accommodations being equal to any Atlantic steamer,) $80, gold; return tickets, $150, gold; in steerage, $30, currency.
Tickets to bring out passengers from Europe can be obtained on reasonable terms. For freight or passage apply to WILLIAMS & GUION, No. 71 Wall-st.
For steerage passage to
WILLIAMS & GUION, No. 29 Broadway.

STEAM TO LIVERPOOL—CALLING AT QUEENSTOWN, IRELAND.—The Inman Line, under contract with the United States and British Governments for carrying the Mails, intend dispatching their steamers as follows:

CITY OF CORK (via Halifax).....TUESDAY.. Mar. 24
CITY OF BALTIMORE.....SATURDAY.. Mar. 28
CITY OF BOSTON.....SATURDAY.. April 4
CITY OF WASHINGTON (via Halifax) MONDAY, April 6
CITY OF ANTWERP.....SATURDAY.. April 11

And each succeeding SATURDAY and alternate MONDAY, at 1 P. M. from Pier No. 45 North River.

RATES OF PASSAGE.
By the mail steamer sailing every Saturday.

PAYABLE IN GOLD.		PAYABLE IN CURRENCY.	
First Cabin	$100	Steerage	$35
To London	105	To London	40
To Paris	115	To Paris	50

Passage by the Monday steamers, first cabin, $90, gold; steerage, $35, payable in currency.
Rates of passage from New-York to Halifax: First Cabin, $20; steerage, $10—payable in gold.
Passengers also forwarded to Havre, Hamburg, Bremen, &c., at moderate rates.
Steerage passage from Liverpool or Queenstown, $40 currency. Tickets can be bought here by persons sending for their friends.
For further information, apply at the Company's offices.
JOHN G. DALE, Agent,
No. 15 Broadway, New-York.

SAFETY, SPEED AND COMFORT.

FURTHER REDUCTION IN PASSAGE RATES.
Favorite passenger steamers of the ANCHOR LINE sail every SATURDAY with passengers for
LIVERPOOL, GLASGOW AND DERRY.
UNITED KINGDOM, DONALDSON, SATURDAY, March 28
COLUMBIA, CARNAGHAN, SATURDAY, March 28.
CALEDONIA, MACDONALD, SATURDAY, April 4.
BRITANNIA, LAIRD, SATURDAY, April 11.
From Pier No. 20 North River, at noon.
Rates of passage, payable in currency:
Cabin to Liverpool, Glasgow or Derry, $90 and $75, according to location.
Excursion tickets, good for twelve months, $160.
Intermediate to Glasgow or Derry, $35; Steerage, $30
Prepaid certificates from these ports, $37.
Passengers booked to and from Hamburg, Rotterdam, Antwerp, Havre, &c., at very low rates.
For further information apply at the Company's office, No. 6 Bowling-green, New-York.
HENDERSON BROTHERS.
To avoid imposition, passengers will please come direct to the office, as this Company does not employ runners.

CUNARD LINE OF EXTRA STEAMERS.

BETWEEN NEW-YORK AND LIVERPOOL, CALLING AT QUEENSTOWN.
FROM NEW-YORK EVERY THURSDAY.

TARIFA.....March 26
PALMYRA.....April 9

RATES OF PASSAGE:
Cabin.....$80 Gold.
Steerage.....$30 Currency.
Steerage tickets from Liverpool or Queenstown at $40.
For Freight and Cabin Passage, apply at No. 4 Bowling-green.
For Steerage Passage, apply at No. 69 Broadway.
E. CUNARD.

LONDON AND NEW-YORK STEAMSHIP LINE.

Steamers fortnightly to LONDON DIRECT from Pier No. 3 North River.
BELLONA, March 24. | WM. PENN, April 18.
CELTIC, April 1. | CHARLEMAGNE, May 2.
For passage at cheapest currency rates apply to
ROBT. N. CLARK, No. 26 Broadway.
For freight to HOWLAND & ASPINWALL,
No. 54 South-st., New-York.

NATIONAL LINE.

THE STEAMSHIP ERIN
will leave SATURDAY, March 28, from Pier No. 47 North River.
Passage to Liverpool or Queenstown: Cabin, $100; Steerage, $30, currency.
Steerage tickets from Liverpool or Queenstown, $37, currency.
Apply at the Company's office, Nos. 57 and 27 Broadway.
F. W. J. HURST, Manager.

FOR ST. THOMAS AND BRAZIL.

UNITED STATES AND BRAZIL MAIL STEAMSHIP COMPANY.
REGULAR MAIL STEAMERS sailing on the 23 d of every month:
MISSISSIPPI, Capt. GEO. B. SLOCUM, March 23.
MERRIMACK, Capt. L. F. TIMMERMAN, April 23.
SOUTH AMERICA, Capt. E. L. TINKLEPAUGH, May 23.
These splendid steamers sail on schedule time, and call at St. Thomas, Pernambuco, Bahia and Rio de Janeiro, going and returning. For engagements of freight or passage, apply to
WM. H. K. GARRISON, Agent,
No. 5 Bowling-green, New-York.

UNITED STATES MAIL TO HAVANA.

ATLANTIC MAIL STEAMSHIP COMPANY.
SAILING REGULARLY EVERY THURSDAY AT 3 o'clock P. M. precisely from Pier No. 4 North River.
MISSOURI, Capt. G. W. PALMER, March 19.
COLUMBIA, Capt. E. C. PRICE, via Nassau, March 26.
MORRO CASTLE, Capt. R. ADAMS, April 2.
For freight or passage, apply to
GEO. B. HARTSON, President.

FOR NASSAU, N. P.

UNDER THE PATRONAGE OF THE BAHAMA GOVERNMENT AND CARRYING THE ROYAL MAILS.
THE ATLANTIC MAIL STEAMSHIP COMPANY will dispatch the splendid side-wheel steamship COLUMBIA, Capt. E. VAN BRUNT, from Pier No. 4 North River, on THURSDAY, March 26, at 3 o'clock P. M. precisely, from Pier No. 4 North River. For engagements of freight or passage apply to
GEO. B. HARTSON, President, No. 5 Bowling-green.

HAVANA, SISAL AND VERA CRUZ.

SAILING EVERY TWENTY DAYS.
The elegant, new steamship
VIRGO,
DEAKIN, Commander,
will leave Pier No. — East River, on SATURDAY, April 4, at 3 P. M.
For freight or passage apply to
F. ALEXANDER & SONS,
No. 44 Beaver-st.

FOR NEW-ORLEANS DIRECT.

MERCHANTS' STEAMSHIP LINE,
Comprising the first-class steamships
GEN. GRANT.....Capt. J. B. HILDRETH
CRESCENT CITY.....Capt. S. W. HOLMES
SHERMAN.....Capt. W. A. PENDLETON
GEN. MEADE.....Capt. A. W. SAMPSON
UNITED STATES.....Capt. GEO. L. NORTON
The steamship
GENERAL GRANT,
Capt. J. B. HILDRETH,
Will be dispatched on SATURDAY, March 28, at 3 o'clock P. M.,
from Pier No. 12 North River.
Freight received at through rates for Mobile and Galveston.
For freight or passage, having superior accommodations,
Apply to the agent,
SAMUEL STEVENS,
No. 40 Broadway.

FOR SAVANNAH, GEORGIA.

EMPIRE LINE.
EVERY SATURDAY, from Pier No. 13 North River, Punctually at 3 P. M.
The favorite side-wheel steamships
SAN JACINTO, Capt. ATKINS, SATURDAY, March 28.
SAN SALVADOR, Capt. NICKERSON, SATURDAY, April 4.
Through tickets to all points in connection with Central Railroad of Georgia and Florida steamers.
For freight or passage apply to
WM. R. GARRISON, Agent,
No. 5 Bowling-green, New-York.

FOR SAVANNAH, GA.

PACIFIC MAIL STEAMSHIP COMPANY'S
THROUGH LINE TO
CALIFORNIA AND CHINA,
FREIGHT AND PASSAGE AT GREATLY REDUCED RATES.

Steamers of the above line leave Pier No. 42 North River, foot of Canal-st., at 12 o'clock noon of the 1st, 11th, and 21st of every month, except when these dates fall on Sunday, then on Saturday preceding.
One hundred pounds baggage free to each adult. Medicine and attendance free.
APRIL 1.—ARIZONA, Capt. MAURY, connecting with COLORADO, Capt. SMITH.
Steamer March 21, 1868, connects closely with steamer CHINA, leaving San Francisco, April 14, for Japan and China.
For passage tickets and all further information apply at the Company's ticket office on the wharf, foot of Canal-st. F. R. BABY, Agent.

NORTH AMERICAN STEAMSHIP COMPANY.

OPPOSITION TO MONOPOLY!
THROUGH LINE TO CALIFORNIA,
Via Panama or Nicaragua.
Will dispatch their new and splendid steamships from New-York, from Pier No. 29 North River, foot of Warren-st, at noon, at lower rates than by any other line.
For passage and freight, over both routes, as follows:
VIA PANAMA.
MARCH 5, 1868—Steamship SANTIAGO DE CUBA, connecting with steamship NEBRASKA.
MARCH 25, 1868—Steamship FULTON, connecting with steamship NEVADA.
VIA NICARAGUA.
APRIL 4, 1868—Steamship SAN FRANCISCO, connecting with steamship MOSES TAYLOR.
These steamships are expressly fitted for this trade, and are unsurpassed for safety, speed, elegance and comfort, and their rates for passage and freight will ALWAYS BE LOWER THAN BY ANY OTHER LINE.
For further particulars address the undersigned at No. 177 West-street, New-York.
D. N. CARRINGTON, Agent.
WM. H. WEBB, President.
CHAS. DANA, Vice-President.
No. 54 Exchange-place, New-York.

STEAM COMMUNICATION BETWEEN NEW-YORK AND AUSTRALIA, VIA PANAMA.

The Panama, New-Zealand and Australian Royal Mail Company dispatch a steamer on the 24th of each month from Panama to Wellington, N. Z., and the Australian Colonies, connecting with the steamer of the Pacific Mail Steamship Company leaving New-York for Aspinwall (Colon) on the 11th of each month. First and second class passengers will be conveyed under through ticket at the following rates: From New-York to ports in New-Zealand, or to Sydney or Melbourne, $340 to $364 for first class, and $218 to $243 for second class, payable in United States gold coin.
Special steamers run to the newly-discovered gold region of Hokitika, New-Zealand.
Arrangements are now completed for issuing through bills of lading. Freight payable here.
For further information, application to be made to the Pacific Mail Steamship Company, No. 59 Wall-st., or to CHARLES W. WEST, Agent,
No. 23 William-st., New-York.

FOR SAVANNAH, GA.

EVERY THURSDAY.
New sidewheel steamships
H. LIVINGSTON EATON, THURSDAY, March 26.
GEN. JAMES MORTON, THURSDAY, April 2.
From Pier No. 36 North River, at 3 P. M. precisely, giving through passage tickets over the Central Railroad of Georgia, and Atlantic and Gulf Railroad, to points in the interior, and by Florida steamers to points on the coast of Florida.
For freight or passage apply to
LIVINGSTON, FOX & CO., Agents,
No. 88 Liberty-st.

FOR SAVANNAH, GA.—MURRAY'S LINE. Every TUESDAY, at 3 P. M., from Pier No. 16 East River, foot of Wall-st. The favorite steamships CLEOPATRA, Capt. PHILLIPS, TUESDAY, March 24.
LEO, Capt. DEARBORN, TUESDAY, March 31.
For freight or passage apply to
MURRAY FERRIS & CO.,
Nos. 61 and 62 South-st.

FOR NORFOLK AND PORTSMOUTH.

OLD DOMINION STEAMSHIP COMPANY.
One of the elegant steamships of this Company will leave Pier No. 37 North River, foot of Beach-st., every TUESDAY, THURSDAY and SATURDAY, at 3 P. M. Connecting with the seaboard and Roanoke Railroad and the Virginia and Tennessee Air Line, and their connections.
For freight or passage apply to
J. L. ROCKWELL, President,
No. 187 Greenwich-st., corner of Dey-st.
Bills of lading signed on the pier.

FOR CHARLESTON, S. C., THE FLORIDA PORTS AND THE SOUTH AND SOUTH-WEST.—REGULAR LINE—Cabin passage, $15. Freight lower than by any other line. The A 1 fast and reliable steamship MATANZAS, J. RYDER, Commander, will sail on SATURDAY, 28th March, at 3 P. M., from Pier No. 1 East River, foot of Wall-st. Bills of lading signed for all points in the interior. Agents in Charleston forward all goods free of commission.
SARAGOSSA will sail on the following SATURDAY.
ARTHUR LEARY, No. 73 William-st.

RAILROADS.

LONG ISLAND RAILROAD.
WINTER ARRANGEMENT—CHANGE OF TIME.

9 A. M., mail train, for Greenport and all way-stations, connecting at Mineola with trains for Glen Cove and Hempstead.
11 A. M., Syosset passenger trains and all way-stations.
3 P. M. Express train for Riverhead.
4 P. M., Syosset and Glen Cove passenger train and all way-stations.
5 P. M., Farmingdale and Hempstead, passenger train, and for North Islip SATURDAYS only.
Sunday excursion train leaves Hunter's Point 9:15 A. M., arrives at Hunter's Point at 4:40 P. M. To take effect Nov. 4, 1867.
I. D. BARTON, Superintendent.

PEIPHER'S DAILY LINE OF TRANSPORTATION.

On and after Nov. 1, 1867, the Peiphers' Daily Line will receive and transport goods and merchandise to Harrisburg and stations beyond, from the depot of the Morris and Essex Railroad Company, Pier No. 26 North River. All goods received up to 4 o'clock P. M. will be forwarded the same day. Freight taken at lowest rates and forwarded with dispatch.
Mark goods "Via Peipher daily line to Harrisburg, Penn."
J. B. MONTGOMERY,
Proprietor Peipher Line.

ERIE RAILWAY.—TRAINS LEAVE DEPOT foot of Chambers-st., Pavonia Ferry:
8 A. M.—Day Express for Rochester, Buffalo, Salamanca, Dunkirk and Trains West and South.
8:30 A. M.—Way Train daily for Otisville and intermediate stations.
10 A. M.—Express Mail, for Buffalo, Salamanca, Dunkirk and all points West and South.
3:00 P. M.—Way Train for Middletown and intermediate stations.
4:30 P. M.—Way Express, stopping only at Sterling Junction, Turner's, and stations west of Turner's, to Port Jervis, Newburgh and Warwick.
6 P. M.—Way Train for Suffern and intermediate stations.
5:30 P. M.—Night Express for Rochester, Buffalo, Salamanca, Dunkirk and all points West and South.
6:30 P. M.—Night Express, daily, for Rochester, Buffalo, Salamanca, Dunkirk and all points West and South. Sleeping-cars and Emigrant-coaches will run through to Cincinnati without change.
8 P. M.—Emigrant Train daily, for the West.
Also, Way Trains for Boiling Springs, Passaic and Paterson, at 7:45 A. M., 12 M. and 1:45, 4, 6:45 and 11 P. M. On Wednesday nights a Theatre Train at 12 o'clock for Suffern and intermediate stations.
SUNDAY TRAINS.—8:30 A. M. Way Train for Otisville; 12 M. and 3:30 P. M. for Paterson; 6:30 P. M. Night Express for Dunkirk, Buffalo, Rochester, Salamanca and all points West and South; 8 P. M. Emigrants and Way Train; 11 P. M. for Paterson and Port Jervis.
Express Trains run through to Salamanca, Dunkirk and Buffalo without change of coaches, and in direct connection with all Northern lines and Western lines.
Perfectly ventilated and luxurious sleeping coaches accompany all Night Trains.
Tickets can be obtained at the Company's offices, No. 241 Broadway; depot foot of Chambers-st., New-York, also at Long Dock Depot, Jersey City.
An illustrated Guide to the principal suburban places on the line of the Eastern Division of this Railway has been prepared, and can be obtained at the office of the Company, free of charge, by those wishing to personally examine the region referred to, with the view of settlement.
WM. R. BARR, Gen'l Pass. Agent.
H. RIDDLE, Gen'l Sup't.

HUDSON RIVER AND HARLEM RAILROADS.—On and after MONDAY, Nov. 25, 1867 trains for Albany and Troy, connecting with Northern and Western trains, will leave New-York as follows:
8 A. M. Express train, via Hudson River Railroad, 30th-st. and Hudson River Railroad to Suffern and Susquehanna Bridge without change of cars, and connecting at Troy with trains for Saratoga, Rutland, Burlington and the North.
10:40 A. M. Express train via Hudson River Railroad, connecting at Albany with trains for the West, and at Troy with trains for the North.
11 A. M. Express train via Harlem Railroad, 26th-st. and 4th-av., connecting at Chatham with Western Railroad for Lebanon Springs, Pittsfield, &c., at Albany with Western trains, and at Troy with trains for Saratoga, Rutland, Burlington and the North.
3:45 P. M. Express train via Hudson River Railroad, connecting at Albany with Western trains, and at Troy with trains for Montreal, with sleeping-car attached.
4:30 P. M. Express train via Harlem Railroad, connecting at Chatham with Western Railroad for Lebanon Springs, Pittsfield, &c.; and at Albany with Western trains. Sleeping-car attached at Albany.
6:30 P. M. Express train via Hudson River Railroad, with sleeping-cars attached, and through to Buffalo and Suspension Bridge without change of cars. Also sleeping-car every day excepting Saturdays attached from New-York through to Ogdensburgh without change, via Rome, W. and O. Railroad. Connection for Troy will be made at East Albany. This train will run on Sundays.
11 P. M. Train via Hudson River Railroad, with sleeping-cars attached, connecting at Albany with early trains for Buffalo and Suspension Bridge, and at Troy with trains for Saratoga and points North.
A Sunday train will be run via Hudson River Railroad from New-York to Poughkeepsie and intermediate stations, leaving New-York at 8 A. M. Returning, leave Poughkeepsie at 3 P. M., arriving in New-York at 7:40.
A Sunday train via Harlem Railroad, leaving 42d-st. at 9 A. M., and arriving at Millerton at 3:40 P. M. Returning, leave Millerton at 4:30 P. M., arriving in New-York at 12 M.
WM. H. VANDERBILT, Vice-President.

NORTHERN RAILROAD OF NEW-JERSEY—Trains leave Jersey City for Piermont at 5:30 and 9:25 A. M., 1:22, 4:22, 5:23 and 6:32 P. M. The 5:30, 1:22 and 4:22 run to Monsey.
THOS. W. DEMAREST, Supt.

BUSINESS CHANCES.

A GOOD INVESTMENT.—FOR SALE, THE ten years' lease, goodwill, fixtures, &c., of the first-class and elegant Russian and Turkish Marble Baths, No. 28 East 4th-st., New-York, as the proprietor is obliged to return to Europe. An attentive business man will clear the invested capital in one year, and the knowledge for conducting the establishment may be obtained in a few days by an intelligent man. There is no other business with less risk and surer profits through all the seasons of the year, and as the merits of these baths become daily better known there is a fair prospect for an increase of this business. Inquire of Dr. GUTMANN, No. 25 East 4th-st., New-York, from 12 till 2 P. M.

FOR SALE—A WELL-KNOWN STORE, MANUfacture of ladies' and children's furnishing goods, stamping and embroideries; established in 1851, and located in the best part of Broadway, west side, comprising good-will, lease, stock, material for stamping, store fixtures, &c.; a rare opportunity for parties wishing to commence a well-paying business requiring a capital of about $35,000. Address J. O., Box No. 1,190 Post-office.

FOR SALE—THE STOCK, FIXTURES, LEASE and good will of a first-class gents' furnishing-store, well established, doing a good business, and one of the best stands in the City; ample reasons given for selling. Address, with real name, stating where an interview may be had, MERCHANT, Station A, New-York City.

AUCTION SALES.

STEAMTUG AND BARGE AT AUCTION.

DEPOT QUARTERMASTER'S OFFICE, WASHINGTON, D. C., March 19, 1868.
Will be sold at public auction, at 6th-st. wharf, on MONDAY, March 30, at 11 o'clock A. M., under the supervision of Brevet Col. ASA P. BLUNT, A. Q. M.,
The propeller steamtug ARIEL, of about 45 tons register and 17-inch cylinder,
AND
the Erie barge D. MEGAN, of 115 tons register.
These boats are in good order and condition, and are sold only because they are not required for Government use.
The furniture and equipage on board will be sold with each boat.
Terms cash, in Government funds.
By order of the Quartermaster-General,
J. C. McFERRAN,
Deputy Quartermaster-General,
Brevet Brig. Gen., U. S. A.

EDWARD M. BROWN, Auctioneer.
BY T. R. MINTURN, BROWN & CO.
THIS DAY (Tuesday,) March 24, at 11 o'clock, within the store:
150 bags Almonds.
200 bags Brazil Nuts.
2,000 frails Dates.
500 packages Malaga Raisins.
100 cases Figs (baskets.)
100 cases Figs (drums.)
600 boxes Valencia Raisins.
600 packages Malaga Raisins.
For account of whom it may concern:
30 bales Grenoble Walnuts.
600 boxes Naples Maccaroni.
At 12 o'clock, on Pier ——, East River:
5,997 boxes fresh Naples Oranges.
547 boxes fresh Naples Lemons.
Cargo bark *Teresina*, from Naples.

LEAVITT, STREBEIGH & Co., Auctioneers—Clinton Hall Art Galleries, Astor-place and 8th-st.
GREAT SALE OF OIL PAINTINGS.
FRIDAY and SATURDAY EVENINGS, March 27 and 28, at 7½ o'clock, a large collection of modern oil paintings, small and large, favorite subjects, elegantly framed; among them may be found choice efforts by Loomans, P. Robb, Dyke, Carl Muller, Paul Ritter, Hetewitch, Tom Taylor, Funster, W. H. Cooper, T. Frere, Kennett, &c., &c.
Catalogues ready and pictures on exhibition from 9 A. M. to 10 P. M.

60TH AUCTION SALE
OF SCRANTON COAL.
The Delaware, Lackawanna and Western Railroad Co., will sell by MESSRS. JOHN H. DRAPER & CO., Auctioneers, at the Company's Salesroom, No. 26 Exchange-place, New-York, on WEDNESDAY, March 25, at 12 o'clock, noon.
75,000 TONS
of Coal, from the Lackawanna regions, deliverable at their depot, Elizabethport, N. J., during April, 1868.
SAMUEL SLOAN, President.

ROBERT SOMERVILLE, Auctioneer.
AT NO. 20 WEST 12TH-ST.,
AT 10½ O'CLOCK, THIS DAY (TUESDAY.)
ROBERT SOMERVILLE, auctioneer, office No. 39 Nassau-st., will sell at auction at the Household Furniture contained in the above dwelling, consisting of Brussels and ingrain carpets, black walnut parlor suites, rosewood marble-top centre and side tables, rosewood 7-octave piano, made by Horace Waters; bookcases, extension dining tables, rocking chairs, washstands, bedsteads, lace curtains and cornices, hair and straw mattresses, leather beds, pillows, crockery, glassware, kitchen furniture, cooking utensils, &c.

ADRIAN H. MULLER, Auctioneer.
HOUSE AND LOT ON MADISON-AV.—LEASE OF LOTS ON WEST HOUSTON-ST., AND LOTS IN BROOKLYN.—ADRIAN H. MULLER, P. R. WILKINS & CO., will sell at auction on WEDNESDAY, March 25, at 12 o'clock, at the Exchange Salesroom, No. 111 Broadway:
MADISON-AV.—The first-class four-story brick house and lot, situated on the southeasterly corner of Madison-av. and 26th-st., and known as No. 69 Madison-av. The house is 24 feet 8½ inches by 46 feet, with an extension of 10 feet; has all modern improvements, and is in fine order. The size of the lot is a two-story brick stable 20x25 feet. Lot 25x100.
WEST HOUSTON-ST.—The lease of three lots, together with the three and four story brick buildings thereon, situate and being numbers 40 and 42 West Houston-st., south of Varick-st., and known as Nos. 214, 216, 218, Bristed lease, annual ground rent $380. Expires in 1870. Has covenants for renewal on valuation of buildings to be paid off. The premises now rent for $3,000 per annum. Lots each 25 by about 86 feet.
BROOKLYN.
STATE-ST.—Four lots on the south side of State-st., 147 feet west of Bond-st., each 21 feet in width by 100 feet in depth. On the two centre lots are two three-story brick dwelling houses, known as Nos. 269 and 271 State-st. The houses are each 21 by 40 feet, and in rear order.
ATLANTIC-AV.—6 lots on north side of Atlantic-av., 100 feet west of Bond-st., each 20 feet in front by 90 feet. On the two westerly lots are large hothouses and a frame stable.
OXFORD-ST.—The lot of land with the three-story frame house filled in with brick, situate on the easterly side of Oxford-st., between Atlantic and Fulton avs., and known as No. 165. House has gas and water; lot, 25 by 100 feet.
PACIFIC-ST.—The lot of land with the three-story brick house thereon, situate on the northerly side of Pacific-st., between Henry and Clinton sts., and known as No. 112 Pacific-st. The house is 22 by 40 feet, has modern improvements, and in good order. Lot 22 by 100 feet.
A large plot of land situate in the Town of Newtown, on the turnpike road from Williamsburg to Astoria, being part of great lot Nos. 9 and 10 of the Long Island Farms. This property extends from the turnpike to the East River, and has a front on the road and river of 200 feet, and in depth from the road to low water line 395 feet.
Maps at the office of the auctioneers.

ADRIAN H. MULLER, Auctioneer.
VALUABLE PROPERTY ON CARMINE, JONES AND WASHINGTON STS. AT AUCTION.—ADRIAN H. MULLER, P. R. WILKINS & CO. will sell at auction, on TUESDAY, March 24, at 12 o'clock, at the Exchange Salesroom, No. 111 Broadway,
CARMINE-ST.—The lot of ground, together with the frame building thereon, situate on the southeast corner of Carmine-st. and Minetta-lane. One lot, with the frame buildings thereon, adjoining the last-described lot on the westerly side. The three-story and attic brick house and lot on south side Carmine, near its junction with 6th-av., known as No. 6.
Two four-story brick houses and lots situate on south side of Carmine-st., between Minetta-lane and Minetta-lane, and known as Nos. 10 and 12 Carmine-st. The houses are each 43 feet deep, and No. 12 has a four-story brick extension.
JONES-ST.—The three-story and basement brick house and lot situate on the south side of Jones-st., between Bleecker and 4th sts., and known as No. 20.
WASHINGTON-ST.—The lot of land, with the two-story and attic brick front house thereon, situate on the west side of Washington-st., between North Moore and Frank'in sts., and known as No. 358.

ADRIAN H. MULLER, Auctioneer.
A FIRST-CLASS HOUSE AND GROUNDS ON 125TH AND 126TH STS., AND RESIDENCE AND MANSION ON 131ST AND 132D STS., AT AUCTION.—ADRIAN H. MULLER, P. R. WILKINS & CO. will sell at auction on TUESDAY, March 24, at 12 o'clock, at the Exchange Salesroom, No. 111 Broadway,
125TH-ST.—The plot of land, together with the first-class three-story and basement house thereon, situate on the north side of 125th-st., 226.5 east of Avenue A. The house is frame, filled in with brick; Furnace and cupola 36x36 feet, with three-story wing 23x23 feet; has modern improvements; is in good order; well and substantially built, and very desirable residence.
125ST-ST.—1 lot on south side of 125st-st., 225 feet east of Avenue A.
131ST AND 132D STS.—4 lots on the north side of 131st-st. 225 feet west of 8th-av., extending through to and fronting on 132d-st.—together 8 lots—with the large mansion thereon.

ADRIAN H. MULLER, Auctioneer.
EXECUTORS' SALE OF THE LEASE of valuable 8th-av. and 49th-st. lots.—ADRIAN H. MULLER, P. R. WILKINS & CO. will sell at auction, on TUESDAY, March 24, at 12 o'clock, at the Exchange Salesroom, No. 111 Broadway, by order of Executors of A. W. Bradford, deceased, the following valuable Columbia College leases, viz.:
57TH-AV.—The lease of lot northwest corner of 57th-av. and 49th-st., being 40 feet 5 inches on 57th-av., 1.7 feet on 49th-st. and 125 feet on the northerly side.
49TH-ST.—The lease of lot on the south side of 49th-st., in rear of the avenue lot, being 43 feet on 49th-st., 35 feet in rear, 105 feet on the westerly side, and 92 feet 5 inches on the easterly side. These leases are from Columbia College, for 21 years, with three renewals. Ground rent of the 57th-av. lot $1,800 per annum, and of the 49th-st. lot $700 per annum. This property is beautifully situated for the erection of high-class residences is not surpassed by any lots on 5th-av.
For further particulars, apply to the Executors, MINOR & JAQUES, No. 115 Nassau-st., or to STARR & FELLOS, No. 3 Pine-st.
Maps at the office of the Auctioneers, No. 7 Pine-st., New-York.

ADRIAN H. MULLER, Auctioneer.
AUCTION SALE OF VALUABLE PROPERTY S. W. CORNER OF PEARL AND HAGUE STS.—ADRIAN H. MULLER, P. R. WILKINS & CO., will sell at auction on TUESDAY, March 24, at 12 o'clock, at the Exchange Salesroom, No. 111 Broadway:
PEARL-ST.—The three five-story brick stores and dwellings, situate on the southwest corner of Pearl and Hague sts., and known as Nos. 363, 365 and 367 Pearl-st.
HAGUE-ST.—The two three-story and attic brick houses on the south side of Hague-st., in the rear of the above-described property, and known as Nos. 4 and 6 Hague-st. No. 6 has a store on first floor.
Maps at the office of the auctioneers, No. 7 Pine-st., New-York.

ADRIAN H. MULLER, Auctioneer.
VALUABLE LOTS ON 57TH-AV. AND 58TH-ST. BY ORDER OF THE 67H-AV. RAILROAD CO.
ADRIAN H. MULLER, P. R. WILKINS & CO. will sell at auction on TUESDAY, March 31, at 12 o'clock, at the Exchange Salesroom No. 111 Broadway.
67H-AV.—7 lots on the east side of 67th-av., between 57th and 58th sts., including the northeast corner of 67th-av. and 57th-st. The corner lot is 25.5x85 feet, and the 3 lots aojoining are each 25x75 feet, and the 3 northerly lots are each 25x95 feet.
58TH-ST.—2 lots, south side, 195 feet east of the 67th-av., each 20x100.4. Also the lot south side 58th-st., 270 feet east of 67th-av. Also, 3 lots south side 58th-st., 370 feet east of 67th-av. Sixty per cent. may remain on bond and mortgage, if desired.
Maps at the office of the auctioneers.

ADRIAN H. MULLER, Auctioneer.
AUCTION SALE OF BRICK COTTAGES AND LOTS ON HUDSON AND GREENWICH STS.
ADRIAN H. MULLER, P. R. WILKINS & CO., will sell at auction on TUESDAY, March 24, at 12 o'clock, at the Exchange Salesroom, No. 111 Broadway, New-York.
HUDSON-ST.—The five lots situate on the westerly side of Hudson-st., 34 feet 9 inches south of Jane-st. On the two northerly lots are two-story brick cottages.
GREENWICH-ST.—The four lots situate on the easterly side of Greenwich-st., in the rear of the Hudson-st. property.
Maps at the office of the auctioneers, No. 7 Pine-st.

ADRIAN H. MULLER, Auctioneer.
HOUSE AND LOT NO. 45 BOND-ST. AT AUCTION.—ADRIAN H. MULLER, P. R. WILKINS & CO. will sell at auction on TUESDAY, March 24, at 12 o'clock, at the Exchange Salesroom, No. 111 Broadway:
BOND-ST.—The three-story and attic brick house and lot, situate on the southerly side of Bond-st., near the Bowery, and known as No. 45. Lot 18x58.

MORRIS WILKINS, Auctioneer.
HANDSOME HOUSEHOLD FURNITURE, ENGRAVINGS, CARPETS, &c., AT AUCTION.
E. H. LUDLOW & CO. will sell at auction on THURSDAY, March 26, 1868, at 10½ o'clock, at the private residence No. 130 West 20th-st., between 6th and 7th avs., all the furniture in said house, consisting of rosewood piano-forte, black walnut etagere, French bedsteads, washstands, bureaus, tables, armours a glace, lace curtains, linen shades, carpets, oilcloths, a number of fine engravings. Catalogues at No. 3 Pine-st.

E. A. LAWRENCE, Auctioneer.
LAWRENCE & STRAITON WILL SELL at auction on THURSDAY, March 26, 1868, at 12 o'clock, noon, at the Exchange Salesroom, No. 111 Broadway, New-York, 4 lots on the 7th-av., beginning at the northwesterly corner of 7th-av. and 126th-st., and one lot adjoining in rear, on 126th-st.

J. M. MILLER, Auctioneer.
REFEREE'S SALE, BY J. M. MILLER, Auctioneer, on 7th April, 1868, at noon, at No. 111 Broadway, of two desirable lots on southwest corner of Bowery and Bayard-st., with the brick stores and hotel thereon, known as "The Worden House,".
D. HAWLEY Referee.
CHAS. B. HART, Plaintiff's Attorney.

AUCTION SALES.

By HENRY H. LEEDS & MINER.
HENRY H. LEEDS, AUCTIONEER.
Will sell by auction on MONDAY, TUESDAY, WEDNESDAY, THURSDAY, FRIDAY and SATURDAY, 23d, 24th, 25th, 26th, 27th and 28th of March at 10½ o'clock each day, at the well-known
"BERRIAN HOUSE FURNISHING WAREROOMS," No. 661 Broadway, nearly opposite the Metropolitan Hotel, comprising the entire palaces of stock and including every article used by families in housekeeping Catalogues on morning of sale.

BY HENRY H. LEEDS & MINER, Auctioneers.
SPECIAL AND IMPORTANT SALE OF FIRST-CLASS PICTURES, the recent importation of
J. P. BEAUMONT,
to be sold at auction by
HENRY H. LEEDS & MINER, on the EVENINGS of THURSDAY, 26, and FRIDAY, 3d April, at the
"LEEDS ART GALLERIES,"
Nos. 817 and 819 Broadway, southwest corner 12th-st., at 7½ o'clock each evening.
The collection has been recently much enriched by purchases of many important works made during Mr. Beaumont's residence in Paris at the time of the great Exhibition, as well as from the various other art centres of Europe, in the selection of which he has been guided by his long artistic experience of more than thirty years, aided by an ample expenditure of capital, and contains, among others, specimens by the following distinguished painters, viz.:

Devedeux,	Hasenclever,	D. Jongne,	
A. Guinguin,	M. Calisch,	Diaz,	
Louis Meyer,	De Penyerel,	W. Verschnur,	
Seignac,	Fanny Geefs,	Carl Hoff,	
Verboeckhoven,	Otto Erdman,	Pecrus,	
Hoddinbon,	Ingeneery,	V. Chavet,	
H. Baron,	Count de Bylandt,	Escoscura,	
Lasalle,	Shayer, Sr.,	von Franken,	
Mlle. Rosenboom,	Zeim,	Carl Hubner,	
Tschaggeny,	Herm'n G. Ten Kate,	E. J. Cobbett,	
L. Mignot,	Isabey,	Rose,	
F. Trayer,	A. Schelfhout,	G. Armfield,	
Geselschap,	Vantjer,	B. C. Koekkoek,	
Nordenberg,	F. Kels,	And. Achenbach,	
Schlesinger,	W. Reistdal,	F. Roffiaen,	
Klomboeck,		W. Amberg,	
A. Dillens,		J. Robie,	
A. Bierstadt

IMPEACHMENT.

(Continued from First Page.)

speech and address of this respondent on said occasion, which this respondent denies that said article and specification contains, or correctly or justly represents.

And that this respondent, further answering the tenth article and the specifications thereof, says that at St. Louis, in the State of Missouri, and on the 8th day of September, in the year 1866, he was attended by a numerous assemblage of his fellow-citizens, and in deference and obedience to their call and demand he addressed them upon matters of public and political consideration, and this respondent believes that said occasion and address are referred to in the third specification of the tenth article, but this respondent does not admit that the passages therein set forth as if extracts from a speech of this respondent on said occasion, correctly or justly present his speech or address upon said occasion; but on the contrary, this respondent demands and insists that if this honorable Court shall deem the said article and the said third specification thereof to contain allegations of matter cognizable by this honorable Court as a high misdemeanor in office within the intent and meaning of the Constitution of the United States, and shall receive or allow proof in support of the same, that proof shall be required to be made of the actual speech and address of this respondent on said occasion, which this respondent denies that the said article and specifications contains or correctly or justly represents.

And this respondent, further answering the tenth article, protesting that he has not been unmindful of the high duties of his office or of the harmony or courtesies which ought to exist and be maintained between the executive and legislative branches of the Government of the United States, denies that he has ever intended or designed to set aside the rightful authority or power of Congress or attempted to bring into disgrace, ridicule, hatred, contempt or reproach, the Congress of the United States or either branch thereof, or to impair or destroy the regard or respect of all or any of the good people of the United States for the Congress or the legislative power thereof, or to excite the odium or resentment of all or any of the good people of the United States against Congress and the laws by it duly and constitutionally enacted; this respondent further says that at all times he has, in his official acts as President, recognized the authority of the several Congresses of the United States, as constituted and organized during his administration of the office of President of the United States.

And this respondent further answering, says that he has from time to time, under his constitutional right and duty as President of the United States, communicated to Congress his views and opinions in regard to such acts or resolutions thereof, as being submitted to him as President of the United States, in pursuance of the Constitution, seemed to this respondent to require such communications; and he has from time to time, in the exercise of the freedom of speech which belongs to him as a citizen of the United States, and in his political relations as President of the United States to the people of the United States, is upon fit occasions a duty of the highest obligation, expressed to his fellow citizens his views and opinions respecting the measures and proceedings of Congress; and that in such addresses to his fellow citizens, and in such his communications to Congress he has expressed his views, opinions and judgment of and concerning the actual constitution of the two Houses of Congress, without representation therein of certain States of the Union, and the effect that in wisdom and in justice, and in the opinion and judgment of this respondent, Congress in its legislation and proceedings should give to this political circumstance, and whatsoever he has thus communicated to Congress or addressed to his fellow citizens, or any assemblage thereof, this respondent says was, and is, within and according to his right and privilege as an American citizen, and his right and duty as President of the United States.

And this respondent, not waiving or at all disparaging his right of freedom of opinion, and of freedom of speech, as hereinbefore or hereinafter more particularly set forth, but claiming and insisting upon the same, further answering the said tenth article, says, that the views and opinions expressed by this respondent in his said addresses to the assemblages of his fellow-citizens, as in said article, or in this answer thereto mentioned, are not and were not intended to be other or different from those expressed by him in his communications to Congress; that the eleven States lately in insurrection never had ceased to be States of the Union, and that they were then entitled to representation in Congress by loyal Representatives and Senators, as fully as the other States of the Union; and that consequently the Congress, as then constituted, was not, in fact, a Congress of all the States, but a Congress of only a part of the States. This respondent, always protesting against the unauthorized exclusion therefrom of the said eleven States, nevertheless gave his assent to all laws passed by said Congress which did not, in his opinion and judgment, violate the Constitution, exercising his constitutional authority of returning bills to said Congress with his objections when they appeared to him to be unconstitutional or inexpedient. And further, this respondent has also expressed the opinion both in his communications to Congress and in his addresses to the people, that the policy adopted by Congress in reference to the States lately in insurrection did not tend to peace, harmony or union; but, on the contrary, did tend to disunion and the permanent disruption of the States, and that in following its said policy laws had been passed by Congress in violation of the fundamental principles of the Government, and which tended to consolidation and despotism; and, such being his deliberate opinions, he would have felt himself unmindful of the high duties of his office if he had failed to express them in his communications to Congress or in his addresses to the people when called upon by them to express his opinions on matters of public and political consideration.

And this respondent further answering the tenth article says that he has always claimed and insisted, and now claims and insists, that both in his personal and private capacity of a citizen of the United States, and in the political relations of the President of the United States to the people of the United States, whose servant under the duties and responsibilities of the Constitution of the United States the President of the United States is and should always remain, this respondent had and has the full right, and in his office of President of the United States is held to the high duty of forming, and on fit occasions expressing opinions of and concerning the legislation of Congress proposed in respect of its wisdom, expediency, justice, or completed worthiness, objects, purposes and public and political motives and tendencies, and within, and as a part of, such right and duty to perform, and, on fit occasions, to express opinions of and concerning the public character and conduct, views, purposes, objects, motives and tendencies of all men engaged in the public service, as well in Congress, as otherwise, and under no other rules or limitations upon this right of freedom of opinion and of freedom of speech, or of responsibility and amenability for the actual exercise of such freedom of opinion and freedom of speech, than attend upon such rights and their exercise on the part of all the other citizens of the United States, and on the part of all their public servants.

And this respondent further answering said tenth article, says: That the several occasions on which, as is alleged in the several specifications of said article, this respondent addressed his fellow-citizens on subjects of public and political considerations were not nor was any one of them sought or planned by this respondent, but on the contrary, each of said occasions arose upon the exercise of a long and well accustomed right of the people of the United States to call upon their public servants and express to them their opinions, wishes and feelings upon matters of public and political consideration, and to invite from such of their public servants an expression of their opinions, views and feelings on matters of public and political consideration. And this respondent claims and insists before this honorable Court, and before all the people of the United States, that of or concerning this his right of freedom of opinion and of freedom of speech, and this his exercise of such rights on all matters of public and political consideration, and in respect of all public servants or persons whatsoever engaged in or connected therewith, this respondent, as a citizen or as President of the United States, is not subject to question, inquisition, impeachment or inculpation in any form or manner whatsoever, and this respondent says, that neither the said tenth article nor any specification thereof, nor any allegation therein contained touches or relates to any official act or doing of this respondent in the office of the President of the United States, or in the discharge of any of its constitutional or legal duties or responsibilities; but said article, and the specifications and allegations thereof, wholly and in every part thereof, question only the discretion or the propriety of freedom of opinion or freedom of speech, as exercised by this respondent as a citizen of the United States in the personal right and capacity, and without allegation or imputation against this respondent of the violation of any law of the United States touching or relating to freedom of speech, or its exercise by the citizens of the United States, or by this respondent as one of said citizens, or otherwise; and he denies that, by reason of any matter in said article, or its specifications alleged, he has said or done anything indecent or unbecoming in the Chief Magistrate of the United States; or that he has brought the high office of the President of the United States into contempt, ridicule or disgrace; or that he has committed or has been guilty of a high misdemeanor in office.

ANSWER TO ARTICLE XI.

And in answer to the eleventh article, this respondent denies that on the 18th day of August, in the year 1866, at the City of Washington, in the District of Columbia, he did by public speech or otherwise declare or affirm in substance or at all, that the Thirty-ninth Congress of the United States was not a Congress of the United States authorized by the Constitution to exercise legislative power under the same; or that he did then and there declare or affirm that the said Thirty-ninth Congress was a Congress of only part of the States in any sense of meaning other than that ten States of the Union were denied representation therein, or that he made any of either of the declarations or affirmations in this behalf in the said article alleged as denying or intending to deny that the legislation of said Thirty-ninth Congress was obligatory upon this respondent, except so far as this respondent saw fit to approve the same, and, as to the allegation in said article, that he did, thereby, intend or mean to be understood, that the said Congress had not power to propose amendments to the Constitution, this respondent says, that, in said address, he said nothing in reference to the subject of amendments of the Constitution, nor was the question of the competency of the said Congress to propose such amendments in any way mentioned, or considered, or referred to, by this respondent, nor, in what he did say, had he any intent regarding the Senate, and he denies the allegation so made to the contrary thereof.

But this respondent in further answer to, and in respect to the eleventh article hereinbefore traversed and denied, claims and insists upon his personal and official right of freedom of opinion and freedom of speech, and his duty in his political relations as President of the United States to the people of the United States in the exercise of such freedom of opinion and freedom of speech in the same manner, form and effect as he has in this behalf stated the same in his answer to the tenth article, and with the same effect as if he here repeated the same; and further claims and insists as in said answer to the tenth article he has claimed and insisted, that he is not subject to question, inquisition, impeachment, or inculpation in any form or manner of or concerning such rights of freedom of opinion or freedom of speech, or his said alleged exercise thereof.

And this respondent further denies that on the 21st day of February, in the year 1868, or at any other time, at the City of Washington, in the district of Columbia, in pursuance of any such declaration as is in that behalf in said eleventh article alleged or otherwise, he did unlawfully and in disregard of the requirements of the Constitution that he should take care that the laws should be faithfully executed, attempt to prevent the execution of an act entitled "An act regulating the tenure of certain civil offices," passed March 2, 1867, by unlawfully devising or contriving, or attempting to devise or contrive means by which he should prevent EDWIN H. STANTON from forthwith resuming the functions of Secretary of the Department of War, or by unlawfully devising or contriving, or attempting to devise or contrive means to prevent the execution of an act entitled "An act making appropriations for the support of the army for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1868, and for other purposes," approved March 2, 1867, or to prevent the execution of an act entitled "An act to provide for the more efficient government of the rebel States," passed March 2, 1867.

And this respondent further answering the said eleventh article, says: That he has, in his answer to the first article set forth in detail the acts, steps and proceedings done and taken by this respondent to and toward or in the matter of the suspension or removal of the said EDWIN M. STANTON, in or from the office of Secretary for the Department of War, with the times, modes, circumstances, intents, views, purposes and opinions of official obligation and duty under and with which such acts, steps and proceedings were done and taken; and he makes answer to this eleventh article of the matters in this answer to the first article pertaining to the suspension or removal of said E. M. STANTON to the same intent and effect as if they were here repeated and set forth.

And this respondent, further answering the said eleventh article, denies that, by means or reason of anything in said article alleged, this respondent, as President of the United States, did, on the 21st of February, 1868, or at any other day or time, commit, or that he was guilty, of a high misdemeanor in office.

And this respondent, further answering the said eleventh article, says that the same, and the matters therein contained, do not charge or allege the commission of any act whatever by this respondent in his office of President of the United States, nor the omission by this respondent of any act of official obligation or duty in his office of President of the United States; nor does the said article, nor the matters therein contained, name, designate, describe or define any act, or mode, or form of attempt, device, contrivance or means, or of attempt to device, contrivance or means, whereby this respondent can know or understand what act or mode or form of attempt, device, contrivance or means, or of attempt at device, contrivance or means, are imputed to or charged against this respondent in his office of President of the United States, or intended so to be; or whereby this respondent can more fully or definitely make answer unto the said article than he hereby does.

And this respondent, in submitting to this honorable Court this his answer to the articles of impeachment exhibited against him, respectfully reserves leave to amend and add to the same from time to time, as may become necessary or proper, and when and as such necessity and propriety shall appear.

(Signed) ANDREW JOHNSON.

Henry Stanbery, B. R. Curtis, Thomas A. R. Nelson, Wm. M. Evarts, U. S. Groesbeck, of counsel.

THE ANSWER ACCEPTED.

Messrs. STANBERY and EVARTS successively relieved Mr. CURTIS in the reading, which occupied until about 3 o'clock.

At the conclusion the Chief-Justice put the question on receiving the answer and ordering it to be filed, which was agreed to.

REPLICATION TO BE FILED TO-DAY.

Mr. BOUTWELL—Mr. President, by direction of the Managers on the part of the House of Representatives, I have the honor to request a copy of the answer filed by ANDREW JOHNSON, President of the United States, to the articles of impeachment presented by the House of Representatives, and to say that it is the expectation of the Managers that they will be able at 1 o'clock to-morrow, after consultation with the House, to present a fit replication to the answer. [Sensation in the galleries.]

THE PRESIDENT ASKS FOR TIME.

Mr. EVARTS, of counsel—Mr. Chief Justice, the counsel for the President think it proper, unless some objection should now be made, to bring to the attention of the honorable Court the matter of provision for the allowance of time given for the preparation for the trial which shall be accorded to the President and his counsel, after the replication of the House of Representatives to the answer of the President shall be submitted to this Court. In the application which was made on the 13th inst. for time for preparation and submission of the answer which has been presented to the Court, were included in our consideration of that time, that we so asked, with the expectation and intention of carrying on with all due diligence at the same time, the preparation of the answer and the preparation for the trial. The action of the Court and the determination of the time within which the answer should properly be presented has obliged us, as may be well understood by this Court, to devote our whole time to the preparation of the answer, and we have had no time to consider the various questions of law and fact, and the forms for the production of the same, which rest upon the responsibility and lie within the duty of counsel in all matters requiring judicial consideration. We therefore, if the honorable Court please, submit now the request that the President and his counsel may be allowed the period of thirty days after the filing of the replication on the part of the House of Representatives to the answer of the President for the preparation for trial and before it shall actually proceed, and I beg leave to send to the Chief Justice a written minute of that proposition signed by the counsel.

The Chief Justice stated the question to be on the motion of Mr. BOUTWELL of the Managers.

Senator SUMNER—misunderstanding the question—Before the vote on that I wish to inquire if the Honorable Managers on the part of the House desire to be heard.

The Chief Justice explained the question to be on the motion on the part of the Managers, which was then put and agreed to.

The Secretary read the application of the counsel for the President, which was addressed: "To the Senate of the United States, sitting as a Court of Impeachment."

It represents that, after the replication to this answer shall have been filed, it will, in the opinion and judgment of the counsel, require not less than thirty days for preparation for the trial. Signed by the counsel for the President.

Mr. HOWARD—If it be in order, I move that that application lie on the table until the replication of the House of Representatives has been filed.

Mr. BINGHAM—Mr. President, before that motion takes effect, if it be the pleasure of the Senate, the Managers are ready to consider the application now.

The Chief Justice was stating the question to be on the motion of Senator HOWARD, when

Mr. HOWARD withdrew the motion.

Mr. LOGAN, of the Managers, objected to the application, as not containing any reason to justify the Senate in postponing the trial, not that they desired to force it on with unnecessary rapidity, but because such reasons should be given in application for time as would be adhered to in a court of law. Counsel had merely asked an opportunity to prepare themselves. They had and would have, during the trial, an equal opportunity with the Managers for preparation. The application did not state that any material witnesses could not be procured, or that time for their procurement was required before the commencement of the trial. The answer admitted the facts of the appointments, &c., charged in the first article. They were within the knowledge of the President, who, being charged by these articles with crimes and misdemeanors, his counsel, if there should be any reason for this application, should have stated it. On the trial of Judges CHASE and PECK, and other trials here and in other countries, such applications were accompanied with reasons for asking delay, such as necessary witnesses, records, &c., at a distance, the examination of decisions, &c., and were sworn to by the respondent to the articles of impeachment. The learned counsel on the other side had doubtless examined the authorities on such trials, and knew that these things were requisite on an application for a continuance of a case in a court of law. Because of the absence of a witness, it was usual to state on affidavit what it was expected would prove by the witness—his residence—that he could be procured at a certain time, and that the facts could not be proved by any other witness. In this application none of these requirements were complied with. It simply asked time to prepare for the trial of this cause—that is—time to examine authorities, to prepare arguments—and for naught else. Time should not be given in this, more than in any other case, unless for good cause shown, as provided by the order of the Senate, showing cause meant that necessity should be shown for the continuance of the trial. He reminded them that in the trial of Judge CHASE an application had been made for a period of time, four days more than proved to be necessary to try the whole cause. In the trial of Queen CAROLINE, of England, in answer to an application for time to procure witnesses, &c., which was granted merely out of courtesy to the Queen, the Attorney-General protested against its becoming a precedent in the trial of future cases. He (Mr. LOGAN) insisted that no more time should be given in this case than is absolutely necessary to try the cause, since no necessity for an extension had been shown whereby the Court could judge of its materiality. If it was granted there would probably be at the end of that time an application for twenty or thirty days more, for the purpose of procuring witnesses living in Sitka or some other remote part of the country. He would say—whether it was considered proper or not—that no more time should be granted in the trial of the President than in the trial of the poorest man that lives. They were amenable to the same laws and subject to the same rules. The Managers had accused the President of intentionally obstructing the laws and other serious offences, which, if true, showed that it was dangerous for him to remain the Chief Magistrate of this nation, and, therefore, time should not be given, unless sufficient reasons were shown. To the allegation that time would be given to an ordinary criminal he would say that the Managers considered the President a criminal and had so charged; but the counsel had not, as required in the case of ordinary criminals, shown reasons for the delay. Mr. LOGAN reiterated and enlarged upon the view that the nature of the crime charged was such that delay was dangerous. The Managers were here to enter their protest against any extension of time, whether after the filing of their replication to-morrow at 1 o'clock, at which time they would ask leave to state their case to the Senate and follow it up with their evidence, the other side following with theirs. He asked that the Senate, sitting as a Court of Impeachment, examine carefully whether or not any facts were shown to justify this application, and whether due diligence had been employed in procuring witnesses and getting ready for trial. They protested against such an application being made without even an affidavit to support it.

Mr. EVARTS denied that because courts other than those called for a special purpose and with limited authority have established regulations bearing upon the rights of defendants in civil or criminal prosecution having been questioned, and I believe it has been the continued rule in England for about five hundred years. In the first case ever tried in the Senate of the United States, under the Constitution, (the case of BLOUNT,) although the accused had interposed a plea to the jurisdiction, the argument was closed by the Managers on the part of the House. Had risen for the purpose of making some response to the remarks last made, but as the presiding officer has interposed the objection to the Senate, I don't deem it proper for me to proceed further until the Senate shall have passed on the question.

Senator HOWARD said he rose to move to lay the motion of the counsel on the table.

Mr. BOUTWELL, one of the Managers, remarked that it seemed to the Managers, and to him especially, a matter of so much importance as to whether the Managers shall have the closing argument, that he wished, and they wished, that it be decided now.

Senator HOWARD said that it was not his intention to cut off debate or discussion, either on the part of the Managers or on the part of counsel for the accused, and if there was any desire on the part of either to proceed with the discussion he would withdraw his motion to lay on the table.

Mr. BINGHAM then said: I deeply regret, Mr. President, that counsel for the accused have made any question here or any intimation, if you please, that question is made or intended to be made by the Managers touching the entire sincerity with which they ask this time. I am sure that nothing was further from our purpose than that the gentleman who last took his seat, Mr. STANBERY, in of having presented this application on their honor. No man questions their honor. Any man who knows them, will question their honor, but we must be pardoned for saying that it is altogether unusual on questions of this kind to allow continuances to be obtained on a mere point of honor. The rule of the Senate, which was adopted on the 13th inst., is the ordinary rule in courts of law, namely, that proceed, unless for cause shown, further time shall be allowed. I submit that questions of this magnitude have never been decided on the mere presentation of counsel, in this country or any other country. The point of continuance arising, a question of this sort, I venture to say, has never been decided affirmatively, at least in favor of such a proposition on the mere statement of counsel. ANDREW JOHNSON will say that there are witnesses not within the process of this Court, but whose attendance he can hope to procure if time be allowed him, and if he will make affidavit before this tribunal that they are materia, and will set forth in his affidavit what he expects to prove by them, and concede that on such a showing there would be something of which we could not improperly act; but instead of that he throws himself back on his counsel, and has them to make their statements here that it will require thirty days of time in which to prepare for trial. He sent those gentlemen at the bar of this tribunal on the 13th inst., to notify the Senate on their honor that it would require forty days to prepare an answer, and now he sends them back upon their honors to notify the Senate that it will require thirty days to prepare for trial. I take, Sir, that the counsel for the accused have quite as much time for preparation, if this trial shall proceed to-morrow, as had the Managers on the part of the House, who are charged with duties by the people which they are not permitted to lay aside from day to day in the other end of the Capitol. I think, on the showing made here this day by the President of the United States, unless very good cause be shown, and that, too, under the obligation of his own oath at the bar of the Senate, that not another hour's continuance should be allowed him after the case shall have been put at issue. We asked leave to suggest to the Senate that we hoped on to-morrow, by leave of the people's Representatives, to put this case at issue by filing a replication. That is all the delay we desire. They have had the opportunity for process ever since the 13th inst., and they are guilty of gross neglect. I do not speak of the counsel, but of the accused in not having the witnesses subpœnaed, and yet not a single summons has been required by him, under the rule and order of this tribunal, to bring to the bar a single witness on his behalf. He has shown a total neglect, and yet comes here with a confidence and avoidance of the matters presented by the House of Representatives, and tells the Senate and tells the country that he defies their power—trifling with the great power which the people for various purposes have reposed in the hands of their representatives and the Senators in Congress assembled. What is this power of impeachment of the President of the United States, holding the whole Executive power of the nation, when arraigned at the bar of the Senate, in the name of the people, and charged with high crimes and misdemeanors; in that he has violated his oath; in that he has violated the Constitution of the country; in that he has violated the people's laws, and attempted, by his violation, to lay hands upon the people's treasury? What, I say, is this great defensive power worth, if the President on a mere statement of counsel, no pretended to postpone further inquiry for thirty days, until he prepare to do what? Until he prepare to make his elaborate statement set forth in his answers, that the Constitution is but a cobweb in his hands and that he defies our power to restrain him. When I heard this discussion going on, I thought of the weighty words of that great man whose luminous intellect shed lustre on the jurisprudence of this country and the great State of New-York for more than one-third of a century, when he wrote down in his commentaries on the laws—commentaries that will live as long as our language lives:

"That if the President of the United States will not be restrained from abusing the trust committed to him by the people, either by the obligations of his oath or the terror of impeachment, it is a fair Constitutional maxim that he shall take care that the laws be faithfully executed, or by the other provision, that his term of office is limited to the short tenure of four years, nor yet by the decent respect to the public opinion of the country, there remains the tremendous power lodged by the people, under the Constitution, in the hands of their representatives, to arrest him by impeachment in the abuse of the great trust committed to his hands."

Faithful to the duties imposed upon us by our oaths as representatives of the people, we have interposed that remedy by arresting this man. He comes to-day to answer us, and he says to us: "I defy your impeachment by the executive power reposed in me by the Constitution. I claim, in the presence of the Senate, and in the presence of the country, the right, without challenge, let or hindrance, to suspend every Executive officer of the Government at my pleasure. I venture to say, before the enlightened bar of public opinion in America, that by these words incorporated in his answer, the President is as guilty of malfeasance and misdemeanor in office as ever man was guilty of malfeasance and misdemeanor in office since nations began to be on the earth. That office he holds to be an office that he will suspend all the executive officers of the Government at his pleasure, not by force of the Tenure of Office act, to which he makes reference, and which he said is void and of no effect, but by force of the Constitution of the United States, and that, too, while the Senate is in session. What does he mean by it? Let the Senate answer when it comes to vote on this proposition for the extension of time. Does he mean by it that he will vacate the offices and not fill them? Does he mean by it that your money appropriated for carrying on the Government shall remain locked up in the vaults of the Treasury, and shall not be applied? or does he mean by it that he will repeat what he has already done in the presence of the Senate, and in violation of the Constitution and the laws, and will remove without the consent of the Senate, and will appoint while the Senate is in session, without its consent and advice, just such persons as will answer his own purpose? Is that what he means by it? If it is, it is a very easy method of repealing the Constitution of the United States. I admit that it is a time-honored rule of law, the gathered wisdom of a thousand years, that the accused has the right to a speedy and impartial trial. I claim that the people also have a right to a speedy and impartial trial, and that the question pending here touches in some sort the rights of the people. In their name we desire here a speedy and impartial trial. If this President is not guilty, we ask on behalf of the country that he shall be declared innocent of the offences of which he stands charged. If it be the judgment of the Senate that he has laid violent hands on the Constitution of the country, and rent it in tatters in the presence of its custodians, the sooner that judgment is pronounced the better. In every view of this case the public interests demand that the trial shall proceed until by the solemn oath of the accused made at the bar, it shall be made to appear that he cannot proceed on account of the absence of witnesses material to him, and until he states what he expects to prove by them. I venture to say that he can make no showing of that sort which we are not ready to meet, by saying that we will admit that his witnesses will swear to his statements, and let him have the benefit of that. Nearly all the testimony involved in the issue is documentary, much of it official. It will occur to the Senate that as this trial progresses they will have as much time for preparation by the time the case closes on the part of the Government as we had. We make no boast of any superior preparation in this matter. We desire simply to discharge our duty as best we can; we assume no superiority over counsel as was intimated by the gentleman, (Mr. STANBERY.) We desire, simply to discharge our duty here; to discharge it promptly, to discharge it faithfully. We appeal to the Senate to grant us the opportunity of doing so, that justice may be done between the people of the United States and the President of the United States; that the Constitution which he has violated may be vindicated, and that the wrong he has committed against an outraged and betrayed people, may be speedily redressed.

Mr. BUTLER, another of the Managers, said he would like to call the attention of the Senate to the position in which the Managers would be placed if the question of time were not settled now. If a replication were made at all he though he could say for his associates that it would be simply a rejoining of issue to the answer, and, therefore, for that purpose, it might be considered already filed. The Managers would have to be ready to-morrow at all hazards to go on with the case, with the uncertainty of having the Court, or rather, the begged pardon, the Senate day fixed for not only the appearance but the filing of the answer. They read out of the rule that old formula which has come down from 500 years back in reference to appearance and answering. It is the same language adopted in these early times when the defendant was called upon and answered by parole; but then our independent ancestors would not answer on the day of appearance, but always asked and had time for answer.

Mr. BINGHAM, one of the Managers rose to reply.

The Chief Justice intimated that when counsel make any motion to the Court, the counsel who make the motion have invariably the right to close the argument.

Mr. BINGHAM said: With all due respect to the ruling of the presiding officer of the Senate, I beg leave to remind the Senate that from time immemorial, in proceedings of this kind, the right of the Commons in England, and of the representatives of the people in the United States, to close all debates, has never been called in question. On the contrary, in MELBOURNE'S case, Lord ERSKINE, who presided, said when the question was presented that he owed it to the Commons to protest against the immemorial usage being denied to the Commons of England, of being heard in response finally to whatever might be said in behalf of the accused at the bar of the Peers. Lord ERSKINE'S decision has never been questioned, and I believe it has been the continued rule in England for about five hundred years. In the first case ever tried in the Senate of the United States, under the Constitution, (the case of BLOUNT,) although the accused had interposed a plea to the jurisdiction, the argument was closed by the Managers on the part of the House. Had risen for the purpose of making some response to the remarks last made, but as the presiding officer has interposed the objection to the Senate, I don't deem it proper for me to proceed further until the Senate shall have passed on the question.

Senator HOWARD said he rose to move to lay the motion of the counsel on the table.

Mr. BOUTWELL, one of the Managers, remarked that it seemed to the Managers, and to him especially, a matter of so much importance as to whether the Managers shall have the closing argument, that he wished, and they wished, that it be decided now.

Senator HOWARD said that it was not his intention to cut off debate or discussion, either on the part of the Managers or on the part of counsel for the accused, and if there was any desire on the part of either to proceed with the discussion he would withdraw his motion to lay on the table.

Mr. BINGHAM then said: I deeply regret, Mr. President, that counsel for the accused have made any question here or any intimation, if you please, that question is made or intended to be made by the Managers touching the entire sincerity with which they ask this time. I am sure that nothing was further from our purpose than that the gentleman who last took his seat, Mr. STANBERY, in of having presented this application on their honor. No man questions their honor. Any man who knows them, will question their honor, but we must be pardoned for saying that it is altogether unusual on questions of this kind to allow continuances to be obtained on a mere point of honor. The rule of the Senate, which was adopted on the 13th inst., is the ordinary rule in courts of law, namely, that proceed, unless for cause shown, further time shall be allowed. I submit that questions of this magnitude have never been decided on the mere presentation of counsel, in this country or any other country. The point of continuance arising, a question of this sort, I venture to say, has never been decided affirmatively, at least in favor of such a proposition on the mere statement of counsel. ANDREW JOHNSON will say that there are witnesses not within the process of this Court, but whose attendance he can hope to procure if time be allowed him, and if he will make affidavit before this tribunal that they are materia, and will set forth in his affidavit what he expects to prove by them, and concede that on such a showing there would be something of which we could not improperly act; but instead of that he throws himself back on his counsel, and has them to make their statements here that it will require thirty days of time in which to prepare for trial. He sent those gentlemen at the bar of this tribunal on the 13th inst., to notify the Senate on their honor that it would require forty days to prepare an answer, and now he sends them back upon their honors to notify the Senate that it will require thirty days to prepare for trial. I take, Sir, that the counsel for the accused have quite as much time for preparation, if this trial shall proceed to-morrow, as had the Managers on the part of the House, who are charged with duties by the people which they are not permitted to lay aside from day to day in the other end of the Capitol. I think, on the showing made here this day by the President of the United States, unless very good cause be shown, and that, too, under the obligation of his own oath at the bar of the Senate, that not another hour's continuance should be allowed him after the case shall have been put at issue. We asked leave to suggest to the Senate that we hoped on to-morrow, by leave of the people's Representatives, to put this case at issue by filing a replication. That is all the delay we desire. They have had the opportunity for process ever since the 13th inst., and they are guilty of gross neglect. I do not speak of the counsel, but of the accused in not having the witnesses subpœnaed, and yet not a single summons has been required by him, under the rule and order of this tribunal, to bring to the bar a single witness on his behalf. He has shown a total neglect, and yet comes here with a confidence and avoidance of the matters presented by the House of Representatives, and tells the Senate and tells the country that he defies their power—trifling with the great power which the people for various purposes have reposed in the hands of their representatives and the Senators in Congress assembled. What is this power of impeachment of the President of the United States, holding the whole Executive power of the nation, when arraigned at the bar of the Senate, in the name of the people, and charged with high crimes and misdemeanors; in that he has violated his oath; in that he has violated the Constitution of the country; in that he has violated the people's laws, and attempted, by his violation, to lay hands upon the people's treasury? What, I say, is this great defensive power worth, if the President on a mere statement of counsel, no pretended to postpone further inquiry for thirty days, until he prepare to do what? Until he prepare to make his elaborate statement set forth in his answers, that the Constitution is but a cobweb in his hands and that he defies our power to restrain him. When I heard this discussion going on, I thought of the weighty words of that great man whose luminous intellect shed lustre on the jurisprudence of this country and the great State of New-York for more than one-third of a century, when he wrote down in his commentaries on the laws—commentaries that will live as long as our language lives:

"That if the President of the United States will not be restrained from abusing the trust committed to him by the people, either by the obligations of his oath or the terror of impeachment, it is a fair Constitutional maxim that he shall take care that the laws be faithfully executed, or by the other provision, that his term of office is limited to the short tenure of four years, nor yet by the decent respect to the public opinion of the country, there remains the tremendous power lodged by the people, under the Constitution, in the hands of their representatives, to arrest him by impeachment in the abuse of the great trust committed to his hands."

Faithful to the duties imposed upon us by our oaths as representatives of the people, we have interposed that remedy by arresting this man. He comes to-day to answer us, and he says to us: "I defy your impeachment by the executive power reposed in me by the Constitution. I claim, in the presence of the Senate, and in the presence of the country, the right, without challenge, let or hindrance, to suspend every Executive officer of the Government at my pleasure. I venture to say, before the enlightened bar of public opinion in America, that by these words incorporated in his answer, the President is as guilty of malfeasance and misdemeanor in office as ever man was guilty of malfeasance and misdemeanor in office since nations began to be on the earth. That office he holds to be an office that he will suspend all the executive officers of the Government at his pleasure, not by force of the Tenure of Office act, to which he makes reference, and which he said is void and of no effect, but by force of the Constitution of the United States, and that, too, while the Senate is in session. What does he mean by it? Let the Senate answer when it comes to vote on this proposition for the extension of time. Does he mean by it that he will vacate the offices and not fill them? Does he mean by it that your money appropriated for carrying on the Government shall remain locked up in the vaults of the Treasury, and shall not be applied? or does he mean by it that he will repeat what he has already done in the presence of the Senate, and in violation of the Constitution and the laws, and will remove without the consent of the Senate, and will appoint while the Senate is in session, without its consent and advice, just such persons as will answer his own purpose? Is that what he means by it? If it is, it is a very easy method of repealing the Constitution of the United States. I admit that it is a time-honored rule of law, the gathered wisdom of a thousand years, that the accused has the right to a speedy and impartial trial. I claim that the people also have a right to a speedy and impartial trial, and that the question pending here touches in some sort the rights of the people. In their name we desire here a speedy and impartial trial. If this President is not guilty, we ask on behalf of the country that he shall be declared innocent of the offences of which he stands charged. If it be the judgment of the Senate that he has laid violent hands on the Constitution of the country, and rent it in tatters in the presence of its custodians, the sooner that judgment is pronounced the better. In every view of this case the public interests demand that the trial shall proceed until by the solemn oath of the accused made at the bar, it shall be made to appear that he cannot proceed on account of the absence of witnesses material to him, and until he states what he expects to prove by them. I venture to say that he can make no showing of that sort which we are not ready to meet, by saying that we will admit that his witnesses will swear to his statements, and let him have the benefit of that. Nearly all the testimony involved in the issue is documentary, much of it official. It will occur to the Senate that as this trial progresses they will have as much time for preparation by the time the case closes on the part of the Government as we had. We make no boast of any superior preparation in this matter. We desire simply to discharge our duty as best we can; we assume no superiority over counsel as was intimated by the gentleman, (Mr. STANBERY.) We desire, simply to discharge our duty here; to discharge it promptly, to discharge it faithfully. We appeal to the Senate to grant us the opportunity of doing so, that justice may be done between the people of the United States and the President of the United States; that the Constitution which he has violated may be vindicated, and that the wrong he has committed against an outraged and betrayed people, may be speedily redressed.

Mr. BUTLER, another of the Managers, said he would like to call the attention of the Senate to the position in which the Managers would be placed if the question of time were not settled now. If a replication were made at all he though he could say for his associates that it would be simply a rejoining of issue to the answer, and, therefore, for that purpose, it might be considered already filed. The Managers would have to be ready to-morrow at all hazards to go on with the case, with the uncertainty of having the Court, or rather, the begged pardon, the Senate postponing the trial for thirty days. He therefore agreed with the counsel for the defence that it was better for all that the question should be settled now. He spoke for the Managers and for the House of Representatives, when he urged that the question should be settled now. Our subpœnas, said he, are out, our witnesses have been called. We want to know when to bring them here. We have got to come here soon, and we will be here. [Laughter, which was promptly suppressed by the Chair.] That is all we ask. Therefore, I trust that the Senate will fix at this time the hour and the day that this trial shall certainly proceed.

Senator HENDERSON offered the following:

Ordered, That the application of counsel for the President to be allowed thirty days for the trial of Impeachment be postponed until after replication is filed.

The question was taken by yeas and nays, and resulted—Yeas, 25; nays, 28, as follows:

YEAS—Messrs. Anthony, Buckalew, Cattell, Cole, Dixon, Doolittle, Edmunds, Fessenden, Fowler, Frelinghuysen, Grimes, Henderson, Hendricks, Johnson, McCreery, Morrill of Maine, Norton, Patterson of Tennessee, Ross, Saulsbury, Sherman, Sprague, Trumbull, Van Winkle, Vickers—25.

NAYS—Messrs. Bayard, Cameron, Chandler, Conkling, Conness, Corbett, Cragin, Davis, Drake, Ferry, Harlan, Howard, Howe, Morgan, Morrill of Vermont, Morton, Nye, Patterson of New-Hampshire, Pomeroy, Ramsey, Stewart, Sumner, Thayer, Tipton, Wade, Wilkinson, Wilson, Yates—28.

Senator HOWARD moved that the motion of the counsel for the accused be laid on the table.

Senator DRAKE made the question of order, that it was not in order to move to lay on the table a proposition of the counsel for the accused, or of the Managers.

The Chief Justice sustained the point of order, and the motion was received.

The question recurred on the application of counsel for the President that they be allowed thirty days to prepare for the trial.

The question was taken by yeas and nays, and resulted yeas 11, nays 41, as follows:

YEAS—Messrs. Bayard, Buckalew, Davis, Dixon, Doolittle, Hendricks, Johnson, McCreery, Patterson of Tennessee, Saulsbury, Vickers—11.

NAYS—Messrs. Anthony, Cameron, Cattel, Chandler, Cole, Conkling, Conness, Corbett, Cragin, Drake, Edmunds, Ferry, Fessenden, Fowler, Frelinghuysen, Grimes, Harlan, Henderson, Howard, Howe, Morgan, Morrill of Maine, Morrill of Vermont, Morton, Nye, Patterson of New-Hampshire, Pomeroy, Ramsey, Ross, Sherman, Sprague, Stewart, Sumner, Thayer, Tipton, Trumbull, Van Winkle, Willey, Williams, Wilson, Yates—41.

So the application was rejected.

Mr. EVARTS then submitted the following:

Counsel for the President now move that there be allowed for preparation for the President of the United States for the trial after replication shall be filed and before the trial shall be required to proceed such reasonable time as shall now be fixed by the Senate.

Senator JOHNSON inquired whether it was in order to amend that motion.

The Chief Justice informed him that it was in order to submit an independent proposition.

Senator JOHNSON moved then that ten days be allowed after filing replication.

Senator SHERMAN then moved that the Senate sitting as a Court of Impeachment adjourn till to-morrow at 1 o'clock.

The motion was agreed to.

The Chief Justice thereupon vacated the chair, which was resumed by the presiding officer of the Senate, and the Senate at 4:45 o'clock adjourned.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.

The Chaplain, in his opening prayer, referred to the impeachment proceedings as follows: "Grant them especially this day, when great issues are to be presented here and in the Senate, a double measure of Thy holy spirit, so that here and there, in the House and in the Senate, they may be guided by that wisdom which cannot err; that every proceeding be conducted according to the principles of eternal right, and that at last a decision shall be reached in accordance with the mind of God."

BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS.

The Speaker then proceeded, in the regular order of business, to the call of States for bills and joint resolutions.

Under the call, bills, &c., were introduced and appropriately referred, as follows:

By Mr. JENCKES—To regulate the civil service and increase its efficiency.

By